



The Role of Biomechanics in Child Abuse Investigations: Identifying Non-Accidental Injuries

Farotto Dario*

Department of Biomedical Physiology, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, Canada

DESCRIPTION

Child abuse is a grave societal issue that demands a multifaceted approach to protection and prevention. One significant aspect of addressing this problem is through accurate and efficient investigations that can distinguish accidental injuries from non-accidental injuries in children. Biomechanics, the study of mechanical principles applied to living organisms, has emerged as an essential tool in identifying and differentiating injuries caused by abuse [1]. This essay explores the role of biomechanics in child abuse investigations and its implications in protecting vulnerable children [2].

Understanding biomechanics in child abuse investigations

Biomechanics offers a systematic framework for analyzing and interpreting the mechanics of injuries sustained by children. It takes into account the forces involved, the human anatomy's response, and the potential outcomes of different scenarios. This approach helps investigators determine whether a child's injuries are consistent with an accidental event or more likely caused by deliberate abuse [3].

Identifying non-accidental injuries

Non-accidental injuries often exhibit specific patterns that differentiate them from accidental injuries. Biomechanical experts can analyze the extent, location, and nature of injuries to understand if they align with the incident's reported circumstances [5]. For instance, certain fractures, such as spiral fractures or metaphyseal fractures in infants, are highly indicative of abuse due to the limited forces needed to cause them [6].

Differentiating from accidental injuries

Accidents can happen in any environment, and children are particularly susceptible due to their curious and exploratory nature.

However, biomechanical analysis can help distinguish genuine accidents from cases where caregivers may be using accidents as a cover for abuse. By reconstructing the events leading to the injury and examining the forces involved, investigators can ascertain if the injury's characteristics align with the accident's plausibility [7].

Age-appropriate injuries

Biomechanics takes into account the child's age and developmental stage when evaluating injuries. Age-appropriate injury analysis is essential as children may be prone to accidents typical of their age group. Understanding the normal range of injuries in children of different ages allows investigators to recognize when injuries are inconsistent with the child's developmental capabilities, raising suspicion of potential abuse [8].

Force analysis

Biomechanical experts can estimate the force required to cause a specific injury and compare it with the force typically exerted in accidents. In cases where the injury appears disproportionate to the alleged cause, this analysis can serve as critical evidence in support of a child abuse investigation [9].

Challenges and limitations

While biomechanics has proven to be a valuable tool in identifying non-accidental injuries, its application in child abuse investigations does come with certain challenges and limitations.

Lack of consistent data: Biomechanics relies on a vast database of injury patterns from both accidental and non-accidental causes. However, such data can be limited, particularly in cases of child abuse, where comprehensive reporting may be lacking [10].

Individual variability: Children's anatomy and physical response to injuries can vary significantly, making it challenging to establish universal benchmarks for certain types of injuries.

Correspondence to: Farotto Dario, Department of Biomedical Physiology, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, Canada, E-mail: Farottodario@gmail.com

Received: 03-Jul-2023, Manuscript No. JFB-23-22396; **Editor assigned:** 07-Jul-2023, PreQC No. JFB-23-22396 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 21-Jul-2023, QC No. JFB-23-22396; **Revised:** 28-Jul-2023, Manuscript No. JFB-23-22396 (R); **Published:** 04-Aug-2023, DOI: 10.35248/2090-2697.23.14.451

Citation: Dario F (2023) The Role of Biomechanics in Child Abuse Investigations: Identifying Non-Accidental Injuries. 14:451.

Copyright: © 2023 Dario F. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Co-existing medical conditions:

Some children may have pre-existing medical conditions that affect the manifestation of injuries, potentially complicating the interpretation of findings.

Ethical considerations:

Conducting biomechanical experiments on children to gather data is ethically problematic, and researchers must rely on existing medical records and experimental data with adults.

CONCLUSION

In child abuse investigations, differentiating between accidental and non-accidental injuries is paramount to ensure the safety and well-being of vulnerable children.

Biomechanics has emerged as a valuable tool in this process, providing investigators with the means to analyze and interpret injury patterns scientifically. By understanding the mechanics of injuries and comparing them to known data, professionals can effectively identify non-accidental injuries and support legal actions against abusers. However, the field of biomechanics faces challenges and limitations, underscoring the need for continued research, collaboration, and data-sharing to improve the accuracy and reliability of these investigations.

REFERENCES

1. Levin RA, Felsenthal G. Handcuff neuropathy: Two unusual cases. *Arch Phys Med Rehab.* 1984;65(1):41-43.
2. Richmond PW, Fligelstone LJ, Lewis E. Injuries caused by handcuffs. *BMJ.* 1988;297(6641):111-112.
3. Scott TF, Yager JG, Gross JA. Handcuff neuropathy revisited. *Muscle Nerve.* 1989;12(3):219-220.
4. Stone DA, Laureno R. Handcuff neuropathies. *Neurology.* 1991;41(1):145-147.
5. Satkunam L, Zochodne DW. Bilateral ulnar handcuff neuropathies with segmental conduction block. *Muscle Nerve.* 1995;18(9):1021-1023.
6. Haddad FS, Goddard NJ, Kanvinde RN, Burke F. Complaints of pain after use of handcuffs should not be dismissed. *BMJ.* 1999;318(7175):55.
7. Grant AC, Cook AA. A prospective study of handcuff neuropathies. *Muscle Nerve.* 2000;23(6):933-938.
8. Chariot P, Ragot F, Authier F-J, Questel F, Diamant-Berger O. Focal neurological complications of handcuff application. *J Forensic Sci.* 2001;46(5):1124-1125.
9. Szabo RM, Sharkey NA. Response of peripheral nerve to cyclic compression in a laboratory rat model. *Journal Orthop Res.* 1993;11(6):828-833.
10. Bobkiewicz A, Cwykiel J, Siemionow M. Anatomic variations of brachial and lumbosacral plexus models in different rat strains. *Microsurgery.* 2017;37(4):327-333.