



Examining Theories of Global Justice: Tackling the Pressing Concern of Poverty

Caroline Simcock*

Department of Business and Economics, California State University, Los Angeles, USA

DESCRIPTION

The problem of global poverty has become a pressing concern in today's world, with over 700 million people living below the international poverty line. Theories of global justice attempt to address this issue by examining the moral responsibilities of individuals, governments, and international institutions in tackling poverty and promoting fairness and equality on a global scale [1]. One prominent theory of global justice is cosmopolitanism, which emphasizes the inherent moral value of every individual, regardless of their nationality or other characteristics. Cosmopolitans argue that we have a moral obligation to assist those in need, regardless of their location [2]. This includes a duty to help those suffering from poverty in other countries. In the context of global poverty, cosmopolitans would argue that we have a moral obligation to provide assistance to impoverished individuals and communities around the world [3].

Another theory of global justice is Rawlsianism, which is based on the work of philosopher John Rawls. Rawlsianism argues that a just society is one that provides equal opportunities for its members, and that justice requires us to prioritize the needs of the least advantaged members of society [4]. This translates to a focus on poverty reduction, as poverty is a significant obstacle to equal opportunities and undermines the well-being of the least advantage. Rawlsians would argue that we have a moral obligation to work towards reducing global poverty and creating a more just world [5]. A third theory of global justice is libertarianism, which emphasizes individual freedom and the protection of property rights. Libertarians argue that individuals should be free to pursue their own interests and that the role of government should be limited. In the context of global poverty, libertarians might argue that poverty is not the responsibility of governments or international institutions, but rather the result of individual choices and actions. They would argue that individuals and private organizations should be free to address poverty as they see fit, without interference from governments or international organizations [6].

A fourth theory of global justice is communitarianism, which emphasizes the importance of community and social ties. Communitarians argue that justice requires us to consider the needs of our communities, and that individuals have a moral obligation to contribute to the well-being of their communities. In the context of global poverty, communitarians might argue that poverty reduction should be a collaborative effort between individuals, communities, and governments [7]. They would argue that the responsibility for poverty reduction should be shared, with individuals and communities taking an active role in addressing poverty in their own communities, while governments provide support and resources to assist these efforts. Despite these different approaches to global justice, there is broad agreement that poverty is a significant problem that requires action on a global scale. Governments, international institutions, and individuals all have a role to play in addressing poverty and promoting greater fairness and equality around the world. Solutions to global poverty will likely require a multi-faceted approach that includes both short-term aid and long-term development efforts, as well as policies that address systemic factors that contribute to poverty, such as inequality and lack of access to education and healthcare [8].

In conclusion, theories of global justice provide a framework for thinking about the moral responsibilities of individuals, governments, and international institutions in addressing the problem of global poverty. While there are different approaches to global justice, all recognize the importance of reducing poverty and promoting greater fairness and equality on a global scale [9]. Addressing global poverty will require a collaborative effort that includes individuals, communities, governments, and international organizations working together towards a shared goal of creating a more just and equitable world [10].

REFERENCES

1. Wiedmann TO, Schandl H, Lenzen M, Moran D, Suh S, West J, et al. The material footprint of nations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015;112(20):6271-6276.

Correspondence to: Caroline Simcock, Department of Business and Economics, California State University, Los Angeles, USA, E-mail: simcockcarl@gmail.com

Received: 01-Mar-2023, Manuscript No. GJISS-23-20573; **Editor assigned:** 06-Mar-2023, Pre QC No. GJISS-23-20573 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 20-Mar-2023, QC No. GJISS-23-20573; **Revised:** 27-Mar-2023, Manuscript No. GJISS-23-20573 (R); **Published:** 03-Apr-2023, DOI: 10.35248/2319-8834.23.12.049

Citation: Simcock C (2023) Examining Theories of Global Justice: Tackling the Pressing Concern of Poverty. *Global J Interdiscipl Soc Sci*.12:049.

Copyright: © 2023 Simcock C. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

2. Walters A, Ramiah V, Moosa I. Ecology and finance: a quest for congruency. *J Behav Exp Finance* 2016;10:54-62.
3. Eriksen SH, Nightingale AJ, Eakin H. Reframing adaptation: the political nature of climate change adaptation. *Glob Environ Change*. 2015;35:523-533.
4. Feygina I. Social justice and the human-environment relationship: common systemic, ideological, and psychological roots and processes. *Soc. Justice Res.* 2013;26:363-381.
5. Dabars WB, Dwyer KT. Toward institutionalization of responsible innovation in the contemporary research university: insights from case studies of arizona state university. *J Responsible Innov.* 2022;9(1):114-123.
6. de Corbière F, Durand B, Rowe F. Effets économiques et environnementaux de la mutualisation des informations logistiques de distribution: avis d'experts et voies de recherche 1. *Rev mana et avenir*. 2010(9):326-348.
7. Cairney J, Veldhuizen S, Vigod S, Streiner DL, Wade TJ, Kurdyak P. Exploring the social determinants of mental health service use using intersectionality theory and cart analysis. *J Epidem Commun Heal*. 2014;68(2):145-150.
8. Chiavegatto Filho AD, Dos Santos HG, do Nascimento CF, Massa K, Kawachi I. Overachieving municipalities in public health: a machine-learning approach. *Epidemiology*. 2018;29(6):836-840.
9. Choi SK, Fram MS, Frongillo EA. Very low food security in us households is predicted by complex patterns of health, economics, and service participation. *J Nutr*. 2017;147(10):1992-2000.
10. Messis P, Zapranis A. Herding towards higher moment capm, contagion of herding and macroeconomic shocks: evidence from five major developed markets. *J Behav Exp Finance*. 2014;4:1-3.