OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

ISSN: 2684-1606
Journal of
Surgery and Anesthesia

Opinion Article

The Evolving Role of Surgery in Cancer Management

Beatriz Jing*

Department of Medicine, Santo Amaro University, Sao Paulo, Brazil

DESCRIPTON

Oncologic surgery remains one of the most critical components in the multidisciplinary management of cancer, offering patients the possibility of cure, prolonged survival, and improved quality of life. As cancer continues to rise globally, surgical intervention plays a pivotal role in removing tumors, preventing disease spread, and enabling precise diagnosis through biopsy and staging procedures. The evolution of oncologic surgery has been driven by technological innovation, improved understanding of tumor biology, and advances in perioperative care, making modern surgical oncology safer, more effective, and more patient-centered than ever before [1].

The foundation of oncologic surgery lies in achieving complete tumor removal with clear margins while preserving as much healthy tissue and organ function as possible. This balance is essential because incomplete resection can lead to recurrence, whereas overly aggressive surgery may cause unnecessary morbidity. Surgeons must evaluate tumor size, invasiveness, and anatomical location to determine the best operative strategy. Techniques such as sentinel lymph node biopsy have revolutionized cancer staging, allowing surgeons to limit lymph node dissections to only those necessary, thereby reducing complications like lymphedema. The focus on organ preservation has further expanded with the use of minimally invasive approaches, including laparoscopic and robotic-assisted surgeries that reduce postoperative pain, minimize blood loss, and promote faster recovery.

Technological advancements have strengthened the accuracy and effectiveness of oncologic surgery. High-definition imaging, intraoperative navigation systems, fluorescence-guided surgery, and robotic platforms offer enhanced visualization of tumor tissue and surrounding structures. These innovations assist surgeons in identifying tumor borders more clearly, especially in cancers of the liver, brain, and gastrointestinal tract. The increasing use of real-time imaging helps surgeons adapt their techniques during the operation, ensuring more precise removal of malignant tissue. Additionally, improvements in anesthesia and perioperative management contribute to optimal surgical conditions, particularly in high-risk patients undergoing major cancer procedures [2-4].

Another major advancement in oncologic surgery is the integration of multimodal treatment strategies. Many cancers today require a combination of surgery, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, targeted therapy, and immunotherapy for optimal outcomes. Neoadjuvant treatments, provided before surgery, can shrink tumors and make them more operable, while adjuvant therapies after surgery help eliminate microscopic residual disease. The close collaboration among surgeons, anesthesiologists, medical oncologists, and radiation specialists ensures that every patient receives individualized care based on tumor characteristics, genetic profiling, and overall health status. This team-based approach enhances surgical planning, improves safety during the procedure, and optimizes recovery afterward [5].

A key aspect of modern oncologic surgery is the emphasis on quality of life and functional outcomes. Reconstructive procedures, such as breast reconstruction after mastectomy or organ-sparing approaches in urologic and gynecologic oncology, help patients maintain physical integrity and emotional well-being. Enhanced Recovery after Surgery (ERAS) protocols are widely implemented to improve postoperative recovery by promoting early mobilization, controlling pain with opioid-sparing strategies, and optimizing nutrition. These practices not only reduce complications but also minimize hospital stays and expedite the return to daily activities [6-10].

Despite significant progress, oncologic surgery still faces several challenges. Complex cancers involving vital structures, recurrent tumors, and metastatic disease require refined surgical skills and careful decision-making. Access to advanced surgical technologies and specialized cancer care remains limited in many regions, contributing to disparities in outcomes. Ongoing research is focused on developing more precise imaging modalities, improving surgical robotics, and incorporating artificial intelligence to enhance decision support in the operating room. As cancer care evolves, surgeons must continue

Correspondence to: Beatriz Jing, Department of Medicine, Santo Amaro University, Sao Paulo, Brazil, E-mail: beatriz@jing.br

Received: 31-May-2025, Manuscript No. JSA-25-30383; **Editor assigned:** 02-Jun-2025, PreQC No. JSA-25-30383; **Reviewed:** 16-Jun-2025, QC No. JSA-25-30383; **Revised:** 23-Jun-2025, Manuscript No. JSA-25-30383; **Published:** 30-Jun-2025, DOI: 10.35248/2684-1606.25.9.291

Citation: Jing B (2025). The Evolving Role of Surgery in Cancer Management. J Surg Anesth. 9:291.

to adapt through specialized training and collaboration with interdisciplinary teams.

In conclusion, oncologic surgery stands at the heart of cancer treatment, offering hope and healing through precise and evolving surgical techniques. Its integration with new technologies and multimodal therapies has greatly improved survival and quality of life for patients across the world. As innovation continues, oncologic surgery will remain an essential pillar in combating cancer and advancing the future of surgical care.

REFERENCES

1. Schonenberger S, Uhlmann L, Hacke W. Effect of conscious sedation vs general anesthesia on early neurological improvement among patients with ischaemic stroke undergoing endovascular thrombectomy. JAMA. 2016;316:1986-1996.
2. Henden PL, Rentzos A, Karlsson JE. General anesthesia versus conscious sedation for endovascular treatment of acute ischemic stroke. The AnStroke trial Stroke. 2017;48:1601-1607.
3. Simonsen CZ, Yoo AJ, Sorensen LH. Effect of general anesthesia and conscious sedation during endovascular therapy in infarct growth and clinical outcomes in acute ischemic stroke. JAMA Neurol. 2018;75:470-477.
4. Sun J, Liang F, Wu Y. Choice of Anesthesia for Endovascular treatment of acute ischemic stroke (CANVAS): results of the CANVAS pilot randomized controlled trial. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2020;32:41-47.
5. Ren C, Xu G, Liu Y. Effect of conscious sedation vs. general anesthesia on outcomes in patients undergoing mechanical thrombectomy for acute ischemic stroke: a prospective randomized clinical trial. Front Neurol. 2020;11:170.
6. Hu G, Shi Z, Li B. General anesthesia versus monitored anesthesia care during endovascular therapy for vertebrobasilar stroke. Am J Transl Res. 2021; 13:1558-1567.
7. Campbell BCV, Van Zwam WH, Goyal M. Effect of general anaesthesia on functional outcome in patients with anterior circulation ischaemic stroke having endovascular thrombectomy versus standard care: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. Lancet Neurol. 2018;17:47-53.
8. Gooldhoorn RJB, Bernsen MLE, Hofmeijer J. Anesthetic management during endovascular treatment of ischemic stroke in the MRCLEAN Registry. Neurol. 2020;94:e97.
9. Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T. 2018 Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: 2019 update to the 2018 guidelines for the early management of acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2018;49:e46-99.
10. Maurice A, Eugene F, Ronziere T. General anesthesia versus sedation, both with hemodynamic control, during intraarterial treatment for stroke: the GASS randomised trial. Anesthesiology. 2022;136:567-576