



The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Financial Auditing Accuracy and Efficiency

Felix Hartmann*

Department of Accounting Technology, University of Munich, Munich, Germany

DESCRIPTION

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into financial auditing has sparked significant changes in how audits are conducted, enhancing both accuracy and efficiency. As the corporate world increasingly relies on large-scale, complex data systems, traditional auditing techniques often fall short in detecting anomalies, fraud, and compliance issues in a timely and comprehensive manner. AI, through its ability to analyze vast datasets at high speed and with remarkable precision, is redefining the auditing landscape and challenging the conventional role of human auditors [1-3].

One of the most profound benefits AI brings to financial auditing is its capability to perform continuous monitoring. Traditional audits are periodic, typically conducted on an annual basis. This periodic nature leaves room for undetected discrepancies that may only surface after significant damage has been done. AI-driven tools, however, allow for real-time analysis and monitoring of financial transactions. Algorithms can flag unusual patterns as they occur, enabling prompt investigation and corrective action. This proactive approach reduces risk exposure and enhances the overall integrity of financial reporting.

Machine learning models, a subset of AI, have the potential to identify complex fraud patterns that may not be immediately evident to human auditors. These models learn from historical data and improve over time, becoming increasingly effective in spotting subtle irregularities. For example, if a vendor consistently receives payments just under the approval threshold or if certain journal entries bypass established authorization protocols, AI can detect these deviations and alert auditors. The ability to process unstructured data such as emails, contracts, and invoices further strengthens AI's utility in modern audits, allowing for a more holistic view of an organization's financial ecosystem [4-6].

Efficiency is another critical area transformed by AI. Traditional audits require extensive manual effort sampling transactions,

checking compliance, and verifying documentation. This is not only time-consuming but also prone to human error. With AI, entire datasets can be analyzed without sampling, increasing both speed and reliability. Routine tasks such as reconciliation, matching invoices to payments, or identifying duplicate transactions can be automated, freeing auditors to focus on strategic analysis and decision-making [7-9].

Despite these advantages, the adoption of AI in auditing is not without challenges. One major concern is the interpretability of AI models. Many algorithms, especially deep learning networks, operate as "black boxes," providing little insight into how conclusions are reached. This lack of transparency can be problematic in an audit context where accountability and explainability are crucial. Regulators and stakeholders may be reluctant to accept findings that cannot be clearly justified. To address this, efforts are underway to develop explainable AI systems that combine performance with interpretability.

Another concern is the potential displacement of human auditors. While AI enhances efficiency, there is a fear that it could lead to job losses. However, most experts agree that AI will augment rather than replace human auditors. The profession is likely to evolve, with a greater emphasis on analytical thinking, judgment, and the ability to interpret and communicate AI-generated findings. Training and upskilling will be essential to ensure auditors remain relevant in this new landscape.

Furthermore, ethical considerations surrounding AI must be addressed. Data privacy, bias in algorithms, and the possibility of manipulation are critical issues that require careful governance. Organizations must establish robust AI ethics policies and ensure compliance with data protection regulations. Auditors, too, will need to develop ethical frameworks for the use of AI in their work, balancing technological advancement with accountability and public trust.

The regulatory environment is also evolving in response to AI adoption. Standard-setting bodies and oversight institutions are beginning to develop guidelines for the use of AI in auditing.

Correspondence to: Felix Hartmann, Department of Financial Systems, University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria, E-mail: felixhartmann@acc.cn

Received: 03-Mar-2025, Manuscript No. IJAR-25-29100; **Editor assigned:** 05-Mar-2025, Pre QC No. IJAR-25-29100 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 19-Mar-2025, QC No. IJAR-25-29100; **Revised:** 26-Mar-2025, Manuscript No. IJAR-25-29100 (R); **Published:** 02-Apr-2025, DOI: 10.35248/2472-114X.25.13.414

Citation: Hartmann F (2025). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Financial Auditing Accuracy and Efficiency. Int J Account Res.13:414.

Copyright: © 2025 Hartmann F. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

These guidelines aim to ensure that AI tools meet standards of reliability, accuracy, and fairness, while also clarifying the responsibilities of human auditors in the AI-assisted audit process. Collaboration between regulators, academia, and industry will be key to shaping a regulatory framework that supports innovation while protecting stakeholders [10].

REFERENCES

1. Dixon K. Accounting for higher education: Calculative practices in curricular administration. *Crit Perspect Account.* 2025;102:102805.
2. dos Santos LR, Behr A, Duarte GR. Recognizing accounting as a STEM discipline through professional skills in accounting information systems. *J Account Educ.* 2025;72:100970.
3. Duenas N. Accounting and post-colonial Resistance: Affective ambivalence in the international development assemblage. *Account Organ Soc.* 2025;115:101607.
4. Apostolou B, Dorminey JW, Hassell JM. Accounting education literature review. *J Account Educ.* 2022;59:100781.
5. Fear of appreciation and current account adjustment.
6. O'Leary S. Problematising the role of reflexivity in critical accounting scholarship: The case of a Northern ethnographer in the global South. *Crit Perspect Account.* 2025;102:102804.
7. Guo KH. Reforming accounting as a STEM discipline. *J Account Educ.* 2025;71:100968.
8. Bigoni M, Antonelli V, Funnell W, Cafaro EM. Accounting and biopolitics for a new society: Italian colonialism in Eritrea, Ethiopia, Libya and Somalia (1922–1941). *Crit Perspect Account.* 2025;102:102803.
9. Hampton C, Knutson M, Masli A, Stefaniak C. How negative accounting news events, voluntary ESG assurance, and assurance provider influence consumer purchasing intentions. *Account Organ Soc.* 2025;115:101599.
10. The spillover effects of accounting scandals in business groups.