



# The Generational Effects of Marriage on Children: Marriage Education as a Preventive Mental Health Intervention

Nancy Landrum\*

Department of Psychology, University of Santa Monica, California, United States America

## ABSTRACT

Over the past century, marriage has shifted from a practical institution centered on survival to one expected to provide emotional connection, personal fulfillment, and psychological safety. This transition has raised expectations without a corresponding increase in the relational skills needed to meet them, contributing to widespread marital dissatisfaction and its associated mental and physical health risks. This article explores how the quality of marriage shapes not only the well-being of partners but also the developmental trajectories of their children.

Research and clinical observations consistently show that children internalize the relational patterns they observe, carrying these models into adulthood. High-conflict or unstable marriages increase the likelihood of emotional and relational difficulties across generations, while stable, supportive partnerships foster resilience and healthier relationship outcomes. Importantly, these patterns are not fixed. Evidence demonstrates that skills such as communication, emotional regulation, and conflict repair can be learned and can significantly improve relationship quality.

Positioning marriage education as a form of preventive mental health care, this paper argues that strengthening couple relationships can improve family emotional climate, reduce children's exposure to chronic stress, and interrupt cycles of relational dysfunction. Supporting couples in developing these skills offers a practical pathway to improving both immediate and long-term outcomes for families.

**Keywords:** Marriage education; Intergenerational transmission; Child mental health; Emotional regulation; Conflict resolution; Attachment theory; Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs)

## INTRODUCTION

Historically, marriage functioned primarily as an institution for survival rather than emotional fulfillment. Anthropological and sociological evidence suggests that for much of human history, marital unions were structured around economic cooperation, reproduction, and protection rather than romantic love or emotional intimacy [1,2]. Divisions of labor reflected both biological constraints and culturally reinforced norms, with men's roles centered on provision and defense and women's roles emphasizing childrearing and domestic labor [3].

From a developmental perspective, human motivation follows a hierarchical pattern in which physiological and safety needs take precedence over relational and self-actualization needs. Maslow's hierarchy of needs proposes that higher-order needs such as intimacy, esteem, and self-fulfillment emerge only after basic survival needs are reliably met [4]. For much of history, the needs

for intimate emotional marital bonds were secondary to survival.

The Industrial Revolution and the rise of the middle class fundamentally altered this dynamic. As material stability increased, marriage gradually shifted from an economic necessity toward an emotional partnership [1]. By the mid-twentieth century, particularly following World War II, women's increased access to education and employment reduced economic dependence on marriage, allowing love, emotional safety, and personal fulfillment to become more important motivations for marital commitment [5].

This cultural shift occurred without corresponding information about how to meet these deeper needs. Marriage became expected to provide emotional intimacy, psychological safety, sexual fulfillment, and personal growth-functions it had rarely been required to serve historically [6]. Unfulfilled expectations in these areas are strongly associated with marital dissatisfaction, psychological distress, and divorce [7].

**Correspondence to:** Nancy Landrum, Department of Psychology, University of Santa Monica, California, United States America, E-mail: nancy@nancylandrum.com

**Received:** 23-Apr-2026, Manuscript No. JOP-26-31456; Editor assigned: 27-Apr-2026, PreQC No. JOP-26-31456 (PQ); Reviewed: 11-May-2026, QC No. JOP-26-31456; Revised: 18-May-2026, Manuscript No. JOP-26-31456 (R); Published: 25-May-2026, DOI: 10.35248/2378-5756.26.29.784

**Citation:** Landrum N. (2026). The Generational Effects of Marriage on Children: Marriage Education as a Preventive Mental Health Intervention. *J Psychiatry*. 29:784.

**Copyright:** © 2026 Landrum N, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

The widespread adoption of no-fault divorce laws beginning in the late 1960s reflected growing recognition of individual well-being within marriage [5]. While these reforms reduced barriers for individuals in unsafe or irreparably distressed marriages, population-level data indicate that repeated partner selection alone does not reliably produce improved relational outcomes. Divorce rates remain higher in second and subsequent marriages than in first marriages [8].

Research increasingly suggests that relational outcomes depend less on partner selection and more on learned interpersonal skills, including emotional regulation, communication, conflict management, and attachment security [9]. Longitudinal studies demonstrate that couples who receive structured relationship education show sustained improvements in marital satisfaction and reductions in conflict [10]. My observations over thirty years of teaching these skills to couples, as well as reports from other marriage educators, validate that unhappy couples who learn the functional skills demonstrated in happier marriages transform from unhappy and dissatisfied to stable and satisfying marriages [11].

From a public health perspective, marital distress is associated with increased risk for depression, anxiety disorders, cardiovascular disease, impaired immune functioning, and adverse outcomes for children [12,13]. Taken together, this evidence supports the conclusion that contemporary marital dissatisfaction reflects not the failure of marriage as an institution but a mismatch between higher expectations and insufficient skill education. Framing marital competence as the result of learnable skills rather than the automatic result of love and commitment may reduce the emotional barrier to seeking help and yield measurable benefits for family health.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### Intergenerational transmission of marital patterns

There's abundant proof that behaviors, as well as some health conditions, are passed down from generation to generation. Included in the list of inherited patterns is the quality of relationships experienced. Children do not merely observe their parents' marriages; they internalize them as implicit models for intimacy, conflict resolution, emotional regulation, and commitment. As a result, marital quality and dysfunction tend to cluster within families, much like other psychosocial and health-related conditions [14,15].

Longitudinal studies show that individuals raised in high-conflict or divorced families are significantly more likely to experience marital distress and divorce themselves, even after controlling for socioeconomic factors [16,17]. Conversely, children raised in emotionally supportive, stable marriages demonstrate greater emotional regulation, higher relationship satisfaction, and lower divorce risk in adulthood [18].

Attachment theory provides a robust framework for understanding this transmission. Unconsciously, beliefs are adopted by children before the age of seven that most often dictate the choices made as adults [19]. As a result, adults frequently recreate relational dynamics they consciously hoped to avoid, a phenomenon well documented in both clinical practice and empirical research [20].

This doesn't mean that poor choices are inevitable. Rather, it reflects the powerful influence of learned relational skills-or the

absence thereof. Cultural narratives often frame marital struggle as a personal failure, leaving couples to believe they must either endure dissatisfaction or pursue divorce. This false dichotomy obscures a third, evidence-based option: intentional acquisition of relational skills known to characterize stable, satisfying marriages.

Randomized and longitudinal studies demonstrate that communication training, conflict management education, and emotional regulation skills can significantly improve marital satisfaction and reduce divorce risk, even among high-risk couples [10,21]. When couples acquire these skills, they are not only improving their own well-being but also altering the relational templates their children will carry forward.

### Clinical observations from practice

Patterns identified in longitudinal research are consistently observed in clinical practice. Across more than three decades of coaching couples, recurring themes emerge that closely mirror empirical findings on relational inheritance.

Adults raised in chronically high-conflict or emotionally distant marriages frequently report a conscious intention to create different relational outcomes for themselves. Yet under stress, they often reproduce familiar dynamics such as emotional withdrawal, escalation, or rigid role polarization. These patterns typically emerge despite strong motivation and commitment, underscoring the role of implicit learning rather than conscious choice.

Conversely, individuals who observed emotionally responsive and respectful parental relationships tend to demonstrate greater resilience during conflict. They are more likely to assume that repair is possible, seek support earlier, and view conflict as manageable rather than threatening. These observations align with evidence that exposure to high-quality parental relationships predicts improved adult relational outcomes [18].

When couples are introduced to concrete, learnable relational skills-particularly those involving emotional regulation, communication, and repair-many report rapid shifts in marital climate. Relationships previously viewed as "failed" are reframed as "undereducated," consistent with research demonstrating the effectiveness of relationship education even among distressed couples [10,21].

### Marital skill acquisition as an upstream determinant of child outcomes

A substantial body of evidence indicates that marital functioning exerts a powerful downstream influence on children's emotional and behavioral health. From a family systems perspective, the couple relationship functions as the emotional regulatory core of the household. Couples who have learned and are practicing better communication and conflict management skills inevitably report improvement in their children's attitudes and behaviors.

Longitudinal and experimental research demonstrates that exposure to chronic interparental conflict predicts increased child anxiety, emotional insecurity, behavioral dysregulation, and impaired self-regulation, whereas reductions in conflict are associated with rapid improvements in child adjustment [22,23]. Notably, these improvements often occur even in the absence of direct child-focused intervention, suggesting that marital functioning represents a key upstream cause of child mental health [24,25].

Clinical observations are consistent with these findings. When parents develop the capacity to regulate emotions, communicate respectfully, and repair conflict effectively, children frequently demonstrate decreased acting-out behavior, increased emotional openness, greater cooperation, and improved overall well-being. Children respond not to marital perfection, but to relational safety, predictability, and repair.

Importantly, the benefits of improved marital functioning extend beyond immediate child outcomes. Children who grow up observing constructive conflict resolution and emotional responsiveness internalize these strategies as normal, reducing the likelihood of replicating high-conflict or unstable relational patterns in adulthood. In this way, marital skill acquisition contributes to healthier relationships for future generations.

### Marriage education as an ACE-mitigating preventive intervention

Conceptual cascade model of marital skill acquisition and mental health outcomes (Figure 1).

Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) are now widely recognized as potent, dose-dependent predictors of lifelong mental and physical health risk [26]. Chronic interparental conflict and relational

instability function as central stressors within the household, amplifying children's vulnerability to multiple adverse outcomes.

Many ACEs cluster rather than occur in isolation. Parental substance misuse, emotional neglect, and domestic violence frequently co-occur with relational distress, suggesting that marital functioning serves as a key determinant of risk. In this sense, marital quality represents a modifiable upstream factor that can either exacerbate or reduce children's exposure to toxic stress.

Interventions that strengthen the parental relationship have been shown to reduce children's emotional insecurity, improve behavioral regulation, and enhance family functioning, even when children are not the direct targets of treatment [24,25]. These outcomes align with emerging models of ACE prevention that emphasize strengthening relationships rather than focusing solely on remediation after harm has occurred.

Framing marriage education as a normal component of preventive health care has important clinical and policy implications. Normalizing relational skill development—much like parenting education or chronic disease self-management—may reduce stigma, promote earlier intervention, and expand access to support before relational distress escalates into trauma for both adults and their children.



**Figure 1:** Illustrates how marital skill acquisition functions as an upstream, lifestyle-based preventive intervention influencing family climate, child mental health, and intergenerational outcomes.

## CONCLUSION

Mental health prevention and early intervention efforts increasingly recognize the importance of addressing upstream, modifiable determinants of risk. The evidence reviewed in this paper indicates that marital quality represents one such determinant, exerting a powerful influence on family emotional climate, child mental health, and intergenerational relational outcomes.

By reframing marital competence as a set of learnable skills rather than the automatic outcome of love and commitment, marriage education can be integrated into lifestyle-oriented and preventive mental health frameworks. Strengthening the couple relationship reduces children's exposure to chronic relational stress, supports emotional security, and promotes healthier relational templates

that persist into adulthood and are passed down to future generations.

## REFERENCES

1. Coontz S. Marriage, a history: From obedience to intimacy, or how love conquered marriage. Viking. 2005.
2. Stone L. The family, sex and marriage in England 1500-1800. Harper & Row. 1977.
3. Hrdy SB. Mothers and others: The evolutionary origins of mutual understanding. Harvard University Press. 2009.
4. Maslow AH. A theory of human motivation. Psychol Rev. 1943;50(4):370.
5. Cherlin AJ. The deinstitutionalization of American marriage. J

- Marriage Fam. 2004;66(4):848-861.
6. Finkel EJ, Hui CM, Carswell KL, Larson GM. The suffocation of marriage: Climbing Mount Maslow without enough oxygen. *Psychol Inq.* 2014;25(1):1-41.
  7. Whisman MA. Marital distress and DSM-IV psychiatric disorders in a population-based national survey. *J Abnorm Psychol.* 2007;116(3):638-643.
  8. Amato PR. Research on divorce: Continuing trends and new developments. *J Marriage Fam.* 2010;72(3):650-666.
  9. Bradbury T N, Karney BR. *Intimate relationships* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). W. W. Norton & Company. 2010.
  10. Hawkins AJ, Blanchard VL, Baldwin SA, Fawcett EB. Does marriage and relationship education work? A meta-analytic study. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2008;76(5):723.
  11. Gottman JM, Levenson RW. The timing of divorce: Predicting when a couple will divorce over a 14<sup>th</sup> year period. *J Marriage Fam.* 2000;62(3):737-745.
  12. Robles TF, Slatcher RB, Trombello JM, McGinn MM. Marital quality and health: a meta-analytic review. *Psychol Bull.* 2014;140(1):140.
  13. Whisman MA, Baucom DH. Intimate relationships and psychopathology. *Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev.* 2012;15(1):4-13.
  14. Amato PR, Booth A. *A generation at risk: Growing up in an era of family upheaval.* Harvard University Press. 1997.
  15. Conger RD, Cui M, Bryant CM, Elder Jr GH. Competence in early adult romantic relationships: a developmental perspective on family influences. *J Pers Soc Psychol.* 2000;79(2):224.
  16. Amato PR. (1996). Explaining the intergenerational transmission of divorce. *J Marriage Fam.* 1996;58(3):628-640.
  17. Wolfinger NH. Understanding the divorce cycle: The children of divorce in their own marriages. Cambridge University Press. 2005.
  18. Cui M, Fincham FD, Pasley BK. Young adult romantic relationships: The role of parents' marital problems and relationship efficacy. *Pers Soc Psychol Bull.* 2011;37(5):684-698.
  19. Bowlby J. *A secure base: Parent-child attachment and healthy human development.* Basic Books. (1988).
  20. Fraley RC, Roisman GI. The development of adult attachment styles: Four lessons. *Curr Opin Psychol.* 2019;25:26-30.
  21. Markman HJ, Rhoades GK, Stanley SM, Ragan EP, Whitton SW. The PREP approach: Empirically supported couple and relationship education. In F. Walsh (Ed.), *Normal family processes* (4th ed., pp. 243-267). Guilford Press. 2010.
  22. Cummings EM, Davies PT. *Marital conflict and children: An emotional security perspective.* Guilford Press. 2011.
  23. Harold GT, Sellers R. Annual research review: Interparental conflict and youth psychopathology: An evidence review and practice focused update. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 2018;59(4):374-402.
  24. Cummings EM, Faircloth WB, Mitchell PM, Cummings JS, Schermerhorn AC. Evaluating a brief prevention program for improving marital conflict in community families. *J Fam Psychol.* 2008;22(2):193.
  25. Feinberg ME, Jones DE, Kan ML, Goslin MC. Effects of family foundations on parents and children: 3.5 years after baseline. *J Fam Psychol.* 2010;24(5):532.
  26. Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, Williamson DF, Spitz AM, Edwards V, Marks JS. Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults: The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. *Am J Prev Med.* 1998;14(4):245-58.