OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

ISSN: 2155-9546
Journal of Aquaculture Research & Development

Short communication

Rising Yields from Water: Expanding Horizons in Aquatic Biomass Production

Nikola Rogaume*

Department of Aquatic Systems, Innovation University Littorale Européenne, Marseille, France

DESCRIPTION

Aquatic biomass production has grown into an essential field within food systems and environmental management, offering a dependable method for generating living resources from water-based environments. It refers to the cultivation of organisms such as fish, algae, crustaceans, and aquatic plants under controlled or semi-controlled conditions. As global demand for protein continues to increase, this field provides an efficient alternative to land-based agriculture, especially in regions where arable land is limited or environmental conditions restrict traditional farming [1-3].

The concept revolves around optimizing biological growth in aquatic environments by managing water quality, nutrient supply, species selection, and system design. Unlike capture fisheries, which depend on natural stocks, this approach allows producers to regulate growth cycles and yields. By doing so, it reduces pressure on wild populations while offering predictable production outcomes. Freshwater, brackish, and marine systems each support different species, enabling a wide range of production models adapted to local ecological conditions [4-6].

One of the defining features of aquatic biomass production is its efficient use of resources. Water acts not only as a habitat but also as a medium for nutrient distribution and waste dilution. Compared to terrestrial livestock, many aquatic organisms convert feed into body mass more efficiently. Fish, for instance, require less feed per unit of weight gain than cattle or poultry, partly due to their buoyancy, which reduces energy expenditure. This efficiency has attracted attention from researchers and policymakers seeking to improve food security without expanding agricultural land use [7-9].

Technological advancements have significantly improved productivity and consistency. Recirculating systems allow water to be filtered and reused, reducing consumption and minimizing environmental discharge. Sensors and monitoring tools enable real-time tracking of oxygen levels, temperature, and nutrient concentrations, allowing for precise adjustments that support optimal growth conditions. Automated feeding systems ensure that feed is distributed in controlled amounts, reducing waste and improving feed conversion ratios.

Social implications are equally significant. Aquatic biomass production provides employment opportunities in rural and coastal communities, supporting livelihoods and reducing migration pressures. Training and capacity-building programs help individuals adopt improved practices, enhancing productivity and income stability. As awareness of sustainable food systems grows, consumers are increasingly interested in responsibly produced aquatic products, encouraging producers to adopt better standards [10].

Climate variability presents both challenges and opportunities. Changes in temperature, rainfall patterns, and water availability can affect production systems. Adaptive strategies, such as selecting resilient species and modifying system designs, help mitigate these effects. In some cases, rising temperatures may even expand the range of suitable locations for certain species, offering new possibilities for production.

Research continues to explore innovative approaches that improve efficiency and sustainability. Genetic improvement programs aim to develop species with faster growth rates, better disease resistance, and improved tolerance to environmental changes. Advances in biotechnology contribute to enhanced feed formulations and health management practices. Data-driven approaches, including artificial intelligence and machine learning, are being integrated into production systems to optimize decision-making and resource allocation.

CONCLUSION

Aquatic biomass production stands as a dynamic and evolving domain, offering practical solutions to some of the most pressing challenges related to food supply and resource management. Its capacity to produce high-quality biomass with efficient resource use makes it a valuable component of modern agriculture. With ongoing research and thoughtful implementation, it is positioned to play an increasingly important role in meeting global nutritional needs.

Correspondence to: Nikola Rogaume, Department of Aquatic Systems, Innovation University Littorale Européenne, Marseille, France, E-mail: nikola.rogaume@ule-fr.eu

Received: 27-Feb-2026, Manuscript No. JARD-26-31673; **Editor assigned:** 02-Mar-2026, PreQC No. JARD-26-31673 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 16-Mar-2026, QC No. JARD-26-31673; **Revised:** 23-Mar-2026, Manuscript No. JARD-26-31673 (R); **Published:** 30-Mar-2026, DOI: 10.35248/2155-9546.26.17.1087

Citation: Rogaume N (2026). Rising Yields from Water: Expanding Horizons in Aquatic Biomass Production. J Aquac Res Dev. 17:1087.

REFERENCES

1. Wang G, Wu X, Yin Y. Synthetic biology-driven customization of functional feed resources. Trends Biotechnol. 2022;40(7):777-780.
2. Fackler N, Heijstra BD, Rasor BJ, Brown H, Martin J, Ni Z, et al . Stepping on the gas to a circular economy: accelerating development of carbon-negative chemical production from gas fermentation. Annu Rev Chem Biomol Eng. 2021;12:439-470.
3. Sousa-Silva M, Vieira D, Soares P, Casal M, Soares-Silva I. Expanding the knowledge on the skillful yeast Cyberlindnera jadinii. J Fungi (Basel). 2021;7(1):36.
4. Gu L, Zhang R, Fan X, Wang Y, Ma K, Jiang J, et al . Development of CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing tools for polyploid yeast Cyberlindnera jadinii and its application in engineering heterologous steroid-producing strains. ACS Synth Biol. 2023;12(10):2947-2960.
5. Protano C, Buomprisco G, Cammalleri V, Pocino RN, Marotta D, Simonazzi S, et al. The carcinogenic effects of formaldehyde occupational exposure: a systematic review. Cancers (Basel). 2021;14(1):165.
6. Tong S, Chen W, Hong R, Chai M, Sun Y, Wang Q, et al. Efficient mycoprotein production with low CO2 emissions through metabolic engineering and fermentation optimization of Fusarium venenatum. J Agric Food Chem. 2023;72(1):604-612.
7. Jenjitwanich A, Marx H, Sauer M. Metabolic shifts induced by pH variation in Yarrowia lipolytica biofilm. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2025;372:fnaf101.
8. Tong S, Zhao L, Zhu D, Chen W, Chen L, Li D. From formic acid to single-cell protein: Genome-scale revealing the metabolic network of Paracoccus communis MA5. Bioresour Bioprocess. 2022;9(1):55.
9. Lei C, Guo X, Zhang M, Zhou X, Ding N, Ren J, et al. Regulating the metabolic flux of pyruvate dehydrogenase bypass to enhance lipid production in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Commun. Biol.. 2024;7(1):1399.
10. Botchkarev Jr VV, Haber JE. Functions and regulation of the Polo-like kinase Cdc5 in the absence and presence of DNA damage. Curr Genet. 2018;64(1):87-96.