

Research Article **Prediction of Normal Boiling Points of Hydrocarbons Using Simple Molecular Properties**

Kamal I. Al-Malah

Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Hail, Hail, Saudi Arabia Address correspondence to Kamal I. Al-Malah, almalak61@hotmail.com

Received 6 November 2012; Revised 8 February 2013; Accepted 12 February 2013

Copyright © 2013 Kamal I. Al-Malah. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract Four hundred and seventy-six hydrocarbons (C_nH_m) were utilized to fit their normal boiling point temperatures (NBPT) as a function of molecular weight and carbon atomic fraction. The proposed model is of the following form: NBPT = $a^*(C_{\text{frac}})^{\wedge}b^*(MW)^{\wedge}c$, where a, b, and c are the non-linear regressed parameters for the given model; C_{frac} is the carbon atomic fraction in a molecule, which is equal to n/(n+m) for a hydrocarbon compound; and MW is the molecular weight, which is calculated as $12^*n + 1^*m$. The model was found to predict NBPT with an adequate accuracy, manifested via the associated percent relative error (PRE) of the curve-fitted NBPT. Out of the examined 476 hydrocarbons, methane, ethylene, and acetylene were found to have PRE values higher than 10%. If the confidence interval is further reduced to PRE value less than 5%, then 43 compounds will be excluded, and then NBPT for the other 433 compounds could be well predicted by the proposed model. Although the proposed model does not differentiate among isomers having the same molecular weight and chemical formula, nevertheless, the difference in NBPT among isomers is not really significant to be picked up by a simple, straightforward model. A more rigorous model will work hard to offset such small differences in NBPT among isomers, nevertheless, at the expense of model simplicity.

Keywords model; normal boiling point; hydrocarbons; carbon atoms; molecular formula; hydrocarbons

1 Introduction

The prediction of physicochemical properties like the normal boiling point temperature (NBPT) of a substance is a major target of computational chemistry. NBPT is one of the major physicochemical properties used to identify a compound. This property is a fundamental characteristic of chemical compounds, and it is involved in many correlations used to estimate thermo-physical properties. In fact, commercial simulators, like ASPEN PLUS®, can be used to identify, or fill in the gaps of, a molecule with given chemical formula; nevertheless, software packages require some properties of the compound as a priori. NBPT and standard liquid density are the most important properties, for such properties, along with group contribution methods, facilitate the estimation of other missing properties.

NBPT of a compound is related, in general, to its molecular structure; but the nature of the relationship is not straightforward. Different models were used to correlate the boiling points of homologous hydrocarbons with the number of carbon atoms or molecular weight [5]. The group contribution method, used for predicting NBPT, relies on the assumption that the cohesion forces in the liquid predominantly have a short-range character, and the complex molecule is sub-divided into predefined structural groups, each of which adds a constant increment to the value of a property for a compound. In general, the group contribution methods give good predictions of boiling points for small and non-polar molecules [4].

Ivanciuc et al. [3] used quantitative structure-property relationship (QSPR) models for the estimation of boiling points of organic compounds containing halogens, oxygen, or sulfur without hydrogen bonding, accompanied by the comprehensive descriptors for structural and statistical analysis (CODESSA). Using the multi-linear regression (MLR), the boiling points of 185 compounds containing oxygen or sulfur could be accurately computed with a MLR equation containing six theoretical descriptors and having the following statistical indices: $R^2 = 0.992$ and standard deviation of 6.3 °C. For a set of 534 halogenated alkanes C1-C4, the best MLR equation with five descriptors has $R^2 = 0.990$ and standard deviation of 9.0 °C. In their opinion, the QSPR models developed with CODESSA allowed accurate computation of the boiling points of organic compounds using simple constitutional, topological, electrostatic, and quantum indices that could be computed with standard quantum chemistry.

Cholakov et al. [2] proposed a correlation between the molecular structure and the normal boiling point of hydrocarbons. Its main features are the relative simplicity, sound predictions, and applicability to diversified industrially important structures, whose boiling points and numbers of carbon atoms span a wide range. They used two types of descriptors: molecular energy and carbon atom descriptors. For the first type, a structure is treated as a collection of atoms held together by elastic (harmonic) forces-bonds, which constitute the force field. For the second type, it comprises the highest level of sophistication, like the graph topological indices, derived from the adjacency and distance matrices of a chemical structure and the lowest level of sophistication of carbon atom descriptors, like the numbers of atoms engaged in specific groups (atom counts).

Wang et al. [6] extended the application of conductorlike screening model-based segment activity coefficient model for boiling point calculation (COSMO-SAC-BP) solvation model to predict NBPT for environmentally significant substances that are large and more complex molecules, including pollutants, herbicides, insecticides, and drugs. The average absolute deviation in the predicted boiling points of these complex molecules, which spans the range of 266–708 K, was 17.8 K or 3.7%. They concluded that their 3.7% was similar to the value of 3.2% that was obtained for 369 molecules in their earlier study, indicating that this method could be applied well outside the systems used to train the model.

Chan et al. [1] proposed an empirical method for estimating the boiling points of organic molecules based on density functional theory (DFT) calculations with polarized continuum model (PCM) solvent corrections. The boiling points were calculated as the sum of three contributions. The first term was directly calculated from the structural formula of the molecule and was related to its effective surface area. The second was a measure of the electronic interactions between molecules, based on the DFT-PCM solvation energy, and the third was employed only for planar aromatic molecules. The method was found applicable to a very diverse range of organic molecules, with normal boiling points in the range of -50 °C to 500 °C, and included 10 different elements (C, H, Br, Cl, F, N, O, P, S, and Si).

In this model, the NBPT of a hydrocarbon compound is expressed as a function of simple molecular indicators, namely, the carbon atomic fraction (C_{frac}) and molecular weight (*MW*). Such molecular indicators are really simple to calculate. For example, given methane (CH₄), then its C_{frac} will be 1/(1+4) = 0.20. Moreover, its *MW* is simply equal to $1 \times 12 + 4 \times 1 = 16$. On the other hand, the difference in NBPT among isomers having the same C_{frac} and *MW* was found to be small. Any attempt to account for such small differences among isomers will be at the expense of model simplicity.

2 Model development

Four hundred and seventy-six hydrocarbon compounds were used in the non-linear regression process for finding the best fit for their normal boiling point properties. The database of hydrocarbon compounds includes the following categories:

- (1) Normal paraffin: example: *n*-alkane.
- (2) Non-normal paraffin: example: iso-alkane, methylalkane, ethyl-alkane, and methyl-ethyl-alkane.
- (3) Naphthene: the major structure is saturated ring; example: cyclo-alkane.
- (4) Olefin: contains a single C=C double bond; example: alkene, methyl-alkene, ethyl-alkene, and di-methylalkene.
- (5) Diolefin: contains two C=C double bonds; example: alkadiene, methyl-alkadiene, and ethyl-alkadiene.
- (6) Cyclic olefin: contains a single C=C double bond within the otherwise saturated ring; example: cycloalkene, methyl-cyclo-alkene, and ethyl-cyclo-alkene.
- (7) Alkyne: contains a C≡C triple bond between carbons; example: acetylene, methyl acetylene, pentyne, and hexyne.
- (8) Aromatic: contains a single ring; example: benzene, toluene, and xylene.
- (9) Aromatic with attached olefin side chain: example: Styrene, ethenyl-benzene, and propenyl-benzene.
- (10) Aromatic with multiple rings directly connected by C-C bonds between the rings: example: bi-phenyl and 1-methyl-2-phenylbenzene.
- (11) Aromatic with multiple rings connected through other saturated carbon species: example: di-phenyl-methane and 1,1-di-phenyl-dodecane.
- (12) Aromatic with multiple rings connected through other carbon species with triple bond: example: di-phenyl-acetylene.
- (13) Aromatic with multiple condensed rings: example: naphthalene, pyrene, methyl-naphthalene, and nonylnaphthalene.
- (14) Aromatic with attached saturated rings: examples: 1,2,3,4-tetra-hydro-naphthalene and 1-methyl-2,3-dihydro-indene.
- (15) Aromatic with attached unsaturated (but not aromatic) rings: example: indene and 1-methyl-indene.

The carbon atomic fraction (X) and molecular weight (Y) were chosen as the independent variables, and the NBPT represented the dependent variable (Z) from regression point of view:

$$Z = a \times (X)^b \times (Y)^c = \text{NBPT} = a(C_{\text{frac}})^b \times (MW)^c.$$
(1)

For example, given methane (CH₄), then its C_{frac} will be 1/(1+4) = 0.20. Moreover, its *MW* is simply equal to $1 \times 12 + 4 \times 1 = 16$.

The results of non-linear regression for (1), with 95% confidence interval, are:

$$Z = \text{NBPT} = (49.5 \pm 0.24) \times (\text{C}_{\text{frac}})^{(0.2791 \pm 0.0021)} \times (MW)^{(0.5039 \pm 0.0008)}.$$
(2)

Table 1: Small	l size molecu	iles, like r	nethane,	ethyler	ie, and
acetylene were	found to have	ve PRE hig	gher than	10%.	

Database SN ^a	Compound	Formula	PRE (%)
1	Methane	CH ₄	14.6%
191	Ethylene	C_2H_4	15.4%
321	Acetylene	C ₂ H ₂	11.5%

^aSN: Serial number in the API database of hydrocarbons.

The goodness of fit for (2) is given by *R*-square as 0.9997 and adjusted *R*-square as 0.9997 with the sum of squared error (SSE) of $1,796 \text{ K}^2$ and root mean squared error (RMSE) of 1.949 K. The RMSE is essentially the standard error in MATLAB® notation.

The PRE is defined as:

$$PRE = \frac{|Curve-fitted NBPT - Experimental NBPT|}{Experimental NBPT}$$
(3)
 $\times 100\%.$

From engineering applications standpoint, it is tolerated to have uncertainty associated with a measured or calculated quantity, which amounts to a maximum PRE value of 10%.

3 Results and discussion

The mean PRE for all examined compounds was found to be 2.07, with a standard error of 2.1. However, Table 1 shows three compounds that have PRE higher than 10%.

Other than that, the model could predict well the normal boiling point temperature of a hydrocarbon as a function of its molecular size and carbon atomic (mole) fraction.

Figure 1 shows the plot of the curve-fitted NBPT versus the experimental NBPT for all examined 476 hydrocarbons. Most of the data points fall on the 45° diagonal (Y = X). There is, however, a small deviation in the high-boiling point region. Figure 2 shows that only three data points lie above the 10% PRE datum. In fact, if we take our datum to be 5% not 10%, then we will exclude only 43 compounds with PRE higher than 5%. The 43 compounds that have PRE > 5.0 are



Figure 1: Plot of the curve-fitted NBPT versus the experimental NBPT for all examined 476 hydrocarbons.



Figure 2: The PRE for all examined 476 hydrocarbons.

shown in Table 2. The appendix contains all hydrocarbons used in this study.

On the other hand, regarding the isomers or stereochemistry of molecules, an example is shown here to demonstrate the strength and weakness of the model. Table 3 shows 17 different isomers that have the same chemical formula, that is, C_8H_{18} and molecular weight of 114.23.

#	SN	Compound	Formula	PRE (%)
1	1	Methane	CH ₄	14.5595
2	30	<i>n</i> -triacontane	C30H62	5.4471
3	33	Neopentane	$C_{5}H_{12}$	7.4944
4	90	2,2,5,5-tetramethylhexane	$C_{10}H_{22}$	5.9635
5	178	Cycloheptane	C_7H_{14}	6.2384
6	179	Cyclooctane	C_8H_{16}	7.3618
7	180	Cyclononane	C ₉ H ₁₈	7.6306
8	183	Cis-decahydronaphthalene	C ₁₀ H ₁₈	5.0833
9	185	1-methyl-[cis-decahydro-naphthalene]	$C_{11}H_{20}$	9.6191
10	186	1-methyl-[trans-decahydro-naphthalene]	C ₁₁ H ₂₀	8.1962
11	187	1-ethyl-[cis-decahydro-naphthalene]	C ₁₂ H ₂₂	8.6644
12	188	1-ethyl-[trans-decahydro-naphthalene]	$C_{12}H_{22}$	7.7997

Table 2: Forty-three compounds with PRE higher than 5%.

Table 2: To be continued.					
#	SN	Compound	Formula	PRE (%)	
13	191	Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	15.3562	
14	192	Propylene	C ₃ H ₆	6.3560	
15	201	3-methyl-1-butene	$C_{5}H_{10}$	5.7819	
16	218	3,3-dimethyl-1-butene	$C_{6}H_{12}$	8.1481	
17	247	4,4-dimethyl-1-pentene	$C_{7}H_{14}$	6.3129	
18	253	Trans-4,4-dimethyl-2-pentene	$C_{7}H_{14}$	5.0300	
19	289	Propadiene	C_3H_4	5.1350	
20	291	1,3-butadiene	C_4H_6	6.5436	
21	295	1,4-pentadiene	C ₅ H ₈	6.3488	
22	318	Dicyclopentadiene	$C_{10}H_{12}$	5.0799	
23	319	Alpha-pinene	$C_{10}H_{16}$	5.0768	
24	321	Acetylene	C_2H_2	11.4610	
25	325	Vinylacetylene	C_4H_4	7.4442	
26	328	3-methyl-1-butyne	C ₅ H ₈	6.2127	
27	408	1,1-diphenylhexane	$C_{18}H_{22}$	5.1471	
28	409	1,1-dipmenylheptane	$C_{19}H_{24}$	5.3710	
29	410	1,1-diphenyloctane	$C_{20}H_{26}$	5.7067	
30	411	1,1-diphenylnonane	$C_{21}H_{28}$	6.1533	
31	412	1,1-diphenyldecane	$C_{22}H_{30}$	6.7123	
32	413	1,1-dipmenylundecane	$C_{23}H_{32}$	7.2137	
33	414	1,1-diphenyldodecane	$C_{24}H_{34}$	7.8285	
34	415	1,1-diphenyltridecane	$C_{25}H_{36}$	8.3921	
35	416	1,1-diphenyltetradecane	$C_{26}H_{38}$	9.0689	
36	417	1,1-diphenylpentadecane	$C_{27}H_{40}$	9.6972	
37	418	Cis-1,2-diphenylethene	$C_{14}H_{12}$	6.6479	
38	420	Phenylacetylene	C ₈ H ₆	5.0306	
39	422	1,2-diphenylbenzene	$C_{18}H_{14}$	7.3042	
40	472	Anthracene	$C_{14}H_{10}$	5.5665	
41	473	Phenanthrene	$C_{14}H_{10}$	5.4280	
42	474	Pyrene	$C_{16}H_{10}$	6.0386	
43	476	Chrysene	$C_{18}H_{12}$	7.2446	

Table 3: Seventeen different stereo-chemical compounds with the same molecular weight (114.3) and chemical formula (C_8H_{18}) . The minimum, maximum, and mean of NBPT (K) is shown at the bottom.

#	Compound	Experimental NBPT (K)		
1	2-methylheptane	390.79		
2	3-methylheptane	392.07		
3	4-methylheptane	390.86		
4	3-ethylhexane	391.68		
5	2,2-dimethylhexane	379.99		
6	2,3-dimethylhexane	388.76		
7	2,4-dimethylhexane	382.58		
8	2,5-dimethylhexane	382.26		
9	3,3-dimethylhexane	385.12		
10	3,4-dimethylhexane	390.88		
11	2-methyl-3-ethylpentane	388.80		
12	3-methyl-3-ethylpentane	391.41		
13	2,2,3-trimethylpentane	382.99		
14	2,2,4-trimethylpentane	372.39		
15	2,3,3-trimethylpentane	387.92		
16	2,3,4-trimethylpentane	386.62		
17	2,2,3,3-tetramethylbutane	379.62		
			Predicted	PRE (%)
	Minimum	372.39	387.8	4.1
	Maximum	392.07	387.8	1.1
	Mean	386.16	387.8	0.4

Based on the proposed model (2), the predicted NBPT is:

NBPT =
$$(49.5) \times (0.30769)^{(0.2791)} \times (114.23)^{(0.5039)}$$

= 387.8 K.

This means that the value given by the proposed model matches well the mean value shown in Table 3, with a PRE value of 0.4%. As Table 3 shows, the maximum PRE (%) is found to be 4.1% for such a set of stereo-chemical compounds. Moreover, in the previous set, the maximum percent relative difference occurs between the lowest and mean of experimental NBPT:

$$\frac{(386.2 - 372.4)}{386.2} \times 100\% = 3.6\%.$$

So strictly speaking, it is true that the proposed model does not differentiate among isomers of the same molecular weight and chemical formula; however, at the same time, a maximum percent relative difference of 3.6% is really hardly noticeable by this model. A more rigorous model will work hard to offset this 3.6% value, but at the expense of model simplicity.

4 Conclusion

The NBPT for a hydrocarbon compound could be expressed as a function of simple molecular properties with an adequate accuracy manifested via the associated PRE of the curve-fitted NBPT. It is very easy for the user to calculate both the molecular weight and the carbon atomic fraction for a given chemical formula of a hydrocarbon (C_nH_m). Out of the examined 476 hydrocarbons, methane, ethylene, and acetylene were found to have PRE values higher than 10%. If the confidence interval is further confined down to PRE value less than 5%, then 43 compounds will be excluded, and then NBPT for the other 433 compounds could be well predicted by the proposed model. Consequently, in fulfillment of the acceptable engineering accuracy, one can say that the model adequately predicts NBPT for each of 433 different hydrocarbons with PRE less than 5% for each.

Appendix

List of 476 hydrocarbons used in the non-linear regression process to express the normal boiling point temperature as a function of hydrocarbon molecular weight and its carbon atomic fraction.

DB			DB		
SN	Compound	Formula	SN	Compound	Formula
1	Methane	CH ₄	29	<i>n</i> -nonacosane	C ₂₉ H ₆₀
2	Ethane	C_2H_6	30	<i>n</i> -triacontane	C ₃₀ H ₆₂
3	Propane	C ₃ H ₈	31	Isobutane	C_4H_{10}
4	<i>n</i> -butane	C_4H_{10}	32	Isopentane	C ₅ H ₁₂
5	<i>n</i> -pentane	$C_{5}H_{12}$	33	Neopentane	$C_{5}H_{12}$
6	<i>n</i> -hexane	C_6H_{14}	34	2-methylpentane	C_6H_{14}
7	<i>n</i> -heptane	$C_{7}H_{16}$	35	3-methylpentane	$C_{6}H_{14}$
8	<i>n</i> -octane	$C_{8}H_{18}$	36	2,2-dimethylbutane	C_6H_{14}
9	<i>n</i> -nonane	C_9H_{20}	37	2,3-dimethylbutane	$C_{6}H_{14}$
10	<i>n</i> -decane	$C_{10}H_{22}$	38	2-methylhexane	C_7H_{16}
11	n-undecane	$C_{11}H_{24}$	39	3-methylhexane	C_7H_{16}
12	n-dodecane	$C_{12}H_{26}$	40	3-ethylpentane	C_7H_{16}
13	<i>n</i> -tridecane	$C_{13}H_{28}$	41	2,2-dimethylpentane	C_7H_{16}
14	<i>n</i> -tetradecane	$C_{14}H_{30}$	42	2,3-dimethylpentane	C_7H_{16}
15	n-pentadecane	C ₁₅ H ₃₂	43	2,4-dimethylpentane	C_7H_{16}
16	n-hexadecane	$C_{16}H_{34}$	44	3,3-dimetmylpentane	C_7H_{16}
17	n-heptadecane	C ₁₇ H ₃₆	45	2,2,3-trimethylbutane	C ₇ H ₁₆
18	n-octadecane	C ₁₈ H ₃₈	46	2-methylheptane	C ₈ H ₁₈
19	n-nonadecane	$C_{19}H_{40}$	47	3-methylheptane	C ₈ H ₁₈
20	n-eicosane	$C_{20}H_{42}$	48	4-methylheptane	C ₈ H ₁₈
21	n-heneicosane	$C_{21}H_{44}$	49	3-ethylhexane	C ₈ H ₁₈
22	<i>n</i> -docosane	$C_{22}H_{46}$	50	2,2-dimethylhexane	C ₈ H ₁₈
23	<i>n</i> -tricosane	$C_{23}H_{48}$	51	2,3-dimethylhexane	C ₈ H ₁₈
24	<i>n</i> -tetracosane	$C_{24}H_{50}$	52	2,4-dimethylhexane	C ₈ H ₁₈
25	n-pentacosane	$C_{25}H_{52}$	53	2,5-dimethylhexane	C ₈ H ₁₈
26	n-hexacosane	$C_{26}H_{54}$	54	3,3-dimethylhexane	C ₈ H ₁₈
27	n-heptacosane	C ₂₇ H ₅₆	55	3,4-dimethylhexane	C ₈ H ₁₈
28	<i>n</i> -octacosane	$C_{28}H_{58}$	56	2-methyl-3-ethylpentane	C ₈ H ₁₈

DB			DB		
SN	Compound	Formula	SN	Compound	Formula
57	3-methyl-3-ethylpentane	C ₈ H ₁₈	112	Trans-1-methyl-2-ethyl-cyclopentane	C_8H_{16}
58	2,2,3-trimethylpentane	C_8H_{18}	113	Cis-l-methyl-3-ethyl-cyclopentane	$C_8 H_{16}$
59	2,2,4-trimethylpentane	$C_{8}H_{18}$	114	Trans-1-methyl-3-ethyl-cyclopentane	$C_8 H_{16}$
60	2,3,3-trimethylpentane	$C_8 H_{18}$	115	1,1,2-trimetmylcyclopentane	$C_8 H_{16}$
61	2,3,4-trimethylpentane	$C_8 H_{18}$	116	1,1,3-trimetmylcyclopentane	$C_{8}H_{16}$
62	2,2,3,3-tetramethylbutane	$C_8 H_{18}$	117	l,cis-2,cis-3-trimethyl-cyclopentane	$C_8 H_{16}$
63	2-methyloctane	C_9H_{20}	118	l,cis-2,trans-3-trimethyl-cyclopentane	C_8H_{16}
64	3-methyloctane	$C_{9}H_{20}$	119	l,trans-2,cis-3-trimethyl-cyclopentane	C ₈ H ₁₆
65	4-methyloctane	$C_9 H_{20}$	120	l,cis-2,cis-4-trimethyl-cyclopentane	$C_8 H_{16}$
66	3-ethylheptane	$C_{9}H_{20}$	121	l,cis-2,trans-4-trimetmyl-cyclopentane	$C_{8}H_{16}$
67	2,2-dimethylheptane	$C_{9}H_{20}$	122	l,trans-2,cis-4-trimethyl-cyclopentane	$C_{8}H_{16}$
68	2,6-dimethylheptane	$C_{9}H_{20}$	123	n-butylcyclopentane	C ₉ H ₁₈
69	2,2,3-trimethylhexane	$C_{9}H_{20}$	124	Isobutylcyclopentane	C ₉ H ₁₈
70	2,2,4-trimethylhexane	C_9H_{20}	125	1-methyl-1-n-propyl-cyclopentane	C ₉ H ₁₈
71	2,2,5-trimethylhexane	$C_{9}H_{20}$	126	1,1-diethylcyclopentane	C ₉ H ₁₈
72	2,3,3-trimethylhexane	C_9H_{20}	127	Cis-1,2-diethylcyclopentane	C ₉ H ₁₈
73	2,4,4-trimethylhexane	$C_{9}H_{20}$	128	1,1-dimethyl-2-ethyl-cyclopentane	C ₉ H ₁₈
74	3,3,4-trimethylhexane	$C_{9}H_{20}$	129	n-pentylcyclopentane	$C_{10}H_{20}$
75	3,3-diethylpentane	$C_{9}H_{20}$	130	n-hexylcyclopentane	C ₁₁ H ₂₂
76	2,2-dimethyl-3-ethylpentane	$C_{9}H_{20}$	131	n-heptylcyclopentane	C ₁₂ H ₂₄
77	2,4-dimethyl-3-ethylpentane	C_9H_{20}	132	n-octylcyclopentane	C ₁₃ H ₂₆
78	2,2,3,3-tetramethylpentane	$C_{9}H_{20}$	133	n-nonylcyclopentane	C ₁₄ H ₂₈
79	2,2,3,4-tetramethylpentane	C_9H_{20}	134	n-decylcyclopentane	C ₁₅ H ₃₀
80	2,2,4,4-tetramethylpentane	$C_{9}H_{20}$	135	n-undecylcyclopentane	C ₁₆ H ₃₂
81	2,3,3,4-tetramethylpentane	C_9H_{20}	136	n-oodecylcyclopentane	C ₁₇ H ₃₄
82	2-methylnonane	$C_{10}H_{22}$	137	n-trrdecylcyclopentane	C ₁₈ H ₃₆
83	3-methylnonane	$C_{10}H_{22}$	138	n-tetradecylcyclopentane	C19H38
84	4-methylnonane	$C_{10}H_{22}$	139	n-pentadecylcyclopentane	$C_{20}H_{40}$
85	5-methylnonane	$C_{10}H_{22}$	140	n-hexadecylcyclopentane	$C_{21}H_{42}$
86	2,7-dimethyloctane	$C_{10}H_{22}$	141	n-heptadecylcyclopentane	$C_{22}H_{44}$
87	3,3,4-trimethylheptane	$C_{10}H_{22}$	142	n-octadecylcyclopentane	$C_{23}H_{46}$
88	3,3,5-trimethylheptane	$C_{10}H_{22}$	143	n-nonadecylcyclopentane	$C_{24}H_{48}$
89	2,2,3,3-tetramethylhexane	$C_{10}H_{22}$	144	n-eicosylcyclopentane	$C_{25}H_{50}$
90	2,2,5,5-tetramethylhexane	$C_{10}H_{22}$	145	Cyclohexane	$C_{6}H_{12}$
91	2,4-dimethyl-3-isopropyl-pentane	$C_{10}H_{22}$	146	Methylcyclohexane	$C_7 H_{14}$
92	Cyclopropane	C_3H_6	147	Ethylcyclohexane	$C_{8}H_{16}$
93	Methylcyclopropane	C_4H_8	148	1,1-dimethylcyclohexane	C ₈ H ₁₆
94	Ethylcyclopropane	$C_{5}H_{10}$	149	Cis-1,2-dimethylcyclohexane	C ₈ H ₁₆
95	Cis-1,2-dimethylcyclopropane	$C_{5}H_{10}$	150	TRANS-1,2-dimethylcyclohexane	C_8H_{16}
96	Trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropane	$C_5 H_{10}$	151	CIS-1,3-dimetmylcyclohexane	C_8H_{16}
97	Cyclobutane	C_4H_8	152	Trans-1,3-dimethylcyclohexane	C_8H_{16}
98	Methylcyclobutane	$C_5 H_{10}$	153	Cis-1,4-dimethylcyclonexane	C_8H_{16}
99	Ethylcyclobutane	$C_6 H_{12}$	154	Irans-1,4-dimethylcyclohexane	C_8H_{16}
100	Cyclopentane	$C_5 H_{10}$	155		C_9H_{18}
101	Ethederselementene	$C_6 H_{12}$	150	Isopropylcyclonexane	C_9H_{18}
102	Ethylcyclopentane	$C_7 H_{14}$	157	<i>n</i> -butylcyclonexane	$C_{10}H_{20}$
103	r, r-dimethylcyclopentane	$C_7 H_{14}$	158	IsobutyIcycionexane	$C_{10}H_{20}$
104	Cis-1,2-dimethylcyclopentane	С ₇ н ₁₄	159	Sec-outyleyclonexane	$C_{10}H_{20}$
103	Cia 1.2 dimethylovelopertane	$C_7 n_{14}$	100	1 mathyl 4 isopropyl gyslaborate	$C_{10} H_{20}$
100	Trans 1.3 dimethyloyolopontane	$C_7 n_{14}$	101	n-meuryi-4-isopropyi-cyclonexane	$C_{10}H_{20}$
107	<i>n</i> propulevelopentane	С ₇ п ₁₄	102	<i>n</i> -pentylevelohovono	$C_{11} n_{22}$
100	<i>n</i> -propyreyelopentane	С ₈ п ₁₆	103	n-nexylcyclohexane	$C_{12} n_{24}$
109	1 methyl 1 ethylogialonantana	С ₈ п ₁₆	104	<i>n</i> -neptyleyclohexane	С ₁₃ п ₂₆
110	Cis 1 methyl 2 ethyl gyalopontona	С ₈ п ₁₆	165	n-octyleyclohexane	С ₁₄ п ₂₈
111	Cis-i-memyi-2-emyi-cyclopentalle	C01116	100	10-HUHYIC YCIOHEXAIIC	U151130

DB			DB		
SN	Compound	Formula	SN	Compound	Formula
167	<i>n</i> -decylcyclobexame	C. H.	222	Trans-2-heptene	C-H.
168	<i>n</i> -undecylcyclohexane	CH.	222	Cis-3-hentene	C-H
169	<i>n</i> -dodecylcyclohexane	C. H.	223	Trans_3-heptene	$C_7 H_{14}$
170	<i>n</i> -tridecylcyclohexane	$C_{18} H_{36}$	224	2-methyl_1-hevene	C_{-H}
170	n tetradecylcyclohexane	$C_{19}\Pi_{38}$	225	3 methyl 1 hevene	$C_7 H_{14}$
171	m pontadogulguelehovane	$C_{20}\Pi_{40}$	220	4 mathyl 1 havana	$C_7 \Pi_{14}$
172	<i>n</i> -pentadecylcyclohexane	$C_{21}\Pi_{42}$	227	4-methyl-1-nexene	$C_7 \Pi_{14}$
175		$C_{22} \Pi_{44}$	220	2 mathyl 2 havana	$C_7 \Pi_{14}$
174	<i>n</i> -meptadecytcyclonexane	$C_{23}H_{46}$	229	2-methyl-2-nexene	С ₇ н ₁₄
1/5	<i>n</i> -octadecylcyclonexane	$C_{24}H_{48}$	230	Cis-3-methyl-2-nexene	$C_7 H_{14}$
1/6	<i>n</i> -nonadecylcyclohexane	$C_{25}H_{50}$	231	Irans-3-methyl-2-hexene	$C_7 H_{14}$
1//	<i>n</i> -eicosylcyclohexane	$C_{26}H_{52}$	232	CIS-4-methyl-2-hexene	$C_7 H_{14}$
178	Cycloheptane	C_7H_{14}	233	Trans-4-methyl-2-hexene	C_7H_{14}
179	Cyclooctane	C_8H_{16}	234	Cis-5-methyl-2-hexene	C_7H_{14}
180	Cyclononane	$C_{9}H_{18}$	235	Trans-5-methyl-2-hexene	C_7H_{14}
181	Ethylcycloheptane	$C_{9}H_{18}$	236	Trans-2-methyl-3-hexene	C ₇ H ₁₄
182	Bicyclohexyl	$C_{12}H_{22}$	237	Trans-2-methyl-3-hexene	C ₇ H ₁₄
183	CIS-decahydronaphthalene	$C_{10}H_{18}$	238	Cis-3-methyl-3-hexene	C ₇ H ₁₄
184	Trans-decahydronaphtmalene	$C_{10}H_{18}$	239	Trans-3-methyl-3-hexene	C ₇ H ₁₄
185	1-methyl-[cis-decahydro-naphthalene]	C ₁₁ H ₂₀	240	2-ethyl-1-pentene	C ₇ H ₁₄
186	1-methyl-[trans-decahydro-naphthalene]	C ₁₁ H ₂₀	241	3-ethyl-1-pentene	C ₇ H ₁₄
187	1-ethyl-[cis-decahydro-naphthalene]	C ₁₂ H ₂₂	242	3-ethyl-2-pentene	C ₇ H ₁₄
188	1-ethyl-[trans-decahydro-naphthalene]	C ₁₂ H ₂₂	243	2,3-dimethyl-1-pentene	C ₇ H ₁₄
189	9-ethyl-[cis-decahydronaphthalene]	$C_{12}H_{22}$	244	2,4-dimethyl-1-pentene	C ₇ H ₁₄
190	9-ethyl-[trans-decahydro-naphthalene]	C ₁₂ H ₂₂	245	3,3-dimethyl-1-pentene	C ₇ H ₁₄
191	Ethylene	C_2H_4	246	3,4-dimethyl-1-pentene	C ₇ H ₁₄
192	Propylene	C ₃ H ₆	247	4,4-dimethyl-1-pentene	C ₇ H ₁₄
193	1-butene	C_4H_8	248	2,3-dimethyl-2-pentene	C_7H_{14}
194	Cis-2-butene	C_4H_8	249	2,4-dimethyl-2-pentene	C_7H_{14}
195	Trans-2-butene	C ₄ H _e	250	Cis-3,4-dimethyl-2-pentene	$C_7 H_{14}$
196	Isobutene	C_4H_8	251	Trans-3,4-dimethyl-2-pentene	$C_7 H_{14}$
197	1-pentene	C_5H_{10}	252	Cis-4,4-dimethyl-2-pentene	$C_7 H_{14}$
198	Cis-2-pentene	$C_{5}H_{10}$	253	Trans-4,4-dimethyl-2-pentene	$C_7 H_{14}$
199	Trans-2-pentene	$C_{5}H_{10}$	254	3-methyl-2-ethyl-1-buteme	$C_7 H_{14}$
200	2-methyl-1-butene	$C_{\varepsilon}H_{10}$	255	2.3.3-trimethyl-1-butene	C ₇ H ₁₄
201	3-methyl-1-butene	C ₂ H ₁₀	256	1-octene	C_0H_{14}
202	2-methyl-2-butene	C _c H ₁₀	257	Trans-2-octene	C _o H _c
203	1-hexene		258	Trans-2-octene	C_8H_{16}
203	Cis-2-hexene	C ₆ H ₁₂	259	Trans-3-octene	C_8H_{16}
205	Trans-2-beyene	C_6H_{12}	260	Trans-3-octene	C_8H_{16}
205	Cis-3-hexene	C_6H_{12}	260	Trans-4-octene	C_8H_{16}
200	Trans_3_bevene	$C_6 H_{12}$	261	Trans-4-octene	$C_8 H_{16}$
207	2_methyl_1_pentene	$C_{6}H_{12}$	262	2-methyl_1-heptene	$C_{8}H_{16}$
200	3 methyl 1 pentene	$C_{6}^{H_{12}}$	263	3 methyl 1 heptene	$C_{8}H_{16}$
209	4 methyl 1 pentene	$C_{6}\Pi_{12}$	265	4 methyl 1 heptene	$C_8 \Pi_{16}$
210	4-methyl-1-pentene	$C_{6}\Pi_{12}$	205	4-methyl-1-neptene	$C_8 \Pi_{16}$
211	2-methyl-2-pendenc	C U	200	Trong 2 mothyl 2 hontong	С ₈ п ₁₆
212	Trong 3 mothyl 2 pontong	С ₆ п ₁₂	207	2 othyl 1 hovora	С ₈ п ₁₆
213	Cio 4 mothyl 2 pontere	$C_{6} \Pi_{12}$	200	2-curyi-i-nexcile	С ₈ п ₁₆
214	Trans 4 mathyl 2 pantana	$C_{6} H_{12}$	209	4 othyl 1 hovene	С ₈ п ₁₆
215	1 rans-4-memyi-2-pentene	С ₆ н ₁₂	270	4-cunyi-i-nexene	С ₈ п ₁₆
216	2-etnyl-1-butene	$C_6 H_{12}$	2/1	2,3-dimethyl-1-hexene	С ₈ Н ₁₆
217	2,3-dimethyl-1-butene	C ₆ H ₁₂	272	2,3-dimethyl-2-hexene	С ₈ н ₁₆
218	3,3-dimethyl-1-butene	C ₆ H ₁₂	273	Cls-2,2-dimethyl-3-hexene	C_8H_{16}
219	2,3-dimethyl-2-butene	C_6H_{12}	274	2,3,3-trimethyl-1-pentene	C_8H_{16}
220	1-heptene	C_7H_{14}	275	2,4,4-trimethyl-1-pentene	C ₈ H ₁₆
221	Cis-2-heptene	$C_{7}H_{14}$	276	2,4,4-trimethyl-2-pentene	C ₈ H ₁₆

DB	Commonia	Eamoula	DB	Commonia	Esamuula
SIN	Compound	Formula		Compound	Formula
277	1-nonene	C_9H_{18}	332	1-homyne	C_9H_{16}
278	1-decene	$C_{10}H_{20}$	333	1-decyne	$C_{10}H_{18}$
219	1 de desene	$C_{11}H_{22}$	225	Talvana	С ₆ н ₆
280	1 tridecene	$C_{12}H_{24}$	226	Ethylk oppone	С ₇ н ₈
281	1 tetradacana	$C_{13}H_{26}$	227	O vylene	$C_8 H_{10}$
202		$C_{14}\Pi_{28}$	228	M xylene	$C_8\Pi_{10}$
283	1 bevadecene	$C_{15}\Pi_{30}$	330	P vylene	$C_8 \Pi_{10}$
285	1-hentadecene	$C_{16}H_{32}$	340	n-propylbenzene	$C_8 \Pi_{10}$
285	1-octadecene	$C_{17}\Pi_{34}$	341	Isopropylbenzene	$C_{9}\Pi_{12}$
287	1-nonadecene	$C_{18}H_{36}$	342	O-ethyltoluene	$C_{9}H_{12}$
288	1-eicosene	$C_{19}\Pi_{38}$	343	M-ethyltoluene	$C_9 H_{12}$
289	Propadiene	$C_{20}H_{40}$	344	P-ethyltoluene	C ₉ H ₁₂
202	1 2-butadiene	$C_4 H_6$	345	1 2 3-trimethylbenzene	C ₉ H ₁₂
291	1 3-butadiene	$C_4 H_6$	346	1 2 4-trimethylbenzene	C ₀ H ₁₂
292	1 2-pentadiene	C-Ho	347	1 3 5-trimethylbenzene	C ₀ H ₁₂
293	Cis-1.3-pentaorene	C ₂ H ₈	348	<i>n</i> -butylbenzene	C ₁₀ H ₁₂
294	Trans-1.3-pentadiene	C-Ho	349	Isobutylbenzene	$C_{10}H_{14}$
295	1.4-pentadiene	C-Ho	350	Sec-butylbenzene	$C_{10}H_{14}$
296	2.3-pentadiene	C _z H _o	351	Tert-butylbenzene	$C_{10}H_{14}$
297	3-methyl-1.2-butadiene	C _z H.	352	1-methyl-2-n-propylbenzene	$C_{10}H_{14}$
298	2-methyl-1,3-butadiene	C ₅ H _o	353	1-methyl-3-n-propylbenzene	$C_{10}H_{14}$
299	2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene	C_6H_{10}	354	1-metmyl-4-n-propylbenzene	$C_{10}H_{14}$
300	1,2-hexadiene	C_6H_{10}	355	O-cymene	$C_{10}H_{14}$
301	1,5-hexadiene	$C_{6}H_{10}$	356	M-cymene	$C_{10}H_{14}$
302	2,3-hexadiene	$C_{6}H_{10}$	357	P-cynene	$C_{10}H_{14}$
303	3-methyl-1,2-pentadiene	$C_{6}H_{10}$	358	O-diethylbenzene	$C_{10}H_{14}$
304	2-methyl-1,5-hexadiene	$C_7 H_{12}$	359	M-diethylbenzene	$C_{10}H_{14}$
305	2-methyl-2,4-hexadiene	C ₇ H ₁₂	360	P-diethylbenzene	C ₁₀ H ₁₄
306	2,6-octadiene	C ₈ H ₁₄	361	1,2-dimethyl-3-ethylbenzene	C ₁₀ H ₁₄
307	2,6-dimethyl-1,5-heptadiene	C ₉ H ₁₆	362	1,2-dimethyl-4-ethylbenzene	C ₁₀ H ₁₄
308	3,7-dimethyl-1,6-octaoiene	C ₁₀ H ₁₈	363	1,3-dimethyl-2-ethylbenzene	C ₁₀ H ₁₄
309	Cyclopentene	C ₅ H ₈	364	1,3-dimethyl-4-ethylbenzene	C ₁₀ H ₁₄
310	1-methyl-cyclopentene	C ₆ H ₁₀	365	1,3-dimethyl-5-ethylbenzene	C ₁₀ H ₁₄
311	1-ethylcyclopentene	C ₇ H ₁₂	366	1,4-dimethyl-2-ethylbenzene	C ₁₀ H ₁₄
312	3-ethylcyclopentene	C ₇ H ₁₂	367	1,2,3,4-tetramethylbenzene	C ₁₀ H ₁₄
313	1-n-propylcyclopentene	C ₈ H ₁₄	368	1,2,3,5-tetramethylbenzene	C ₁₀ H ₁₄
314	Cyclohexene	C ₆ H ₁₀	369	1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene	$C_{10}H_{14}$
315	1-methylcyclohexene	C ₇ H ₁₂	370	n-pentylbenzene	C ₁₁ H ₁₆
316	1-ethylcyclohexene	C ₈ H ₁₄	371	<i>n</i> -hexylbenzene	C ₁₂ H ₁₈
317	Cyclopentadiene	C ₅ H ₆	372	n-heptylbenzene	C ₁₃ H ₂₀
318	Dicyclopentadiene	C ₁₀ H ₁₂	373	<i>n</i> -octylbenzene	C ₁₄ H ₂₂
319	Alpha-pinene	C ₁₀ H ₁₆	374	n-nonylbenzene	C ₁₅ H ₂₄
320	Beta-pinene	C ₁₀ H ₁₆	375	<i>n</i> -decylbenzene	C ₁₆ H ₂₆
321	Acetylene	C ₂ H ₂	376	<i>n</i> -undecylbenzene	C ₁₇ H ₂₈
322	Methylacetylene	C ₄ H ₆	377	<i>n</i> -dodecylbenzene	C ₁₈ H ₃₀
323	Dimethylacetylene	C_4H_6	378	<i>n</i> -tridecylbenzene	$C_{19}H_{32}$
324	Ethylacetylene	C_4H_6	379	<i>n</i> -tetradecylbenzene	$C_{20}H_{34}$
325	Vinylacetylene	C_4H_4	380	<i>n</i> -pentadecylbenzene	$C_{21}H_{36}$
326	1-pentyne	C_5H_8	381	<i>n</i> -hexadecylbenzene	$C_{22}H_{38}$
327	2-pentyne	C ₅ H ₈	382	Cyclonexylbenzene	$C_{12}H_{16}$
328	3-methyl-1-butyne	C ₅ H ₈	383	Styrene	C ₈ H ₈
329	1-nexyne	C_6H_{10}	384	UIS-I-propenyl benzene	C_9H_{10}
330	1-neptyne	C_7H_{12}	285	1 rans-1-propenyl benzene	C ₉ H ₁₀
551	1-octyne	$C_{8}H_{14}$	380	2-propenyl benzene	C_9H_{10}

DB			DB		
SN	Compound	Formula	SN	Compound	Formula
387	1-methyl-2-ethenyl benzene	C ₉ H ₁₀	432	1-n-propylnaphthalene	C ₁₃ H ₁₄
388	1-methyl-3-ethenyl benzene	$C_{9}H_{10}$	433	2-n-propylnaphthalene	C ₁₃ H ₁₄
389	1-methyl-4-ethenyl benzene	$C_{9}H_{10}$	434	1-n-butylnaphthalene	C ₁₄ H ₁₆
390	1-methyl-4-(trans-1-n-propenyl)benzene	C ₁₀ H ₁₂	435	2-N-butylnaphthalene	C ₁₄ H ₁₆
391	1-ethyl-2-ethenyl benzene	C ₁₀ H ₁₂	436	1-n-pentylnaphthalene	C ₁₅ H ₁₈
392	l-ethyl-3-ethenyl benzene	$C_{10}H_{12}$	437	1-n-hexylnaphthalene	C ₁₆ H ₂₀
393	l-ethyl-4-ethenyl benzene	C ₁₀ H ₁₂	438	2-n-hexylnaphthalene	C ₁₆ H ₂₀
394	2-phenyl-1-BUTENE	C ₁₀ H ₁₂	439	1-n-heptylnaphthalene	C ₁₇ H ₂₂
395	Biphenyl	$C_{12}H_{10}$	440	1-n-octylnaphthalene	C ₁₈ H ₂₄
396	1-methyl-2-phenylbenzene	$C_{13}H_{12}$	441	1-N-nonylnaphthalene	C ₁₉ H ₂₆
397	1-methyl-3-phenylbenzene	C ₁₃ H ₁₂	442	2-N-nonylnaphthalene	C ₁₉ H ₂₆
398	1-methyl-4-phenylbenzene	$C_{13}H_{12}$	443	1-N-decylnaphthalene	$C_{20}H_{28}$
399	1-ethyl-4-phenylbenzene	$C_{14}H_{14}$	444	1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene	C ₁₀ H ₁₂
400	1-methyl-4(4-methylphenyl)benzene	$C_{14}H_{14}$	445	1-methyl-[1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene]	$C_{11}H_{14}$
401	Diphenylmethane	C ₁₃ H ₁₂	446	l-ethyl-[1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene]	C ₁₂ H ₁₆
402	1,1-diphenylethane	$C_{14}H_{14}$	447	2,2-dimethyl-[1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene]	C ₁₂ H ₁₆
403	1,2-diphenylethane	$C_{14}H_{14}$	448	2,6-dimethyl-[1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphtnalene]	C ₁₂ H ₁₆
404	1,1-diphenylpropane	C ₁₅ H ₁₆	449	6,7-dimethyl-[1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene]	$C_{12}H_{16}$
405	1,2-diphenylpropane	C ₁₅ H ₁₆	450	1-n-propyl-[1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene]	C ₁₃ H ₁₈
406	1,1-dipmenyloutane	C ₁₆ H ₁₈	451	6-n-propyl-[1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene)	C ₁₃ H ₁₈
407	1,1-dipmenylpentane	$C_{17}H_{20}$	452	1-n-butyl-[1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene]	$C_{14}H_{20}$
408	1,1-diphenylhexane	$C_{18}H_{22}$	453	6-n-butyl-[1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene]	$C_{14}H_{20}$
409	1,1-dipmenylheptane	C ₁₉ H ₂₄	454	1-n-pentyl-[1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene)	C ₁₅ H ₂₂
410	1,1-diphenyloctane	$C_{20}H_{26}$	455	6-n-pentyl-[1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthaleme]	$C_{15}H_{22}$
411	1,1-diphenylnonane	$C_{21}H_{28}$	456	1-n-hexyl-[1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene]	$C_{16}H_{24}$
412	1,1-diphenyldecane	$C_{22}H_{30}$	457	1-n-heptyl-[l,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphtnalene]	C ₁₇ H ₂₆
413	1,1-dipmenylundecane	$C_{23}H_{32}$	458	1-n-octyl-[1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene)	$C_{18}H_{28}$
414	1,1-diphenyldodecane	C ₂₄ H ₃₄	459	1-n-nonyl-[1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene)	C ₁₉ H ₃₀
415	1,1-diphenyltridecane	C ₂₅ H ₃₆	460	1-n-decyl-[1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene)	$C_{20}H_{32}$
416	1,1-diphenyltetradecane	C ₂₆ H ₃₈	461	Indene	C ₉ H ₈
417	I,I-diphenylpentadecane	C ₂₇ H ₄₀	462	1-methylindene	$C_{10}H_{10}$
418	Cis-1,2-diphenylethene	$C_{14}H_{12}$	463	2-methylindene	$C_{10}H_{10}$
419	Irans-1,2-dipmenylethene	$C_{14}H_{12}$	464	2,3-dinydroindene	$C_{9}H_{10}$
420	Phenylacetylene	C_8H_6	465	1-metnyl-2,3-dihydroindene	$C_{10}H_{12}$
421	Dipnenylacetylene	$C_{14}H_{10}$	466	2-metnyl-2,3-dihydroindene	$C_{10}H_{12}$
422	1,2-dipnenylbenzene	$C_{18}H_{14}$	467	4-methyl-2,3-dihydroindene	$C_{10}H_{12}$
423	1,3-aiphenylbenzene	$C_{18}H_{14}$	468	5-metnyl-2,3-dihydroindene	$C_{10}H_{12}$
424	1,4-aiphenylbenzene	$C_{18}H_{14}$	469	Acenaphthalene	$C_{12}H_8$
425	Naphthalene	$C_{10}H_8$	4/0	Acenaphthene	$C_{12}H_{10}$
426	1-methylnaphthalene	$C_{11}H_{10}$	4/1	Fluorene	$C_{13}H_{10}$
427	2-methylnaphthalene	$C_{11}H_{10}$	4/2	Anthracene	$C_{14}H_{10}$
428	1-emyinaphthalene	$C_{12}H_{12}$	4/5	Prienanthrene	$C_{14}H_{10}$
429	2-emyinaphtnaiene	$C_{12}H_{12}$	4/4	ryrene Elwaranthana	$C_{16}H_{10}$
430	1,2-uimethyinaphthalene	$C_{12}H_{12}$	4/5	Chrussen	$C_{16}H_{10}$
431	1,4-aimetnyinapntnalene	$C_{12}H_{12}$	4/6	Chrysene	$C_{18}H_{12}$

References

- P. Chan, C. Tong, and M. Durrant, *Estimation of boiling points* using density functional theory with polarized continuum model solvent corrections, J Mol Graph Model, 30 (2011), 120–128.
- [2] G. S. Cholakov, W. A. Wakeham, and R. P. Stateva, *Estimation of normal boiling points of hydrocarbons from descriptors of molecular structure*, Fluid Phase Equilib, 163 (1999), 21–42.
- [3] O. Ivanciuc, T. Ivanciuc, and A. T. Balaban, Quantitative structure-property relationship study of normal boiling points for halogen-/oxygen-/sulfur-containing organic compounds using the codessa program, Tetrahedron, 54 (1998), 9129–9142.
- [4] A. R. Katritzky, L. Mu, V. S. Lobanov, and M. Karelson, Correlation of boiling points with molecular structure. 1. A training set of 298 diverse organics and a test set of 9 simple inorganics, J Phys Chem, 100 (1996), 10400–10407.
- [5] J. Walker, The boiling points of homologous compounds. Part I. Simple and mixed ethers, J Chem Soc Trans, 65 (1894), 193–202.
- [6] S. Wang, S.-T. Lin, J. Chang, W. A. Goddard III, and S. I. Sandler, Application of the COSMO-SAC-BP solvation model to predictions of normal boiling temperatures for environmentally significant substances, Ind Eng Chem Res, 45 (2006), 5426–5434.