ISSN: 2157-7439
Journal of
Nanomedicine & Nanotechnology

OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

Mini Review

NanoTechnology: Environmental Risk

Rhiana K*

Department of Nanotechnology, Iran

ABSTRACT

To assess the dangers of nanotechnology, interdisciplinarity is of utmost relevance. Because they mix new reactivities with nanoscale scales, nanomaterials are extremely novel materials [1]. The complexity results from the extremely low concentrations of nanomaterials in the environment, the transformations of the nanomaterials caused by the reactivity of the surface, the transfer in the environmental media, particularly in the presence of liquid water soils, sediments, and surface water, which implies an association with naturally occurring colloids organic or minerals and blockage in some compartments [2]. These characteristics control the risk, which is highly dependent on speciation and exposure.

Keywords: Nanotechnology; Liquid Water Soils

INTRODUCTION

No longer are an emerging technology, nanotechnology, there currently more than 2000 nanoproducts available. Nanoproducts are used in a wide range of industries, including cosmetics, electronics, paints, food, and health. Passive nanostructures, active nanostructures, nanosystems, and molecular nanosystems all exhibit a rise in structural and dynamic complexity. However, for more than 15 years, organisations like ETC and other citizen groups have used nanotechnologies as a source of concern and public interest [3]. The size of the nanoparticles, which is considered to be a criterion that could lead to health and environmental problems, is one of the primary causes of this anxiety. In this regard, governments and political organisations have chosen to support national and European scientific studies on the risk assessment of nanotechnology in order to determine regulating politics through OECD nations. As an illustration, regulations are currently changing to account for nanoscale in products [4]. Nano-ecotoxicity is regarded as a new field of study when compared to nano-toxicity. While a large portion of biologists believed that nanomaterials behaved in the environment in a similar way to "classic" pollutants like metals or organic molecules, physicists, chemists, geologists, and physical chemists believed that the structure-size relationship was at the core of their scientific and technological interests [5]. The difficulty in studying nano-ecotoxicity is to take an interdisciplinary approach while working with the scant information that is currently known about the amounts of nanomaterial that may be released throughout the life cycle, taking into account the complexity of the environmental matrix [6].

Risk

For the first time in the OECD countries, the development of nanotechnology and the societal need for risk forecasting provide the means for interdisciplinary research between science and society. This research will help to develop safer-by-design nanoproduct approaches that imply control throughout the entire life cycle, from occupational activity to waste production and behavior [7]. The nature of nanoparticles that might enter commerce, their properties, even the uses and handling procedures for nanomaterials, as well as environmental mobility, persistence vs. transformation, and bioactivities, including toxicity, are just a few of the unanswered questions surrounding them [8]. The hazard has mainly been studied via the lens of risk assessment, which is the outcome of an examination of the exposure and danger. Not many researches have focused on exposure and transformation. However, the main factor used to prioritise EHS (Environment, Health, and Safety) has been exposure [9].

Environmental Risk

The movement of nanoparticles, such as from soil to plants or from water to the food chain interactions between the nanomaterials or with their environment, such as those with other particles, organic molecules, or biological membranes, which might affect the initial reactivity of the original nanoparticles by causing the development or not of homo- or hetero-aggregates. As a outcome of the nanoparticles' alteration through reduction, oxidation, and dissolution, new mineral phases may develop that are more reactive than the original nanoparticles [10].

***Correspondence to**: Rhiana K, Department of Nanotechnology, Iran, E-mail: Rhi@12.edu.in

Received: 03-Dec-2022, Manuscript No: jnmnt-22-19449, **Editor assigned:** 05-Dec-2022, Pre QC No jnmnt-22-19449 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 19-Dec-2022, QC No: jnmnt-22-19449, **Revised:** 21-Dec-2022, Manuscript No jnmnt-22-19449 (R), **Published:** 28-Dec-2022, DOI: 10.35248/2157-7439.22.13.655.

Citation: Rhiana K (2022) NanoTechnology: Environmental Risk. J Nanomed Nanotech. 13: 655.

Nanomaterials in the Environmental

Urban water can be effectively cleaned at WWTPs of a very large quantity (number) of nanoparticles. There is very little nanomaterial mass that is rejected in the effluent, and part of it is converted into nanomaterials that are substantially different from the original ones (for instance, Ag0 is transformed into Ag2S, CeO2 into CePO4). However, using bio-sludge for agricultural use is one of the issues. When examining the connections between water resources and nanomaterials, it is important to take into account both surface and groundwater. The transfer of nanomaterials in porous media and the colloidal behaviour of nanomaterials in surface waters through homo- and hetero-aggregation must both be well characterised in order to achieve this [11]. It is crucial to consider both surface and groundwater when analysing the relationships between water resources and nanomaterials. To do this, it is necessary to thoroughly characterise both the transfer of nanomaterials in porous media and the colloidal behaviour of nanomaterials in surface waters through homo- and hetero-aggregation. The use of computed values for the affinity, size, fractal shape, settling velocity, etc. to transmit uncoated TiO2 Nms using hetero-aggregation modeling [12-15]. The movement of free TiO2 is affected by the effectiveness of the attachment as well as other factors including the quantity and density of the colloids. If is high, the river's longitudinal transmission is swift and the content of TiO2 in the sediment rises quickly. If is low, the opposite is true.

CONCLUSION

Over the course of 18 months, the distribution of Ag in plants and other species has been assessed. In terrestrial soils and subaquatic sediments, silver sulfidation was seen, and mosquito fish and chironomids were found to have significant levels of silver in their bodies. By simultaneously creating representative conditions for environmental transformation and ecosystem exposure, these studies in outdoor or indoor mesocosms allow the integration of the complementary biological and physical-chemical approaches into an environmental risk assessment model related to nanotechnologies based on reliable exposure and impact data.

REFERENCES

1. Kim S, Lim WG, Cho A, Jeong J, Jo C. Simultaneous Suppression of Shuttle Effect and Lithium Dendrite Growth by Lightweight Bifunctional Separator for Li-S Batteries. ACS Appl Energy Mater. 2020; 3:2643-2652.
2. Fan L, Li M, Li X, Xiao W, Chen Z. Interlayer Material Selection for Lithium-Sulfur Batteries. Joule. 2019; 3:361-386.
3. Chen L, Yu H, Li W, Dirican M, Liu Y. Interlayer design based on carbon materials for lithium-sulfur batteries: A review. J Mater Chem. 2020; 8:10709-10735.
4. Gil VG. Therapeutic Implications of TGFβ in Cancer Treatment: A Systematic Review. Cancers. 2021; 13:379.
5. Furtek SL, Backos DS, Matheson CJ, Reigan P. Strategies and Approaches of Targeting STAT3 for Cancer Treatment. ACS Chem Biol. 2016; 11:308-318.
6. WHO. Cancer Fact Sheet; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2021.
7. Ferlay J, Ervik M, Lam F, Colombet M, Merry L. Global Cancer Observatory: Cancer Today; International Agency for Research on Cancer: Lyon, France, 2020.
8. Brown JM, Wilson WR. Exploiting tumour hypoxia in cancer treatment. Nat Rev Cancer. 2004; 4:437-447.
9. Pennya LK, Wallace HM. The challenges for cancer chemoprevention. Chem Soc Rev. 2020; 44:8836-8847.
10. Rahim NFC, Hussin Y, Aziz MNM, Mohamad NE, Yeap SK. Cytotoxicity and Apoptosis Effects of Curcumin Analogue (2E,6E)-2,6-Bis(2,3-Dimethoxybenzylidine) Cyclohexanone (DMCH) on Human Colon Cancer Cells HT29 and SW620 In Vitro. Molecules. 2021; 26:1261.
11. Naksuriya O, Okonogi S, Schiffelers RM, Hennink WE. Curcumin nanoformulations: A review of pharmaceutical properties and preclinical studies and clinical data related to cancer treatment. Biomaterials. 2014; 35:3365-3383.
12. American Cancer Society. Cancer Treatment & Survivorship Facts & Figures; American Cancer Society: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2019–2021.
13. Miller KD, Nogueira L, Mariotto AB, Rowland JH, Yabroff KR. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics. J Clin. 2019; 69:363-385.
14. Hsu RS, Fang JH, Shen WT, Sheu YC, Su CK. Injectable DNA-architected nano raspberry depot-mediated on-demand programmable refilling and release drug delivery. Nanoscale. 2020; 12:11153-11164.
15. Ismail NI, Othman I, Abas F, Lajis NH, Naidu R. The Curcumin Analogue, MS13 (1,5-Bis(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-1,4-pentadiene-3-one), Inhibits Cell Proliferation and Induces Apoptosis in Primary and Metastatic Human Colon Cancer Cells. Molecules. 2020; 25:3798.