OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

ISSN: 2167-7956
Journal of Biomolecular Research & Therapeutics

Commentary

Interaction of Phosphate and Amino Acid in DNA-Binding Proteins

Ema Sana*

Department of Biomolecules, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

DESCRIPTION

Electrostatic interactions, also known electrostatic forces play a vital role in determining the structure, stability and function of biomolecules. These interactions arise due to the attraction and repulsion of electric charges between atoms and molecules and they are one of the fundamental forces governing the behavior of matter at the molecular level [1]. Electrostatic forces arise from the interaction between charged particles. At the atomic and molecular scale these forces are primarily attributed to the distribution of electric charges on atoms and molecules. Charged particles can be either positively charged (cation) or negatively charged (anion) and these charges can result from the gain or loss of electrons during chemical reactions or from the polar nature of covalent bonds [2].

The fundamental principle governing electrostatic interactions is Coulomb's law, which states that the force between two point charges is directly proportional to the product of their magnitudes and inversely proportional to the square of the distance between them [3]. In biomolecules electrostatic interactions play a vital role due to the presence of charged amino acid residues in proteins and negatively charged phosphate groups in nucleic acids (DNA and RNA). These interactions can occur between different regions of the same molecule or between different molecules, leading to various functional outcomes [4]. The electrostatic interactions between charged amino acid residues in proteins significantly influence their folding and stability. In the process of protein folding positively charged residues may interact with negatively charged residues stabilizing certain secondary structures such as alpha-helices and beta-sheets. Additionally electrostatic interactions between oppositely charged side chains can stabilize the tertiary structure of proteins by correct orientation of critical functional groups [5].

Moreover, electrostatic interactions are essential in maintaining the structural integrity of protein complexes. In multi-subunit proteins and protein-protein interactions oppositely charged regions on different subunits can form strong electrostatic bonds ensuring the stability and specificity of the complex [6]. Enzymes the biological catalysts responsible for facilitating chemical reactions in living organisms often rely on electrostatic interactions to enhance their catalytic efficiency. Active sites of enzymes often contain charged amino acid residues that participate in substrate binding and catalysis. Electrostatic interactions between the enzyme and the substrate can stabilize the transition state of the reaction, lowering the activation energy and facilitating the conversion of substrates into products [7].

Electrostatic interactions are critical in the structure and function of nucleic acids (DNA and RNA). Positively charged ions, such as magnesium ions can neutralize this negative charge and stabilize the double helix structure of DNA. Additionally electrostatic interactions between the phosphate backbone and positively charged amino acid residues in DNA-binding proteins enable the specific recognition and binding of these proteins to DNA sequences regulating gene expression and various cellular processes [8]. In RNA, electrostatic interactions play a vital role in the folding and stability of complex secondary and tertiary structures. RNA molecules fold into intricate three-dimensional shapes and electrostatic forces contribute to the proper folding and stabilization of these structures. Moreover RNA-protein interactions often involve electrostatic interactions influencing RNA processing and translation [9]. Electrostatic forces also play a significant role in molecular recognition events such as ligand-receptor interactions. The binding affinity between ligands and receptors is influenced by the electrostatic complementarity between the charged regions on the interacting molecules [10]. The study of electrostatic interactions in biomolecules presents various challenges due to the complexity and dynamic nature of biological systems. Traditional methods such as X-ray crystallography and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy can provide valuable structural insights, but they may not capture the full range of dynamic interactions.

REFERENCES

1. Azadi P, Inderwildi OR, Farnood R, King DA. Liquid fuels, hydrogen and chemicals from lignin: A critical review. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 2013; 21:506-523.

Correspondence to: Ema Sana, Department of Biomolecules, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan, E-mail: ema@gmail.com

Received: 29-May-2023, Manuscript No. BOM-23-22355; **Editor assigned:** 01-Jun-2023, Pre QC No. BOM-23-22355(PQ); **Reviewed:** 15-Jun-2023, QC No. BOM-23-22355; **Revised:** 22-Jun-2023, Manuscript No. BOM-23-22355(R); **Published:** 30-Jun-2023, DOI: 10.35248/2167-7956.23.12.306

Citation: Sana E (2023) Interaction of Phosphate and Amino Acid in DNA-Binding Proteins. J Biol Res Ther. 12:306.

2. Bang G. Energy security and climate change concerns: Triggers for energy policy change in the United States? Energy Pol. 2010;38(4): 1645-1653.
3. Carpio LG, de Souza FS. Optimal allocation of sugarcane bagasse for producing bioelectricity and second generation ethanol in Brazil: Scenarios of cost reductions. Renew. Sustain. Energy. 2017; 111:771-780.
4. de Assis Castro RC, Fonseca BG, dos Santos HT, Ferreira IS, Mussatto SI, Roberto IC. Alkaline deacetylation as a strategy to improve sugars recovery and ethanol production from rice straw hemicellulose and cellulose. Ind. Crop. Prod. 2017; 106:65-73.
5. Medina JD, Alomia FB, Magalhaes Jr AI, de Carvalho JC, Woiciechowsky AL, Soccol CR. Simulation of different biorefinery configuration including environmental, technical and economic assay using sugarcane bagasse. J. Clean. Prod. 2021; 316:128162.
6. de Moraes Dutenkefer R, de Oliveira Ribeiro C, Mutran VM, Rego EE. The insertion of biogas in the sugarcane mill product portfolio: A study using the robust optimization approach. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 2018; 91:729-740.
7. Dias MO, Cunha MP, Jesus CD, Rocha GJ, Pradella JG, Rossell CE, et.al Second generation ethanol in Brazil: can it compete with electricity production? Bioresour. Technol. 2011; 102(19): 8964-8971.
8. Dias MO, Junqueira TL, Cavalett O, Cunha MP, Jesus CD, Rossell CE, et.al. Integrated versus stand-alone second generation ethanol production from sugarcane bagasse and trash. Bioresour. Technol. 2012; 103(1):152-161.
9. Alvira P, Tomás-Pejó E, Ballesteros M, Negro MJ. Pretreatment technologies for an efficient bioethanol production process based on enzymatic hydrolysis: a review. Bioresour. Technol. 2010; 101(13): 4851-4861.
10. Duval A, Lawoko M. A review on lignin-based polymeric, micro-and nano-structured materials. React. Funct. Polym. 2014; 85:78-96.