

# *In Vitro* Efficacy of Essential Iodine Drops against Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)

## Kontos Zoltan\*

Department of Medicine, Institute for Innovation Works (IOI) Investment Zrt., Budapest 1116, Fehervari ut 108-112, Hungary

# ABSTRACT

**Background:** Aerosolization of respiratory droplets is considered the main route of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Therefore, reducing the viral load of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome- Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) shed via respiratory droplets is potentially an ideal strategy to prevent the spread of the pandemic. The *in vitro* virucidal activity of intranasal Povidone-Iodine (PVP-I) has been demonstrated recently to reduce SARS-CoV-2 viral titres. This study evaluated the virucidal activity of the aqueous solution of Iodine-V (a clathrate complex formed by elemental iodine and fulvic acid) as in Essential Iodine Drops (EID) with 200 µg elemental iodine/ml content against SARS-CoV-2 to ascertain whether it is a better alternative to PVP-I.

**Methods:** SARS-CoV-2 (USAWA1/2020 strain) virus stock was prepared by infecting Vero 76 cells (ATCC CRL-1587) until cytopathic effect (CPE). The virucidal activity of EID against SARS-CoV-2 was tested in three dilutions (1:1; 2:1 and 3:1) in triplicates by incubating at room temperature ( $22 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C) for either 60 or 90 seconds. The surviving viruses from each sample were quantified by a standard end-point dilution assay.

**Results:** EID (200  $\mu$ g iodine/ml) after exposure for 60 and 90 seconds was compared to controls. In both cases, the viral titre was reduced by 99% (LRV 2.0). The 1:1 dilution of EID with virus reduced SARS-CoV-2 virus from 31,623 cell culture infectious dose 50% (CCCID<sub>50</sub>) to 316 CCID<sub>50</sub> within 90 seconds.

**Conclusion:** Substantial reductions in LRV by Iodine-V in EID confirmed the activity of EID against SARS- CoV-2 *in vitro*, demonstrating that Iodine-V in EID is effective at inactivating the virus *in vitro* and therefore suggesting its potential application intranasal to reduce SARS-CoV-2 transmission from known or suspected COVID-19 patients.

Keywords: Essential Iodine Drops; Iodine-V; Iodine; EID; SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; Coronavirus

## ABBREVIATIONS

SARS-CoV-2: Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus 2; Coronavirus Disease 2019: COVID-19; PVP-I: Povidone-Iodine; Essential Iodine Drops: EID; Iodine-V: Iodine-Fulvic acid clathrate complex; Vero 76: ATCC CRL-1587; CPE: Cytopathic Effect; Cell

Culture Infectious Dose 50% assay:  $\text{CCID}_{50}$ 

## INTRODUCTION

The global pandemic of Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was first identified in the city of Wuhan, China during late 2019 [1]. It has since spread rapidly globally, with over 50 million confirmed cases to date and over 1.2 million deaths. The rapid spread of this novel SARS-CoV-2 virus is enhanced by the shading of viral particles from infected or symptomatic individuals through bodily secretions, especially respiratory droplets including saliva, and nasal fluid. It has been demonstrated that tiny droplets

of 0-10 µm diameter produced during speech-making, sneezing, coughing, and panting contain SARS-CoV-2 viral particles [2], which remained substantially viable and infectious in aerosols for up to 3 hours, similar to SARS-CoV-2 [3]. Thus, it is presumed that the most effective infection mechanism is the aerosolization of viral particles in respiratory droplets [4], which is easily inoculated directly into the airway during breathing or indirectly by contact transfer via contaminated hands [5]. The aerosolized microscopic infectious viral particles can linger in the air circulating in poorly ventilated rooms or spaces long enough to cause multiple infections to individuals who inhale them [6]. A raft of recommended preventive measures including wearing face masks, eye protection, washing hands and keeping social distance on >1 m have been demonstrated to be effective in reducing COVID-19 transmission [7]. The goal of face masks is to reduce the transmission of respiratory droplets from infected individuals and shield noninfected individuals from transmitted droplets. Face masks as vital Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) against COVID-19 disease is

Received: November 12, 2020; Accepted: November 27, 2020; Published: December 04, 2020

Correspondence to: Kontos Zoltan, Department of Medicine, Institute for Innovation Works (IOI) Investment Zrt., Budapest, 1116 Fehervari ut 108-112, Hungary, E-mail: zkontos@ioi-investment.com

Citation: Zoltan K (2020) In Vitro Efficacy of Essential Iodine Drops against Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). J Vaccines Vaccin. Vol. S6: 001.

**Copyright:** © 2020 Zoltan K. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

#### Zoltan K

not fully accepted and has remained controversial from perspectives of attitude, effectiveness, and necessity [8].

In the initial stage of COVID-19 disease, SARS-CoV-2 viral titres of >107/ml in saliva and nasal mucous have been reported; and therefore, reduction of these titres should help to reduce disease transmission from known COVID-19 patients to individual who is uncomfortable using masks [9]. This can be achieved using oral and nasal sprays with antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2 virus. Intranasal saline sprays containing elemental iodine as an active ingredient has been used for nasal moisturizing and prevention and/or treatment of sinusitis or rhinitis [10]. Iodine-containing intranasal/oral moisturizing saline sprays are being explored as drug agents against coronaviruses. Their potential use has been supported by a recent clinical safety trial that demonstrated that intranasal Povidone-Iodine (PVP-I; 1.25%) spray had no adverse effects for up to five months and therefore, could be used against coronaviruses (SARS-CoV-1/2 and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome-MERS) [11]. Regarding the antiviral efficacy of iodine-containing nasal/oral sprays, nasal and oral formulations containing PVP-I have been reported to inactive SARS-Cov-2 in vitro [12,13]. Thus, PVP-I oral antiseptic is potentially efficacious in reducing the transmission risk of coronaviruses in dental practice [13]. SARS-CoV-2 is internalized into the host cells via two viral receptors of host cell infection: Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 2 (ACE2) and CD147 (a highly-glycosylated Tran's membrane protein) by binding to them using virus Spike Proteins (SP) (14). The mechanism of action of PVP-I mouth rinse/nasal spray involves targeting of the host's ACE2 for inhibition. It inactivates the activity of Haemagglutinin Esterase (HE), a fifth important structural protein of beta-coronaviruses and diminishes the ACE2 receptors in lymphocytes by promoting their absorption from host epithelial tissue [14]. This reduces the concentration of SARS-CoV-1/2 shed in saliva and nasal fluid.

Enthused by promising findings from a recent study by Pelletier and colleagues [12], the present study was interested in Essential Iodine Drops (EID) for oral/nasal decontaminant in known or suspected cases of COVID-19 as a potentially better alternative to PVP-I. Iodine-V is a novel complex derivative of fulvic acid in a clathrate complex by elemental iodine [12] molecule. Essential Iodine Drops (EID) is an aqueous solution of Iodine-V containing 200 µg elemental iodine/ml. EID is currently used as an oral dietary supplement to support a healthy thyroid function and is non-toxic, non-allergic and lends itself to prolonged continuous use if kept within the daily recommended upper limit of 1100 µg. Its potential for use in protecting against the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 virus during elective surgical procedures in ophthalmology, otolaryngology and dental has been demonstrated recently using PVP- I solutions [12]. Whilst steps are already being made to make Essential Iodine Drops (EID) available for nasal administration, the present study was conducted to ascertain whether the EID solution is effective in deactivating SARS-CoV-2 in vitro, as demonstrated with PVP-I. Therefore, this research aims to evaluate the in vitro virucidal efficacy of Essential Iodine Drops (EID) on SARS-CoV-2 to ascertain whether it is a better alternative to PVP-I.

# METHODS

The present study tested the virucidal efficacy of Essential Iodine Drops (EID) against SARS-CoV-2 using similar materials and protocol by Pelletier and colleagues [12]. Importantly, the present study was conducted in Biosafety Level 3 (BSL-3) laboratories at The Institute for Antiviral Research at Utah State University, USU (Logan, UT) following established Standard Operating Procedures approved by the USU Biohazards Committee.

## Cell lines and virus

Before testing, a virus stock of SARS-CoV-2 (USAWA1/2020 strain) sourced from the CDC following its original isolation from an infected patient in Washington State, USA (WA-1 strain BEI #NR-52281 strain), was prepared according to Pelletier and colleagues [12]. The virus stock was prepared by infecting Vero 76 cells (ATCC CRL-1587) until Cytopathic Effect (CPE) was visible at two days post- inoculation. The Vero 76 cells were cultured in Minimal Essential Medium (MEM) (Quality Biological), supplemented with 2% (v/v) Fetal Bovine Serum (Sigma), and 50 µg/ml gentamicin (Gemini Bio- products) [12].

## Drug testing

The test drug was Essential Iodine Drops (EID), obtained from IOI Investment Zrt. (Budapest, Hungary). It is the aqueous solution of Iodine-V. The Iodine-V is water-soluble elemental iodine in fulvic acid clathrate complex. Physical and chemical properties of Iodine-V are as follows: iodine content as determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) was 10.01%; the melting point was 157°C, the maximum ultra-violate/ visible (UV/VIS) absorption in the water at 340 nm was 96 µg/ ml); the spectral signature of Iodine-V as analyzed by Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectra (FTIR) in KBr pastille contained the following wavenumbers (I; cm-1) of key signals: 3404, 2927, 2359, 2341, 1718, 1635, 1558, 1418, 1383, 1151, 1076, 1024, 668. The melting point was determined in an SRS 100 OptiMelt apparatus (Stanford Research Systems, Sunnyvale, CA, US). Ultraviolet was measured in a DLab SP1100 system. FT-IR was measured in FTIR650 (Labfreez Instruments Group Co., Ltd.).

The virucidal activity of Essential Iodine Drops against SARS-CoV-2 was tested in three dilutions; 1:1; 2:1 and 3:1. The original concentration of Essential Iodine Drops as supplied by IOI Investment Zrt was 200  $\mu$ g elemental iodine/ml. This was subsequently diluted with SARS-CoV-2 virus solution to 1:1 for 90 seconds, 2:1 for 60 seconds and 3:1 for 60 seconds.

## Virucidal Assay (VA)

Briefly, the three dilutions of Essential Iodine Drops (EID) containing SARS-CoV-2 virus solution (1:1; 2:1 and 3:1) were tested in triplicates for virucidal activity as described by Pelletier and colleagues [12]. The undiluted drug as supplied (without virus solution) in two tubes was used as toxicity and neutralization controls. Ethanol (70%) was used as the positive control while water was used as a virus control. The test solution and virus were incubated together at room temperature ( $22 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C) for either 60 seconds and 90 seconds and the solution were then neutralized by a 1/10 dilution in MEM with FBS (2%) and gentamicin (50 µg/ml).

## Virus Quantification (VQ)

The survived viruses from each sample were quantified by a standard end-point dilution assay according to Pelletier and colleagues [12]. Briefly, neutralized samples were pooled and serially diluted using eight log dilutions in the test medium. Subsequently, 100  $\mu$ L of each dilution was plated into quadruplicate wells of 96-well, plates containing 80-90% confluent Vero 76 cells. The toxicity controls

were added to an additional 4 wells of Vero 76 cells and 2 of those wells at each dilution were infected with the virus to serve as neutralization controls, ensuring that the residual sample in the titre assay plate did not inhibit growth and detection of the surviving virus. Plates were incubated (37  $\pm$  2°C with 35% CO2) for 5 days. Each well was then scored for the presence or absence of the infectious virus. The titres were measured using a standard endpoint dilution 50% cell culture infectious dose (CCID<sub>50</sub>) assay calculated using the Reed-Muench (1938) equation and the log reduction value (LRV) of each compound compared to the negative (water) control was calculated [12].

#### RESULTS

Infectious SARS-CoV-2 viruses were quantified by endpoint dilution virus titration on Vero cells and the results were expressed as  $\log_{10}$  cell culture of 50% infectious dose ( $\log_{10}$  CCID<sub>50</sub>). Virus titres and Log Reduction Value (LRV) of SARS-CoV-2 when incubated with a single concentration of the test drug for each time point are shown in Table 1. After 90 seconds of incubation, 1:1 EID reduced viral titre by 99% from 4.5  $\log_{10}$  CCID<sub>50</sub>/0.1 ml to 2.5  $\log_{10}$  CCID<sub>50</sub>/0.1 ml giving an LRV of 2.0. It reduced the virus from 31,623 CCID<sub>50</sub> to 316 CCID<sub>50</sub> per 0.1 ml. After 60 seconds, 3:1 EID reduced viral titre by 99% from 4.0  $\log^{10}$  CCID<sub>50</sub>/0.1 ml to 2.0  $\log_{10}$  CCID<sub>50</sub>/0.1 ml giving an LRV of 2.0. No cytotoxicity was observed in any of the test wells and both positive and neutralization controls performed as expected.

**Table 1:** TVirucidal efficacy of Essential Iodine Drops (EID) against SARS-CoV-260 and 90 seconds incubation with the virus at  $22 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C.

| Test Article     | EID            | Incubation | Virus              | LRV <sup>b</sup> |
|------------------|----------------|------------|--------------------|------------------|
|                  | Concentrations | Time       | Titer <sup>a</sup> |                  |
| EID              | 66%            | 60s        | 2.3                | 1.7              |
| EID              | 75%            | 60s        | 2                  | 2                |
| Ethanol<br>(70%) | 50%            | 60s        | <0.67              | >3.33            |
| Virus<br>Control | n.a.           | 60s        | 4                  | n.a.             |
| EID              | 50%            | 90s        | 2.5                | 2                |
| Ethanol<br>(70%) | 50%            | 90s        | <0.67              | >4.33            |
| Virus<br>Control | n.a.           | 90s        | 4.5                | n.a              |

**Note:** <sup>a</sup>  $Log_{10}$  CCID<sub>50</sub> of virus per 0.1 ml. The assay lower limit of detection is 0.67  $Log_{10}$  CCID<sub>50</sub>/0.1 ml; <sup>b</sup> LRV (Log Reduction Value) is the reduction of virus compared to the virus control. n.a.=not applicable.

#### DISCUSSION

Iodine is established as having a broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity against bacterial, viral, fungal and protozoal pathogens and has been used as an antiseptic for the prevention of infection and the treatment of wounds for decades. PVP-I currently has the best antiviral activity against SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV [9], and its virucidal *in vitro* activity against the novel, SARS-CoV-2 virus has been demonstrated recently [12]. This paper aimed to demonstrate that the novel Iodine-V in EID as an active virucidal ingredient is as efficacious as PVP-I and potentially safer. *In vitro* virucidal assay in the present study has indeed demonstrated that 75% and 50% of Essential Iodine Drops (EID) solution reduced SARS-CoV-2 virus titre after 60 seconds and 90 seconds of incubation by an LRV of 2.0 (99%).

These findings indicate that Iodine-V in EID lends itself as a drug with dual benefits, a mineral supplement to maintain a healthy thyroid functioning and reduce the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 virus. When applied orally or intranasally, Iodine-V in EID is potentially beneficial against the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from COVID-19 patients. This means that the health benefits of EID are superior to that of PVP-I or Lugol's iodine (iodine and potassium iodide in water alone forming mostly triiodide).

Although PVP-I was reported to be non-cytotoxic [12], this formulation may cause serious rashes that are similar to chemical burns observed in a few rare cases. It has been implicated in late-onset allergic contact dermatitis when used as a pre-operative antiseptic in oral and maxillofacial surgery [15]. This has been attributed to the presence of free iodine (I2), which has a strong oxidizing effect on the skin or mucosa, hence, the reported allergic reaction. Free iodine concentrations in PVP-I (1.25% dilution) and Lugol's iodine are regarded as low and safe. The virucidal activity of EID was lower than an LRV of 4.6 for PVP-I (99.99%) as demonstrated by Pelletier et al. [12], however, 1-5% PVP-I containing 1000-5000  $\mu g/$ ml iodine compared to 200 µg/ml iodine in EID. Elemental iodine in Iodine-V within the fulvic acid clathrate complex forming a solid stable material that can be easily formulated into a tablet form for slow, extended release of elemental iodine. This means that even EID tablet formulation would be less likely to cause iodine-induced allergies in oral and nasal mucosae. Furthermore, EID is formulated with Iodine-V without excipients unlike PVP-I and therefore, has a potentially better virucidal activity against SARS-CoV-2 virus. Furthermore, PVP-I excipient has been reported, in rare cases, to induced immediate (type 1) hypersensitivity reactions in children [16]. Therefore, EID can be considered a safer alternative to PVP-I as it can be used in children.

Unlike PVP-I, which is routinely used in surgical settings as a preoperative antiseptic in oral and maxillofacial surgery [15], EID is currently used in the general populace as a mineral supplement and can be readily accepted as a drug to prevent the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic. Being already on the market as a mineral supplement to help people with iodine deficiency, data on its potential adverse effects are already known or available and therefore, safe when used routinely orally or intranasally in known or suspected COVID-19 patients.

#### CONCLUSION

Iodine-V (currently only available in EID formulation) inactivated 99% of SARS-CoV-2 after 60 and 90 seconds; quite similar to Povidone-Iodine (PVP-I) (99.99% at 60 seconds) reported elsewhere. Being excipient-free, this data also suggests that Iodine-V in EID is likely to have better stability and an enhanced potency *in vivo* when compared with PVP-I. Therefore, Iodine-V offers an advantage as a nasal or oral antiseptic to reduce viral transmission from known or suspected COVID-19 patients. With the increasing home-based care approach for COVID-19 patients, the risk of transmitting SARS-CoV-2 virus among family members and the immediate community can be reduced using intranasal/oral Iodine-V, which has the potential to remove or inactivate shed virus from respiratory secretions of known or suspected COVID-19 cases.

## DECLARATIONS

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This in vitro study used SARS-CoV-2 (USAWA1/2020 strain) sourced from the CDC, which obtained it anonymously from

#### Zoltan K

an infected patient in Washington State, USA. Therefore, ethics approval and patient consent are not applicable in this section.

#### Availability of data and material

The data supporting study findings can be found in a separate supplemental material that can be accessed by reaching out to the corresponding author, Dr. Kontos Zoltan, through his email: zkontos@ioi-investment.com

## **Competing interests**

Dr. Kontos Zoltan, the corresponding author is the manufacturer of Iodine-V and EID already on the market for Istituto per le Opere di Innovazione (IOI) Investment Zrt. (Budapest, Hungary). The author is also the majority owner, chairman and CEO of this company.

## Funding

This work was supported solely through private funding by Istituto per le Opere di Innovazione (IOI) Investment Zrt. (Budapest, Hungary) chaired by Dr. Köntös Zoltán. Thus, the author and this research have not received any external funding.

## Acknowledgements

I am particularly grateful for the service given by Jonna B. Westover with The Institute for Antiviral Research at Utah State University.

## REFERENCES

- Dietz L, Horve PF, Coil DA, Fretz M, Eisen JA, Van Den Wymelenberg K. novel coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic: Built environment considerations to reduce transmission. 2020;5: e00245.
- Santarpia J, Rivera D, Herrera V, Morwitzer M, Creager H, Santarpia G, et al. Transmission Potential of SARS-CoV-2 in Viral Shedding Observed at the University of Nebraska Medical Center. 2020.
- Van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, Holbrook MG, Gamble A, Williamson BN, et al. Aerosol and surface stability of SARS-CoV-2 as compared with SARS-CoV-1. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(16):1564-1567.
- Ong SW, Tan YK, Chia PY, Lee TH, Ng OT, Wong MS, et al. Air, surface environmental, and personal protective equipment contamination by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) from a symptomatic patient. Jama. 2020;323(16):1610-

1612.

- Johnson GR, Morawska L, Ristovski ZD, Hargreaves M, Mengersen K, Chao CY, et al. Modality of human expired aerosol size distributions. J Aerosol Sci. 2011;42(12):839-851.
- Somsen GA, van Rijn C, Kooij S, Bem RA, Bonn D. Small droplet aerosols in poorly ventilated spaces and SARS-CoV-2 transmission. Lancet Respir Med. 2020.
- Chu DK, Akl EA, Duda S, Solo K, Yaacoub S, Schünemann HJ, et al. Physical distancing, face masks, and eye protection to prevent personto-person transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2020.
- 8. Wang J, Pan L, Tang S, Ji JS, Shi X. Mask use during COVID-19: A risk adjusted strategy. Environmental Pollution. 2020:115099.
- 9. Kirk-Bayley J, Challacombe S, Sunkaraneni S, Combes J. The Use of Povidone Iodine Nasal Spray and Mouthwash during the Current COVID-19 Pandemic May Protect Healthcare Workers and Reduce Cross Infection. 2020.
- Rizwan M, Bozena W, Dale HR. A comparison of the effectiveness of tincture of iodine and potassium iodide on chronic sinusitis patients with biofilm. Otolaryngol Open Access J. 2017;2.
- Frank S, Capriotti J, Brown SM, Tessema B. Povidone-Iodine Use in Sinonasal and Oral Cavities: A Review of Safety in the COVID-19 Era. Ear, Nose & Throat Journal. 2020.
- 12. Pelletier J, Tessema B, Westover J, Frank S, Brown S, Capriotti J. *In Vitro* Efficacy of Povidone-Iodine Nasal And Oral Antiseptic Preparations Against Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). medRxiv. 2020.
- Bidra AS, Pelletier JS, Westover JB, Frank S, Brown SM, Tessema B. Rapid InIIVitro Inactivation of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARSICoVI2) Using PovidoneIIodine Oral Antiseptic Rinse. J Prosthodont. 2020.
- 14. Jia HP, Look DC, Shi L, Hickey M, Pewe L, Netland J, et al. ACE2 receptor expression and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection depend on differentiation of human airway epithelia. J Virol. 2005;79(23):14614-14621.
- Reyazulla MA, Gopinath AL, Vaibhav N, Raut RP. An unusual complication of late onset allergic contact dermatitis to povidone iodine in oral & maxillofacial surgery-a report of 2 cases. Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol. 2014;46(4):157-159.
- Michavila-Gomez AV, Moreno-Palanques MA, Ferrer-Vazquez M, Ferriols-Leisart R, Bartolomé B. Anaphylactic reaction to povidone secondary to drug ingestion in a young child. Allergol Immunopathol. 2012;40(4):259-261.