OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

ISSN: 2155-9864
Journal of Blood Disorders & Transfusion

Opinion Article

Immune and Non-Immune Factors Influencing Platelet Transfusion Failure

Marcel Galati*

Department of Hematology and Transfusion Medicine, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

DESCRIPTION

Platelet transfusion remains an essential therapeutic approach for patients experiencing thrombocytopenia or impaired platelet function. Individuals undergoing intensive chemotherapy, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, major surgical procedures, or treatment for hematological disorders frequently require platelet support to reduce the risk of bleeding. While platelet transfusions are often effective, some patients demonstrate an inadequate rise in platelet count following repeated transfusions. This condition, known as platelet refractoriness, presents a significant clinical challenge because it reduces the effectiveness of a treatment that many patients depend upon for haemostatic stability.

Platelet refractoriness is generally defined as a repeated failure to achieve an expected increase in platelet count after transfusion. Assessment commonly involves measuring platelet counts before and after transfusion and calculating corrected count increments. When post-transfusion platelet responses consistently remain below expected levels, clinicians investigate possible causes. The condition may arise from immune-mediated reactions, non-immune influences, or a combination of both. Understanding these mechanisms is necessary for selecting appropriate management strategies and improving patient outcomes.

Non-immune causes account for a substantial proportion of platelet refractoriness cases. In many healthcare settings, these factors are identified more frequently than immune-related causes. Fever, infection, sepsis, active bleeding, splenomegaly, disseminated intravascular coagulation, and certain medications can accelerate platelet consumption or destruction. When platelets are rapidly removed from circulation due to ongoing pathological processes, the expected increase in platelet count following transfusion becomes difficult to achieve.

Infections represent one of the most common contributors to poor platelet recovery. During systemic infection, inflammatory mediators stimulate cellular and vascular responses that increase platelet activation and clearance. Activated platelets may participate in immune defence mechanisms and become consumed more rapidly. Sepsis further amplifies these processes through widespread inflammatory activity and alterations in coagulation pathways. Consequently, transfused platelets may survive only briefly within the circulation.

Active haemorrhage also contributes significantly to platelet refractoriness. Patients experiencing substantial blood loss continuously consume platelets at sites of vascular injury. Even when transfused platelets enter circulation successfully, they may immediately participate in clot formation, preventing measurable increases in peripheral blood counts. Similar effects occur in disseminated intravascular coagulation, where extensive activation of coagulation pathways leads to widespread platelet consumption throughout the vascular system.

Splenomegaly provides another explanation for inadequate platelet increments. The spleen normally functions as a reservoir for circulating blood cells. When enlarged, it may sequester a greater proportion of platelets, reducing the number remaining within peripheral circulation. In such cases, platelet transfusions may appear ineffective despite successful delivery of donor platelets into the patient's body.

Drug-related effects should also be considered. Certain antimicrobial agents, antifungal medications, and other therapeutic compounds can influence platelet survival through direct or indirect mechanisms. Some drugs stimulate immune responses against platelets, while others contribute to accelerated destruction or impaired function. Careful medication review often forms an important component of evaluating refractory patients.

Although non-immune causes are common, immune-mediated platelet refractoriness carries particular significance because of its direct relationship with donor-recipient antigen incompatibility. The most widely recognized immune mechanism involves antibodies directed against human leukocyte antigens. Platelet surfaces express class I human leukocyte antigens inherited from donors. Patients exposed to foreign antigens through previous transfusions, pregnancy, or transplantation may develop antibodies capable of recognizing

Correspondence to: Marcel Galati, Department of Hematology and Transfusion Medicine, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, Email: marcel.galati@uzh.ch

Received: 26-Dec-2025, Manuscript No. JBDT-26-31739; **Editor assigned:** 29-Dec-2025, PreQC No JBDT-26-31739 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 12-Jan-2026, QC No. JBDT-26-31739; **Revised:** 19-Jan-2026, Manuscript No. JBDT-26-31739 (R); **Published:** 26-Jan-2026, DOI: 10.4172/2155-9864.25.S18.005

Citation: Galati M (2026) Immune and Non-Immune Factors Influencing Platelet Transfusion Failure. J Blood Disord Transfus. S18:005.

and eliminating transfused platelets bearing specific antigen patterns.

CONCLUSION

Platelet refractoriness represents a multifactorial condition involving both immune and non-immune mechanisms. Infections, bleeding, splenic sequestration, coagulation abnormalities, and medication effects commonly contribute to poor platelet recovery. Immune responses involving antibodies against human leukocyte antigens and platelet-specific antigens provide another major pathway leading to transfusion failure. Accurate diagnosis depends upon careful clinical assessment and laboratory evaluation. Continued progress in transfusion medicine, donor matching, and immunological testing supports more effective management approaches and contributes to safer, more efficient platelet support for patients requiring long-term transfusion therapy.