

Open Access

Hypertension Risk Factors of Shock Patients

Das RN*

Commentary

Department of Statistics, University of Burdwan, Burdwan, West Bengal, India

Abstract

This article focuses the hypertension risk factors of shock patients, based on the data set in Shock Research Unit at the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California. The risk factors of systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, and mean arterial pressure are discussed for the awareness of the healthy individuals, shock patients and medical practitioners.

Keywords: Blood pressure; Coronary heart disease; Gamma model; Heart rate; Mean arterial pressure; Non-constant variance

Hypertension Risk Factors

Blood pressure and hypertension are commonly associated with each other. Hypertension is associated with stroke for 54%, and 47% of ischaemic heart disease and it affects 30% of the adult population [1,2]. Despite the availability of numerous drugs, response rates to any given drug are approximately 50% and only one in three patients with hypertension has their blood pressure controlled to target [3]. Several studies have shown that up to 53% of patients with uncontrolled hypertension were non-adherent to treatments [4,5]. Many factors (sleep apnoea, lifestyle, biochemical parameters, etc.) are responsible for uncontrolled blood pressure, and the intra-individual blood pressure variability and the genetic effects have a major impact on the determination of response to drugs [6,7]. Little is known in the literature about the hypertension risk factors for the patients who underwent shocked.

We seek answers to the following questions: What are the causal factors of systolic, diastolic blood pressures and mean arterial pressure? How the risk factors are associated with the hypertension markers (systolic, diastolic blood pressures, and mean arterial pressure)? What are the effects of the risk factors on these markers? These answers are examined based on the data set of 21 variables on 113 subjects, collected at the Shock Research Unit at the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California. Initial measurements (measurements upon admission) and final measurements on the same variables (measurements just before death or discharge) were collected on 113 critically ill patients. A detailed description of the data set, collection method, patient population and the shocks types are given in [8].

The variables/factors (excluding identification number) of this study are Age (years) (coded as AGE), Height (cm) (coded as HT), Sex (male=1, female 2) (coded as SEX), Survival (survived=1, death=2) (coded as SURVIVE), Shock type (non-shock=1, hypovolemic=2, cardiogenic=3, bacterial=4, neurogenic=5, other=6) (coded as SHOCK), Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) (coded as SBP), Mean arterial blood pressure (mm Hg) (coded as MAP), Heart rate (beats/min) (coded as HR), Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) (coded as DBP), Mean central venous pressure (cm H₂O) (coded as MCVP), Body surface index (m²) (coded as BSI), Cardiac index (liters/min m²) (coded as CI), Appearance time (s) (coded as AT), Mean circulation time (sec) (coded as MCT), Urinary output (ml/h) (coded as UO), Plasma volume index (ml/kg) (coded as PVI), Red cell index (ml/kg) (coded as RCI), Hemoglobin (g/100 ml) (coded as HG), Hematocrit (percent) (coded as HCT), Card sequence (none) (initial=1, final=2) (coded as RECORD). This data set has been analyzed by both the joint Log-normal and Gamma models [9]. In each case, Gamma models fit gives better results. The risk factors of the hypertension markers (systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, and mean arterial blood pressure) are as follows.

Systolic blood pressure (SBP) has been modeled based on the remaining other variables/factors using the joint Gamma models. The results are as follows. The mean SBP is separately negatively associated with HT (P<0.001), SURVIVE (P=0.023), DBP (P<0.001) and HG (P=0.005). These indicate that the mental patients with low HT or DBP or HG have high SBP. Also the mental patients who survived have high SBP. On the other hand, the mean SBP is separately positively associated with SEX (P=0.013), MAP (P<0.001), HR (P=0.004), BSI (P=0.003), and AT (P=0.004). The mean SBP is higher for female mental patients than male. If at least any one of MAP, HR, BSI, AT is high, the mean SBP is high. The variance of SBP is separately negatively associated with SHOCK (P<0.001), MAP (P<0.001), BSI (P=0.086) and CI (P=0.080). So, SBP variance is high if MAP or BSI or CI is low. The mental patients with non-shock have high SBP variance. It implies that SBP variance is highly scattered for non-shock patients than the shocked patients. Again the SBP variance is separately positively associated with AGE (P=0.064), HT (P=0.022), HR (P=0.052) and MCVP (P=0.001). So, the SBP variance is high if at least any one of AGE, HT, HR, and MCVP is high.

Diastolic blood pressure (DBP) has been modeled based on the remaining other variables/factors using the joint Gamma models. The identified risk factors of DBP are as follows. The mean DBP is separately negatively associated with SURVIVE (P=0.009), SBP (P<0.001), PVI (P=0.069) and RECORD (P<0.001). If SBP or PVI is low, DBP is high. The mental patients at the initial stage have higher DBP than the final stage, and the patients who survived have higher DBP than those who were close to death. The mean DBP is separately positively associated with AGE (P=0.081), MAP (P<0.001), HR (P<0.001), AT (P=0.043), HG (P=0.077) and HCT (P=0.297) (a confounder). So, the mean DBP is high at older age, at high value of MAP, HR, AT, HG and HCT. The variance of DBP is separately negatively associated with CI (P<0.001) and MCT (P=0.012). If CI or MCT is low, DBP variance is high. Again the DBP variance is separately positively associated with AGE

*Corresponding author: Rabindra Nath Das, Department of Statistics, The University of Burdwan, Burdwan, West Bengal, India, E-mail: rabin.bwn@gmail.com

Received August 16, 2016; Accepted October 18, 2016; Published October 25, 2016

Citation: Das RN (2016) Hypertension Risk Factors of Shock Patients. Health Care Current Reviews 4: 177. doi: 10.4172/2375-4273.1000177

Copyright: © 2016 Das RN. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Mean systolic blood pressure (SBP)Height (HT)negative negativeP<0.001
Mean systolic blood pressure (SBP)SURVIVE Diastolic BP (DBP)negative negativeP=0.023Hemoglobin (HG)negativeP=0.001Hemoglobin (HG)negativeP=0.001SEXpositiveP=0.013Mean arterial blood pressure (MAP)positiveP=0.004Body surface index (BSI)positiveP=0.003Appearance time (AT)positiveP=0.004Body surface index (BSI)negativeP=0.004Body surface index (BSI)negativeP=0.001Mean arterial blood pressure (MAP)negativeP<0.001
Mean systolic blood pressure (SBP)Diastolic BP (DBP)negativeP<0.001Hemoglobin (HG)negativeP=0.013SEXpositiveP=0.013Mean arterial blood pressure (MAP)positiveP=0.004Body surface index (BSI)positiveP=0.003Appearance time (AT)positiveP=0.001Body surface index (BSI)negativeP=0.004Body surface index (BSI)positiveP=0.004Body surface index (BSI)negativeP<0.001
Mean systolic blood pressure (SBP)Hemoglobin (HG)negativeP=0.005SEXpositiveP=0.013Mean arterial blood pressure (MAP)positiveP=0.001Heart rate (HR)positiveP=0.004Body surface index (BSI)positiveP=0.003Appearance time (AT)positiveP=0.004Body surface index (BSI)negativeP=0.004Mean arterial blood pressure (MAP)negativeP=0.004Body surface index (BSI)negativeP=0.001Body surface index (CI)negativeP=0.080AGEpositiveP=0.080AGEpositiveP=0.064Height (HT)positiveP=0.052Heart rate (HR)positiveP=0.052Mean central venous pressure (MCVP)positiveP=0.001Systolic blood pressure (SBP)negativeP=0.001Plasma volume index (PVI)negativeP=0.069RECORDnegativeP=0.001
Mean arterial blood pressure (SBP) SEX positive P=0.013 Mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) positive P=0.004 Body surface index (BSI) positive P=0.003 Appearance time (AT) positive P=0.004 SHOCK negative P=0.001 Mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) positive P=0.003 Appearance time (AT) positive P=0.004 SHOCK negative P<0.001
(SBP)Mean arterial blood pressure (MAP)positiveP<0.001Heart rate (HR)positiveP=0.004Body surface index (BSI)positiveP=0.003Appearance time (AT)positiveP=0.004SHOCKnegativeP<0.001
Heart rate (HR)positiveP=0.004Body surface index (BSI)positiveP=0.003Appearance time (AT)positiveP=0.004SHOCKnegativeP<0.001
Variance of SBP Body surface index (BSI) positive P=0.003 Appearance time (AT) positive P=0.004 SHOCK negative P<0.001
Variance of SBP SHOCK negative P=0.004 Variance of SBP SHOCK negative P<0.001
Variance of SBP SHOCK negative P<0.001 Mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) negative P<0.001
Variance of SBP Mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) negative P<0.001
Variance of SBP Body surface index (BSI) negative P=0.086 Cardiac index (CI) negative P=0.080 AGE positive P=0.064 Height (HT) positive P=0.022 Heart rate (HR) positive P=0.052 Mean central venous pressure (MCVP) positive P=0.001 SURVIVE negative P=0.001 Plasma volume index (PVI) negative P=0.001 RECORD negative P=0.001
Variance of SBP Cardiac index (CI) negative P=0.080 AGE positive P=0.064 Height (HT) positive P=0.022 Heart rate (HR) positive P=0.052 Mean central venous pressure (MCVP) positive P=0.001 SURVIVE negative P=0.001 Plasma volume index (PVI) negative P=0.069 RECORD negative P<0.001
Variance of SBP AGE positive P=0.064 Height (HT) positive P=0.022 Heart rate (HR) positive P=0.052 Mean central venous pressure (MCVP) positive P=0.001 SURVIVE negative P=0.001 Systolic blood pressure (SBP) negative P=0.001 Plasma volume index (PVI) negative P=0.069 RECORD negative P<0.001
SBP ROL positive P=0.004 Height (HT) positive P=0.022 Heart rate (HR) positive P=0.052 Mean central venous pressure (MCVP) positive P=0.001 SURVIVE negative P=0.009 Systolic blood pressure (SBP) negative P=0.069 Plasma volume index (PVI) negative P=0.069 RECORD negative P<0.001
Height (HT) positive P=0.022 Heart rate (HR) positive P=0.052 Mean central venous pressure (MCVP) positive P=0.001 SURVIVE negative P=0.009 Systolic blood pressure (SBP) negative P=0.001 Plasma volume index (PVI) negative P=0.069 RECORD negative P<0.001
Mean central venous pressure (MCVP) positive P=0.001 SURVIVE negative P=0.009 Systolic blood pressure (SBP) negative P<0.001
Mean diant Central ventors pressure positive P=0.001 (MCVP) negative P=0.009 SURVIVE negative P=0.001 Systolic blood pressure (SBP) negative P<0.001
SURVIVE negative P=0.009 Systolic blood pressure (SBP) negative P<0.001
Systolic blood pressure (SBP) negative P<0.001 Plasma volume index (PVI) negative P=0.069 RECORD negative P<0.001
Plasma volume index (PVI) negative P=0.069 RECORD negative P<0.001
RECORD negative P<0.001
blood pressure AGE positive P=0.081
(DBP) Mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) positive P<0.001
Heart rate (HR) positive P<0.001
Appearance time (AT) positive P=0.043
Hemoglobin (HG) positive P=0.077
Hematocrit (HCT) positive P=0.297
Cardiac index (CI) negative P<0.001
Mean circulation time (MCT) negative P=0.012
Variance of DBP AGE positive P<0.001
Height (HT) positive P<0.001
SEX positive P=0.092
Plasma volume index (PVI) positive P<0.001
SURVIVE negative P=0.002
Heart rate (HR) negative P<0.001
Body surface index (BSI) negative P<0.001
Appearance time (AT) negative P=0.010
Red cell index (RCI) negative P=0.061
AGE positive P=0.020
Mean arterial blood SHOCK positive P=0.054
pressure (MAP) Systolic BP (SBP) positive P<0.001
Diastolic BP (DBP) positive P<0.001
Mean central venous pressure positive P=0.023 (MCVP)
Cardiac index (CI) positive P=0.001
Urinary output (UO) positive P=0.036
Hematocrit (HCT) positive P=0.081
RECORD positive P=0.049
SEX negative P=0.002
SHOCK negative P<0.001
Systolic BP (SBP) negative P<0.001
Variance of MAP Body surface index (BSI) negative P=0.009
Cardiac index (CI) negative P=0.009
SURVIVE positive P<0.001

Table 1: Association of different blood pressures with different risk factors.

Page 2 of 3

(P<0.001), HT (P<0.001), SEX (P=0.092) and PVI (P<0.001). So, DBP variance is high at older age, or at high value of HT or PVI.

Mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) has been modeled based on the remaining other variables/factors using the joint Gamma models. The identified risk factors of MAP are as follows. The MAP is separately negatively associated with SURVIVE (P= 0.002), HR (P<0.001), BSI (P<0.001), AT (P=0.010) and RCI (P=0.061). Therefore, MAP is high at low HR or BSI or AT or RCI. Also the patients have higher MAP who survived than the patients who were close to death. Again the MAP is separately positively associated with AGE (P=0.020), SHOCK (P=0.054), SBP (P<0.001), DBP (P<0.001), MCVP (P=0.023), CI (P=0.001), UO (P=0.036). HCT (P=0.081) and RECORD (P=0.049). So, the MAP is high if at least any one of AGE, SBP, DBP, MCVP, CI, UO, HCT is high.

Also the MAP is higher for the patients with shock levels at bacterial or neurogenic or other than the non-shock or hypovolemic or cardiogenic. Again, the MAP is higher of the patients at final stage than the initial stage. The MAP variance is separately negatively associated with SEX (P=0.002), SHOCK (P<0.001), SBP (P<0.001), BSI (P=0.009) and CI (P=0.009). Thus, the MAP variance is high at low value of SBP or BSI or CI. The MAP variance is higher for male patients than female, and it is also higher for non-shocked patients than the shocked. It indicates that MAP variance is more stable for the shocked patients than the non-shocked. Also, the MAP variance is positively associated with SURVIVE (P<0.001). So, the MAP variance is higher for the patients who were close to death.

All the results as described above are summarized in Table 1. The above results are derived based on joint Gamma models [9]. Here the mean and variance parameters of the responses (systolic, diastolic and mean arterial blood pressures) are very shortly discussed. All the derivations along with many other confounded parameters will be discussed in the full research papers. The complete research papers will be submitted very soon. The above mentioned hypertension risk factors are associated with mental patients. This report recommends the following for all individuals. Care should be taken for blood pressures at older ages. Male individuals have higher hypertension risk than females. Blood pressures and heart rates are highly associated. Shock types are highly associated with the blood pressures. Medical practitioners should be care on shock types, and the other risk factors. For better medical treatment, shocks to be reduced, and the other risk factors should be considered by the medical practitioners.

Conflict of Interest

The author confirms that this article content has no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement

The author is very much indebted to the referees who have provided valuable comments to improve this paper.

References

- Micha R, Wallace SK, Mozaff arian D (2010) Red and processed meat consumption and risk of incident coronary heart disease, stroke, and diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Circulation 121: 2271-2283.
- Kearney PM, Whelton M, Reynolds K, Muntner P, Whelton PK, et al. (2005) Global burden of hypertension: Analysis of worldwide data. Lancet 365: 217-223.
- Falaschetti E, Chaudhury M, Mindell J, Poulter N (2009) Continued improvement in hypertension management in England: Results from the Health Survey for England 2006. Hypertension 53: 480-486.
- Tomaszewski M, White C, Patel P, Masca N, Damani R, et al. (2014) High rates of nonadherence to antihypertensive treatment revealed by high-performance

liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (HP LC-MS/MS) urine analysis. Heart 100: 855-861.

- Jung O, Gechter JL, Wunder C, Paulke A, Bartel C, et al. (2013) Resistant hypertension? Assessment of adherence by toxicological urine analysis. J Hypertens 31: 766-774.
- Parati G, Ochoa JE, Lombardi C, Bilo G (2013) Assessment and management of blood pressure variability. Nat Rev Cardiol 10: 143-155.
- Menni, C (2015) Blood pressure pharmacogenomics: Gazing into a misty crystal ball. J Hypertens 33: 1142-1143.
- Afifi AA, Azen SP (1979) Statistical analysis: A computer oriented approach, 2nd ed. Academic Press, New York.
- 9. Das RN (2014) Robust response surfaces, regression and positive data analyses. Chapman & Hall, London.

Page 3 of 3