



# Historical and Contemporary Approaches to Mental Health and Crime

Alexander Novak\*

*Department of Forensic Psychology and Law, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia*

## DESCRIPTION

The insanity defense is a legal doctrine that allows defendants to claim that they should not be held criminally responsible for their actions due to a severe mental disorder. This defense is based on the principle that individuals who lack the capacity to understand the nature of their acts or distinguish right from wrong should not be punished in the same manner as those with normal mental functioning. The use of the insanity defense raises complex questions at the intersection of law, psychology and ethics. It requires careful assessment of mental health, legal standards and the circumstances surrounding the alleged criminal act. Understanding the application, challenges and implications of the insanity defense is essential for legal professionals, mental health experts and policymakers.

Assessment of defendants claiming the insanity defense relies heavily on forensic psychology. Psychologists and psychiatrists conduct comprehensive evaluations to determine the presence and severity of mental disorders, cognitive functioning and the defendant's understanding of their actions. These evaluations involve clinical interviews, review of medical and psychiatric history, standardized psychological testing and collateral information from family or acquaintances. The goal is to provide the court with an objective understanding of the defendant's mental state at the time of the offense, ensuring that legal decisions are informed by scientific evidence.

The application of the insanity defense has significant social and ethical implications. Critics argue that it may be misused or over-applied, potentially allowing dangerous individuals to avoid appropriate punishment. Supporters emphasize that punishing individuals who lack the capacity for rational decision-making is ethically unjust and fails to recognize the principles of mental health care. The debate also involves considerations of public safety, resource allocation for psychiatric treatment and the balance between compassion and accountability. Ensuring fairness in cases involving the insanity defense requires rigorous evaluation, careful judicial oversight and clear communication of psychological findings to the court.

Historical and contemporary cases illustrate the challenges in applying the insanity defense. High-profile trials have shaped public perception, sometimes creating the impression that the defense is a loophole rather than a legitimate legal mechanism. Media coverage can influence societal attitudes, placing pressure on courts and mental health professionals. Understanding the history and evolution of the insanity defense provides context for its current application and underscores the importance of ongoing education and research in forensic psychology and law.

Mental health treatment is central to the management of individuals found not guilty by reason of insanity. Courts typically mandate psychiatric hospitalization, monitoring and rehabilitation programs to address the underlying mental disorder. Treatment plans focus on stabilization, risk assessment and reducing the likelihood of reoffending. Coordination between mental health professionals, legal authorities and community resources ensures that individuals receive appropriate care while maintaining public safety. Successful treatment and rehabilitation highlight the potential for recovery and reintegration for individuals with severe mental illness who commit criminal acts.

Challenges in applying the insanity defense include variability in legal standards, difficulty in assessing past mental states and differing interpretations of psychiatric diagnoses. Determining the defendant's state of mind at the time of the offense is inherently retrospective and requires expert judgment based on available evidence. Conflicting expert opinions can complicate court proceedings, making it essential to establish credibility, reliability and methodological rigor in forensic evaluations. Training for legal professionals and judges in the principles of forensic psychology enhances the fairness and accuracy of decisions involving mental health claims.

Research continues to advance the understanding of the insanity defense. Studies on risk factors, mental disorder prevalence, cognitive assessment tools and treatment outcomes inform legal and clinical practices. Evidence-based approaches help ensure that the insanity defense is applied judiciously and effectively, protecting the rights of defendants while safeguarding society.

**Correspondence to:** Alexander Novak, Department of Forensic Psychology and Law, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia. E-mail: a.novak@bg.ac.rs

**Received:** 27-Nov-2025, Manuscript No. JFPY-25-30937; **Editor Assigned:** 01-Dec-2025, Pre QC No. JFPY-25-30937 (PQ) **Reviewed:** 15-Dec-2025, QC No. JFPY-25-30937; **Revised:** 22-Dec-2025, Manuscript No. JFPY-25-30937 (R); **Published:** 29-Dec-2025, DOI: 10.35248/2475-319X.25.10.402

**Citation:** Novak A (2025). Historical and Contemporary Approaches to Mental Health and Crime. *J Foren Psy*. 10:402.

**Copyright:** © 2025 Novak A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Interdisciplinary collaboration between law, psychology and psychiatry is important for maintaining the integrity of the justice system and improving outcomes for individuals with severe mental illness.

In conclusion, the insanity defense represents a critical intersection of law, psychology and ethics. It provides a mechanism for addressing criminal behavior among individuals with severe mental disorders while balancing legal accountability and mental health considerations. Accurate assessment,

adherence to legal standards and appropriate treatment are essential for the fair and effective application of this defense. Continued research, education and collaboration between legal and mental health professionals are necessary to ensure that the insanity defense remains a just and scientifically informed component of the justice system. Understanding and applying the insanity defense responsibly promotes ethical decision-making, public safety and humane treatment of individuals with mental illness who come into contact with the law.