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DESCRIPTION
The neonatal circuit is unique due to the presence of a fetal shunt. Advances in biomedical technology have significantly improved the assessment of sick newborns. This will enable the collection, storage and analysis of complex physiology data and provide the basis for advancing the diagnosis and treatment of neonatal cardiovascular disorders. This gives clinician objective information to supplement their clinical assessment. In addition, continuous evaluation and trend analysis of measured parameters provide long-term information on the pathophysiology and therapeutic response of the disease. However, enhanced hemodynamic monitoring needs to be structured and focused to obtain relevant information to complement clinical signs and symptoms. However, it carries with it the inherent risks of inadequate or excessive treatment leading to confusion. Diseased newborns often have unstable hemodynamic, which results in inadequate oxygen supply to the organs. To maintain blood flow to important organs, many compensatory mechanisms divert blood flow from non-critical organs. Early detection of hemodynamic changes can detect decompensated cardiovascular disorders, thereby preventing the escalation of hypo cardiac output syndrome to the decompensated phase. Management of hemodynamically unstable venous filling in newborns requires assessment of myocardial contractility, aortic blood pressure, systemic circulation, and local distribution of blood flow. There are many evaluation and measurement methods based on various physical principles, all of which have their strengths and weaknesses. Most of these have not been shown to improve the outcome of sick newborns. Hemodynamic data useful in the treatment of sick newborns can be obtained in these ways. With the help of new technologies, the pathophysiology of cardiovascular insufficiency in sick newborns needs to be elucidated and the effects of drugs on blood pressure and blood flow in the heart and other organs need to be assessed.

Steering Committee (SC) three lead authors, children’s intensive/anesthesiologist (JL), neonatologist/psychiatrist (YS), and pediatric intensive treatment (JU), nine expert panel members that are essential for fusion Identification. As with previous ESPNIC guidelines, surveillance is a child’s contribution or cardiovascular status evaluation in the past 10 years. In addition, three selection criteria for pediatric or neonatal concentration treatment chambers necessary to record experience in the form of HD monitoring. The panel categorized hemodynamic monitoring into 12 subgroups arterial blood pressure, central venous pressure, pulmonary artery catheter, cardiac output, trans pulmonary heat dilution, central venous oxygen saturation measurement, lactate levels, clinical symptoms, Near-infrared spectroscopy, fluid reactivity, microcirculation, and the role of ultrasound. Members of the panel were assigned to one of the subgroups in pairs, and each subgroup was coordinated by one of the members of the Steering Committee. The tasks of each subgroup carry out a thorough literature review, write a brief description of the parameters/methods, technical background, and physiological principles, and if necessary, give a brief overview of the reliability of the method. Possible, normal, or target values, estimates of the clinical value of the method, or parameters related to the patient category mentioned. Due to the background of the panel members’ hemodynamic knowledge, these documents served as an overview to provide the latest collective knowledge throughout the panel. These documents are not intended for a structured and systematic review of any particular technology or method. The working group decided not to use the grade system to evaluate the literature, as there were only poor quality data on many aspects of pediatric hemodynamic monitoring and the focus of this work was to reach consensus within the panel of experts. It was decided. The definition of hemodynamic instability was not clearly defined, but was intended as a clinical explanation reflecting children in need of fluid resuscitation or vasoactive drugs. Finally, three types of recommendations have been developed: Recommendations that take method reliability into consideration. Recommendations for clinical use related to a particular patient population. One of the principles of critical care is to ensure proper tissue oxygenation. This evaluation must be timely and accurate in order to optimize the results. Physical examination and clinical assessment of cardiac output, cardiac output, and tissue oxygenation based on standard hemodynamic variables are an important part of this exercise, but with significant limitations. The use of additional hemodynamic monitoring modality allows for a much more objective, accurate and timely assessment of a patient’s hemodynamic profile, in assessing the patient’s clinical status, clinical course, and response to interventions. It is very valuable. The main role of a cardiologist is to monitor, modify, and maintain an appropriate oxygen supply in relation to demand. Intervention before decompensated shock or end organ injury occurs is always good and therefore the value of timely and
accurate hemodynamic monitoring cannot be exaggerated. Various monitoring modality is available, but a single type of monitoring or measurement is not without its limitations. Thus, each of them provides a piece of the puzzle. The job of the cardiac intensives is to process all the data available from various monitoring modality and physical examinations to create a plan tailored to the needs of the individual patient.