OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

ISSN: 2157-7633
Journal of
Stem Cell Research
& Therapy

Perspective

Ethical Considerations of Stem Cell Research and Therapy

Huang Qi*

Department of Marxism Studies, University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

DESCRIPTION

The ethical considerations of stem cell research and therapy are significant. On the one hand, stem cell research has the potential to provide treatments for a range of diseases and injuries. On the other hand, there are concerns about the potential for abuse of stem cells, particularly if they are taken from embryos. There are a number of different views on the ethics of stem cell research and therapy. Some people believe that stem cell research is morally wrong because it involves the destruction of human embryos. Others believe that stem cell research is morally acceptable because it has the potential to save lives.

There is also debate about whether stem cells should be taken from embryos or from adults. Some people believe that embryos are the best source of stem cells because they are more versatile and can be used to treat a wider range of diseases. However, others believe that taking stem cells from adults is preferable because it does not involve the destruction of human life. The ethical considerations of stem cell research and therapy are complex and sensitive. It is important to consider all of the different viewpoints before making a decision about whether or not to support stem cell research.

The implications of stem cell research and therapy, the potential implications of stem cell research and therapy are vast. As the ability to manipulate and control stem cells improves, so too does the potential for using them to treat a variety of diseases and disorders. While stem cell research is still in its infancy, the potential applications of this technology are exciting and hold great promise for the future. One potential application of stem cell therapy is the treatment of cancer. Cancer cells are able to divide and grow uncontrollably, and current treatments often fail to effectively target and kill all of the cancer cells. This can leave patients at risk of the cancer returning. However, stem cell therapy shows promise as a potential treatment for cancer. By targeting and destroying cancer cells, while leaving healthy cells unharmed, stem cell therapy has the potential to effectively treat cancer with very few side effects.

Another potential application of stem cell therapy is the treatment of neurological disorders such as Parkinson's disease and Alzheimer's disease. These disorders are currently incurable and often degenerative, leading to a decline in the patient's quality of life. However, stem cell therapy offers hope for treating these disorders. By injecting healthy stem cells into the brain, it is possible to replace damaged cells and improve brain function. This has the potential to significantly improve the quality of life for patients suffering from these debilitating diseases. There are many other potential applications of stem cell therapy, and as research continues to progress, it is likely that even more will be discovered. The potential implications of stem cell therapy are vast and hold great promise for the future.

The process of transferring bench research to the bedside is perhaps the most fascinating and perplexing set of bioethical problems now in existence. Since they involve all stem cell types, not just hES cells, and because they involve human subjects, who are unquestionably moral persons with rights and interests that may be harmed regardless of what one may believe about the moral status of embryos, emerging ethical issues of this clinical translational stage of stem cell research go far beyond the embryo debate. Prior to very recently, there was no established professional advice available to scientists who wanted to convert fundamental stem cell research into useful clinical applications for patients. The ISSCR published a set of global standards this past year to fill this gap. Many intricate and often highly technical processes must be taken to go from the bench to the bedside. All of these factors, however, are significant from a bioethical perspective because they directly impact the risk/benefit ratio that must be determined before clinical research with people is morally permissible. The need of having experts with knowledge in stem cells participating in the scientific and ethical assessment at each stage of the translational research process is emphasized in the ISSCR clinical translation guidelines. The best people to assist investigators and human research review committees in evaluating the clinical trial protocol's scientific justification, in preclinical studies that served as its foundation and the dangers of abnormal cell

Correspondence to: Huang Qi, Department of Marxism Studies, University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, Email: hung.qi@email.com

Received: 03-Oct-2022, Manuscript No. JSCRT-22-18664; **Editor assigned:** 06-Oct-2022, Pre QC No. JSCRT-22-18664 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 20-Oct-2022, QC No. JSCRT-22-18664; **Revised:** 27-Oct-2022, Manuscript No. JSCRT-22-18664 (R); **Published:** 03-Nov-2022, DOI: 10.35248/2157-7633.22.12.557

Citation: Qi Huang (2022) The Ethical Considerations of Stem Cell Research and Therapy. J Stem Cell Res Ther. 12:557.

function, proliferation, and tumour development are those who have expertise in stem cells specifically. The ISSCR recommendations state that during the informed consent process, special attention should be paid to the particular risks associated with stem cell-based clinical research. These dangers include the development of tumours, immune system responses, unpredictable cell behavior, and unidentified long-term health repercussions. By carefully monitoring patient subjects and promptly reporting adverse occurrences, risks to future research participants may be further reduced.