OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

ISSN: 2155-9546
Journal of Aquaculture Research & Development

Short Communication

Efficient Feed Conversion Ratio in Aquaculture: Practical Approaches for Sustainable Fish Production

Normunds Dace*

Department of Aquatic Biosciences, University of Latvia, Riga, Latvia

DESCRIPTION

Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) is one of the most widely applied measurements in aquaculture because it reflects how efficiently cultured aquatic animals convert feed into body mass. A lower value generally indicates that less feed is required to produce a given amount of fish or shellfish biomass, making production more economical while reducing the amount of unused nutrients entering the surrounding environment. Since feed commonly represents the largest operating expense in commercial aquaculture, careful attention to feed utilization has become an important objective for producers, researchers, and farm managers across freshwater, brackish, and marine production systems [1,2].

The calculation of Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) is relatively straightforward. It compares the quantity of feed supplied with the weight gained by cultured animals during a specific production period. Although the formula appears simple, the value obtained is influenced by numerous biological and environmental conditions. Fish species, age, genetics, water quality, stocking density, feed composition, feeding practices, health status, and seasonal variation all contribute to the final result. As a result, two farms raising the same species may obtain different Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) values even when similar commercial feeds are used [3,4].

Nutrition has a direct influence on feed efficiency. Fish require balanced levels of protein, lipids, carbohydrates, vitamins, minerals, and essential amino acids for normal growth. Diets containing excessive or insufficient nutrients often reduce feed utilization because animals either consume more feed than necessary or fail to digest nutrients effectively. Modern aquaculture feeds are formulated according to the nutritional needs of individual species, taking into account digestive physiology and life stage. Fingerlings, juveniles, and adult fish each require different nutrient profiles to support healthy development and efficient weight gain [5].

Feed quality extends beyond nutrient composition. Pellet size, water stability, texture, buoyancy, and storage conditions influence consumption. Pellets that disintegrate rapidly in water may release nutrients before fish can consume them, leading to feed losses and poorer Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) values. Likewise, improperly stored feed may lose nutritional quality because of moisture absorption, oxidation of lipids, or microbial contamination. Regular monitoring of feed inventory and appropriate storage practices help preserve feed quality throughout the production cycle [6].

Feeding management is equally important. Providing excessive feed increases waste, while insufficient feeding limits growth. Many farms determine daily feed allocation according to fish biomass, water temperature, species-specific feeding tables, and observed appetite. Feeding several smaller meals throughout the day may improve digestion in some species compared with one large feeding event. Automatic feeders equipped with programmable schedules have improved consistency in many production facilities, allowing feed delivery to match the natural feeding behaviour of cultured fish [7].

Water quality strongly influences feed conversion. Fish experiencing low dissolved oxygen, elevated ammonia, excessive carbon dioxide, or unsuitable temperature often consume less feed and convert nutrients less efficiently. Maintaining stable environmental conditions allows digestive processes and metabolism to function normally. Regular monitoring of oxygen concentration, pH, temperature, salinity where applicable, and nitrogen compounds provides valuable information for adjusting management practices before fish performance declines [8].

Health management also affects feed efficiency. Fish infected with bacterial, viral, fungal, or parasitic diseases frequently reduce feed intake while diverting energy toward immune responses instead of growth. Preventive health measures, including biosecurity, vaccination where available, routine observation, and early diagnosis, contribute to better production performance. Healthy fish generally utilize nutrients more

Correspondence to: Normunds Dace, Department of Aquatic Biosciences, University of Latvia, Riga, Latvia, E-mail: normunds.dace@lu-aquaculture.lv

Received: 28-Apr-2026, Manuscript No JARD-26-32669; **Editor assigned:** 30-Apr-2026, PreQC No. JARD-26-32669 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 14-May-2026, QC No. JARD-26-32669; **Revised:** 21-May-2026, Manuscript No. JARD-26-32669 (R); **Published:** 28-May-2026, DOI: 10.35248/2155-9546.26.17.1107

Citation: Dace N (2026). Efficient Feed Conversion Ratio in Aquaculture: Practical Approaches for Sustainable Fish Production. J Aquac Res Dev. 17:1107.

Copyright: © 2026 Dace N. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

effectively than animals experiencing chronic disease or stress [9].

Stocking density deserves careful consideration because excessive crowding may increase competition for feed, elevate stress, reduce water quality, and limit uniform growth. Conversely, very low stocking densities may reduce production efficiency from an economic standpoint. Selecting densities appropriate for each species and production system helps maintain consistent feed intake while reducing aggressive behaviour and physical injuries [10].

CONCLUSION

Feed conversion ratio remains an essential measurement for evaluating aquaculture performance because it connects nutrition, animal health, environmental conditions, production economics, and farm management within a single numerical value. Although the calculation itself is simple, obtaining favourable results depends on consistent attention to every stage of production. Careful feed selection, appropriate feeding practices, suitable water quality, disease prevention, accurate monitoring, and informed management decisions collectively improve feed utilization while supporting productive and environmentally responsible aquaculture.

REFERENCES

1. Al Fahim A, Chowdhury TA, Kader MA, Khandaker MU. Solar-powered automated fish-feeding boat: A cost-effective and sustainable solution for aquaculture. Aquac. Eng.2025;111:102592.
2. Teronpi H, Kalita N, Baruah PP, Sarma D. Microalgae as potent feed ingredient: Nutritional and environmental aspects in the current challenge of attaining sustainable aquaculture. Food Biosci. 2025:107793.
3. Zhang H, Li L, Jin H, Wang J, Wang Z. Determinants of compound feed adoption in Chinese aquaculture: Evidence from shrimp farmers. Aquac. Rep. 2025;45:103237.
4. Sugiura SH. Urinary phosphorus excretion in fish: environmental and aquaculture implications. Aquat. Living Resour. 2025;38:7.
5. Banu MS, Yadav NK, Saha H, Patel AB. Culinary spices as functional feed additives in aquaculture: Growth, immunity, and health perspectives. COMP IMMUNOL REP. 2025:200256.
6. Cui M, Zhao J, Mei X, Li D, Zeng L. Dual-branch mutual learning for multi-modal to single-modal fish feeding intensity recognition in aquaculture. Biosyst. Eng. 2026;267:104468.
7. Hidir A, Aaqillah-Amr MA, Rahim KA, Azra MN, Ma H, Shapawi R, et al. Sustainable feed processing methods to enhance growth and digestibility in freshwater aquaculture: A review. Anim Nutr. 2026.
8. Bartucz T, Várkonyi D, Kathi L, Ádám R, Szabó I, Szanics EH, et al. A step further from waste to feed: Integrating duckweed (Lemna spp.) into circular aquaculture via black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens) production. Env. Challenges. 2026:101503.
9. Sen K, Dey S, Ganguly A, Rajak P. Artificial intelligence in aquaculture: Advancing sustainable fish farming through AI-driven monitoring, optimization, and disease management. Aqua. 2025:743602.
10. Sumana SL, Sidibe G, Elshafey AE, Habinshuti D, Mattia A, Massaquoi J, et al. Seaweed as a functional feed additive in aquaculture: Efficacy, safety, and fish species-specific optimization. Algal res. 2026:104525.