

## Editorial Note on Detection of Near Falls Using Wearable Devices

## Suresh Babu G\*

Rvr & Jc College of Engineering, Department of Biotechnology, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

## **EDITORIAL**

Falls are a serious health problem for older adults. A new way to classify older individuals at high risk of dropping may be provided by remote monitoring of close falls. This will encourage systems of exercise and fall avoidance to target the forms of near falls encountered and the conditions that cause near falls before fallrelated accidents occur. The goal of this comprehensive analysis was to analyze and objectively evaluate the data using wearable devices regarding the identification of close falls (slips, trips, stumbles, missteps, improper shift of weight, or transient lack of balance). The subject of major recent studies and systematic analysis has been on fall detection using wearable technology. Body-worn accelerometers detect impacts and changes associated with drop in orientation. By using multiple sensors, precision can be increased. For starters, barometers can detect height changes associated with falls, and gyroscopes and global location systems have also been used by Android-based mobile apps to detect falls. These systems seek to provide fast diagnosis of falls and, thus, avoid "long lies" experienced by vulnerable older adults when they are unable to get up after a fall. Detecting a fall, however, does not prevent injuries, including hip fractures and traumatic brain injury, that may occur from a fall.

Preventing crashes can be facilitated by the detection and early intervention of persons at risk of slipping. The subject of extensive research and systematic analysis has also been on fall risk evaluations. Clinical tests in various domains, for example, equilibrium, agility, physiology (strength, vision), psychology, have typically been used in current fall risk tools (fear of falling), Cognition, environmental risk at the local level, and use of medicine. Widely recognized instruments such as the Timed Up and Go exam, however, have poor accuracy (61 percent -68 percent), with varying thresholds (11.4-12.5 seconds) suggested to classify elderly adults at greater risk of dropping. Therefore, clinicians must decide which instrument or combination of tools can better address the risk of falling for an older adult in question.

CINAHL, EMBASE, MEDLINE, Compendex, and Inspec were

scanned to gain studies that were reported in English using wearable devices to track close falls in young and elderly people with or without chronic disease. The final inclusion criteria is fulfilled by nine reports. Accelerometers, gyroscopes, and insole force inducers were part of the wearable sensors included. The most popular position to put a single unit was the hip. During different clinical simulations, both high sensitivity (85.7 percent) and specificity (90.0 percent) were recorded for near-fall detection and improved when multiple devices were worn. Several statistical problems were disclosed that raised the probability of prejudice. Most research analyzed younger adults in regulated laboratory settings for a single or few near-fall forms and did not seek to differentiate near-falls that occur spontaneously from real falls or other everyday life behaviors in older persons.

A promising low-cost technology and therapeutic technique for longterm continuous tracking of elderly people and clinical groups at risk of falls is the use of a single lightweight sensor to differentiate between various types of near falls, real falls, and everyday life behaviors. Currently, however, the data is minimal because experiments have primarily included young people in virtual laboratory activities. Future research can concentrate on validating the identification of near-fall in broader populations and include evidence from People with a high chance of falling, Everyday Life Tasks, Near falls as well as real falls.

Naturally occurring near falls Most of the research protocols covered single or several forms of near falls, however, and did not try to differentiate near falls from real falls and other ADLs. Although the waist was the most common position, it was difficult to decide the optimum positioning or number of sensors due to heterogeneous methodologies. Similarly, it was hard to determine the recorded performance differences between algorithms. Whether wearable sensors may correctly distinguish close falls in older adults or other high-risk communities at home or in other neighborhood conditions is not yet clear. Future broader and higher-quality trials of elderly adults and people with various chronic disorders considered to impair equilibrium should examine several forms of naturally occurring close falls in real-life environments.

**Copyright:** © 2021 Suresh Babu G. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Correspondence to: Suresh Babu G, Rvr & Jc College of Engineering, Department of Biotechnology, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India, Tel: 08632288201; E-mail: gogineni1988@gmail.com

Received: February 08, 2021, Accepted: February 20, 2021, Published: February 24, 2021

Citation: Suresh Babu G (2021) Editorial Note on Detection of Near Falls Using Wearable Devices. J Gerontol Geriatr Res 10: 538. doi: 10.35248/2167-7182.21.10.538.