



Comparative Virulence of *Xanthomonas campestris* Pv. *Musacearum* Isolates Causing Bacterial Wilt of Enset Collected from Severely Diseased Areas

Misgana Mitiku*

Department of Plant Pathology, South Ethiopia Agricultural Research Institute, Areka Agricultural Research Institute, Areka, Ethiopia

ABSTRACT

For this purpose, pot experiment was conducted using three Xcm isolates and three enset clones having different level of resistance in CRD design. Analysis of variance showed that among the tested isolates and enset clones, there is significant level of difference in disease severity and total area of disease progress curve but they didn't show significant difference in percentage of final disease severity index. The treatment 1 has highest numerical values (4.33) and (2933.3%-day) respectively from these treatments. Therefore, we recommend to you Xcm isolates collected from this area for further breeding (clonal screening) and some other pathological activities. Also Further virulence characterization of the *X. campestris* pv. *musacearum* strains collected from different locations should be carried out by using the existing available evaluation methods. In addition, the genetic diversity among both the enset and the pathogen should be investigated further.

Keywords: Bacterial wilt of enset; *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *musacearum* (Xcm); Enset; Environment

INTRODUCTION

Infecting enset collected from three different agro-ecological zones in Ethiopia, each with distinct climatic conditions and edaphic factors, is scarce. Hence, the primary objective of the current study was to evaluate the virulence spectrum and level of aggressiveness of *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *musacearum* (Xcm) isolates on enset clones with different level of reaction. The findings from this research will aid farmers in devising effective control strategies and assist breeders in developing cultivars resistant to the bacterium [1,2].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Planting of enset suckers

The experiment was conducted using CRD design. Suckers of enset clones Mazia, Kuro and Arkiya having different level of resistance (previously identified as resistant/tolerant, moderately resistance/tolerance and susceptible) respectively were planted in an open environment using plastic pots of 5 kg capacity filled

with sterilized balanced soil (sand, peat and garden soil). Three suckers per pot were planted and watered as required (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Planting of enset clones.

Sample collection and inoculums preparation

Diseased enset/Bacterial wilt pathogen (Xcm) isolate samples were collected from naturally infected enset fields of Gurage, Sidama and Dawro zones. Fresh pure culture cells of Xcm from the collected samples of each location were prepared in sterile

Correspondence to: Misgana Mitiku, Department of Plant Pathology, South Ethiopia Agricultural Research Institute, Areka Agricultural Research Institute, Areka, Ethiopia; E-mail: misganamitiku441@gmail.com

Received: 03-Oct-2024, Manuscript No. JPPM-24-27108; **Editor assigned:** 07-Oct-2024, PreQC No. JPPM-24-27108 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 21-Oct-2024, QC No. JPPM-24-27108; **Revised:** 03-Sep-2025, Manuscript No. JPPM-24-27108 (R); **Published:** 10-Sep-2025, DOI: 10.35248/2157-7471.25.16.765

Citation: Mitiku M (2025) Comparative Virulence of *Xanthomonas campestris* Pv. *Musacearum* Isolates Causing Bacterial Wilt of Enset Collected from Severely Diseased Areas. J Plant Pathol Microbiol. 16:765.

Copyright: © 2025 Mitiku M. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

distilled water in the lab and the cells concentration in suspension was adjusted to 10⁸ cfu/ml (adjusted to 0.3 OD at 460 nm using spectrophotometer) was prepared and ready for inoculation (Figures 2 and 3) [3-5].



Figure 2: During inoculums preparation.



Figure 3: During inoculation and diseases assessment.

Disease severity assessment was made using 0-5 disease scoring scale; where 0=no visible disease symptom, 1=yellow necrotic and 1 leaf wilted, 2=2-3 leaves wilted, 3=4 leaves wilted, 4=all leaves wilted, and 5=the whole plant dead. Disease severity scales were transformed into Percentage Severity Index (PSI) for analysis following the formula suggested by Wheeler as below

$$PSI = \frac{\text{Sum of numerical ratings}}{\text{No. of plants scored} \times \text{maximum score on scale}} \times 100$$

Table 1: Significance of mean square value for disease severity, percent severity index and total area of disease progress curve for the treatments.

Source of variation	DF	DS	PSI _f (%)	TAUDPC (% days)
Treat	11	1.63263**	4.13 ^{ns}	584747**
Error	24	0.09818	0.1939	187708
CV (%)		9.05	3.3	18.22

The virulence of Xcm isolates to the three enset clones were tested in the experiments. Among the treatments treatment 1 (Mazia+Gurage isolate) was significantly different from 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11 and 12 but not from 7, 8, 9 and 10. Even though the treatment 1 is not statistically different from treatment 7, 8, 9 and 10 in-terms of disease severity but it has highest numerical values (4.33). The highest mean wilt disease severity (4.3333) was recorded from treatment 1 and 10 followed by treatment 7,

The area under disease progress curve was computed from disease severity data recorded at different DAI for each plot following the formula advised by Campbell and Madden [6].

$$AUDPC = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 0.5(X_i + X_{i+1})(t_{i+1} - t_i),$$

where n is the total number of disease assessments, t_i is the time of the ith assessment in days from the first assessment date and x_i is the disease severity of XCM at the ith assessment. AUDPC value was expressed in % days because severity (x) is expressed in percent and time (t) in days. Then all data were subject to analysis using SAS software version 9.0.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During the course of the experiment all isolates on the inoculated enset clones showed initial yellowing symptoms on the inoculated leaves after 30 days of artificial inoculation. Analysis of variance showed that among the tested isolates and enset clones, there is significant level of difference in disease severity and total area of disease progress curve but they didn't show significant difference in percentage of final disease severity index (Table 1).

8 and 9. Whereas the lowest wilt disease severity (2.11, 2.66, 2.99, 3.11, 3.11, 3.22 and 3.22) were recorded from treatment 2,3,4,5,6,11 and 12 respectively (Table 2) [7].

Table 2: Mean values of disease severity, final percent severity index and total area under disease progress curve for the tested isolates on different enset clones.

Treatment	DS (1-5 scale)	PSI _f (%)	TAUDPC (% days)
Mazia+Gurage isolate	4.3333 ^a	13.331 ^a	2933.3 ^a
Mazia+Sidama isolate	3.1100 ^{bc}	13.331 ^a	2283.3 ^{abc}
Mazia+Dawro isolate	2.9967 ^{bc}	13.331 ^a	2466.7 ^{abc}

Kuro+Gurage isolate	3.2200 ^b	13.331 ^a	2450.0 ^{abc}
Kuro+Sidama isolate	3.2200 ^b	13.333 ^a	2016.7 ^{cd}
Kuro+Dawro isolate	3.1100 ^{bc}	13.331 ^a	2183.3 ^{bc}
Arkiya+Gurage isolate	4.1111 ^a	13.333 ^a	2583.3 ^{abc}
Arkiya+Sidama isolate	4.1111 ^a	13.331 ^a	2616.7 ^{abc}
Arkiya+Dawro isolate	4.2222 ^a	13.333 ^a	2816.7 ^{ab}
Arkiya un inoculated	4.3333 ^a	13.331 ^a	2800.0 ^{ab}
Mazia un inoculated	2.1100 ^d	13.333 ^a	1350.0 ^d
Kuro un inoculated	2.6633 ^c	13.331 ^a	2033.3 ^{cd}
CV	9.05	3.3	18.22
LSD	0.528	6.5452	730.1

Note: Means with the same letter are not significantly different

Regarding total areas under disease progress curve there is significant difference between treatment 1 and 5, 6, 11 and 12 but not from treatment 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10. Even though the treatment 1 is not statistically different from 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10 in-terms of total areas under disease progress curve but it has highest numerical values (2933.3% day) (Table 2). The current study finding was in agreement with those of Alemayehu et al. who reported there is a significant difference in virulence among Xcm isolates [8,9].

CONCLUSION

Even though the treatment 1 is not statistically different from treatment 7, 8, 9 and 10 in-terms of disease severity and total areas under disease progress curve but it has highest numerical values (4.33) and (2933.3% day) respectively from these treatments. Therefore, we recommend to you XCM isolates collected from this area for further breeding (clonal screening) and some other pathological activities. Also Further virulence characterization of the *X. campestris* pv. *musacearum* strains collected from different locations should be carried out by using the existing available evaluation methods. In addition, the genetic diversity among both the enset and the pathogen should be investigated further.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The study was financed by the National Enset Research Program. We thank Areka, Hawassa, Agricultural Research Centers and South Ethiopia Agricultural Research Institute former Southern Agricultural Research Institute for hosting and provision of necessary services and facilities during pot experiment.

REFERENCES

- Chala A, Kebede T, Blomme G. Natural occurrence and pathogenicity of *Xanthomonas* bacteria on selected plants. *Afr J Biotechnol.* 2016;15:2146-2155.
- Aritua V, Nanyonjo A, Kumakech F, Tushemereirwe W. RepPCR reveals high genetic homogeneity among Ugandan isolates of *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *musacearum*. *Afr J Biotechnol.* 2007;6:179-183.
- Ashagari D. Studies on the bacterial wilt of enset (*Ensete ventricosum*) and prospects for its control. *Ethiop J Agric Sci.* 1985;7(1): 1-14.
- Gezahegn G. *In vitro* regeneration of disease-free Enset planting materials from bacterial wilt diseased plants using shoot tip culture. M.Sc. Thesis. Haramaya University, Ethiopia. 2014;1-62.
- Quimio JA. Enset Team Support Project Sidama Gamo Gofa. Peasants Agricultural Development Program-PADEPIII. Awasa Research Center (IAR). 1992.
- Quimio AJ, Mesfin T. Diseases of enset. In: Enset-based sustainable agriculture in Ethiopia. Tsedeke Abate, Clifton Hiebsch, and Steve Brandt, eds. Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Enset. 1996;188-203.
- Taye B. An overview of enset research and the future technological needs for enhancing its production and utilization. In Enset-based Sustainable agriculture in Ethiopia (Tsedeke Abate, Clifton Hiebsch and Steve Brandt eds.). Proceedings of the First international workshop on Enset, Dec 13-21 1993. IAR, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 1996;1-14.
- Welde-Michael G. Variations in isolates of enset wilt pathogen (*Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *musacearum*) and reaction of enset (*Ensete ventricosum*) (Welw.) .2000;61.
- Wondimagegne E. The role of *Poecilocardia nigrinervis*, *Pentalonia nigronervosa*, and *Planococcus ficus* in the transmission of enset wilt pathogen. Wolaita, Ethiopia. M.Sc. Thesis. Addis Ababa University. 1981.