Association of Human Papillomavirus Infection in Healthy Oral Mucosa, Oral Dysplasia, and Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma

Miranda M. Jalouli¹, Jamshid Jalouli¹, Bengt Hasséus², Jenny Öhman², Jan-M. Hirsch¹, Lars Sand¹

¹Department of Surgical Sciences, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Uppsala University, Sweden.²Department of Oral Medicine and Pathology, Institute of Odontology, Sahlgrenska Academy at the University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

Abstract

Aims: Human papillomavirus (HPV) is an important risk factor for development of oral cancer; however, the integrational status of the virus into the host DNA association between HPV infection and oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is still uncertain has not been investigated to the same extent. The objective of the present study was to investigate the prevalence of consensus HPV, and HPV-16 and its integration status, in healthy oral mucosa, oral epithelial dysplasia (OED), and OSCC samples.

Materials and Methods: The study material consisted of 26 fresh, normal oral mucosa samples, and 53 and 27 paraffin-embedded OED and OSCC samples, respectively. The specimens were DNA extracted and investigated for the presence of HPV, and HPV-16 and its integration status, by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and DNA sequencing.

Results: Thirty-eight (72%) of the 53 paraffin-embedded OED samples, 16 (59%) of the 27 OSCC samples, and 12 (46%) of the 26 control samples were found to be HPV-DNA positive, with nested PCR (NPCR). Further, HPV-16 was detected in 31 (82%), 15 (94%), and 0 (0%) HPV-positive OED cases, HPV-positive OSCC cases, and controls, respectively. Integration was observed in 26/31 (84%) and 13/15 (87%) of the HPV-16-positive OED and OSCC cases, respectively. A statistically significant difference was found comparing prevalence of HPV-16 in controls with that in both OED and OSCC samples (P<0.005). A statistically significant difference was also found comparing prevalence of integrated and episomal viral forms comparing OED and OSCC samples (P<0.005).

Conclusion: The high prevalence of HPV and high-risk HPV-16 in OED and OSCC samples suggests a potential aetiologic role for the virus in OSCC.

Key Words: HPV, HPV-16, OSSC, OED, Episomal, Integration, PCR

Introduction

Squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is a major health problem. Prevalence is highest in developing countries, and men are affected to a greater extent than women [1]. Smoking, smokeless tobacco and alcohol consumption are considered important aetiological factors [2]. In addition to this, certain viral infections play an important role in malignancy [3,4]. Increasing evidence has suggested that infection with human papillomavirus (HPV) causes several cancer types. High-risk HPV genotypes (16, 18, 31, 33, 35) have been reported to be associated with OED and OSCC [5-10]. The relationship between HPV and the oral mucosa has been supported by several investigators reporting the presence of HPV DNA in healthy oral mucosa [11,12] as well as in OSCC [13]. Several meta-analyses indicate that HPV is detected with increased frequency in oral dysplastic and carcinomatous epithelium in comparison with normal oral mucosa [13].

In benign lesions the virus occurs in its circular form, called episomal – not integrated into the host cell genome, and in a large number of copies. In malignant lesions, it is integrated into the host cell genome. Notwithstanding this, it is possible to find episomal forms in the malignant cells and, once integrated, the virus cannot be reverted to its episomal forms [14]. Integration of HPV-DNA disrupts or deletes the E2 region, which results in loss of its expression, leading

to enhanced expression of viral oncogenes E6 and E7 [15]. High-risk HPV infection contributes to carcinogenesis and tumour progression through the two viral oncogenes E6 and E7 [16]. These oncogenes inhibit the activities of the p53 and retinoblastoma (Rb) protein and have been considered as an important feature in disrupting cell-cycle regulatory pathways, leading to a genetic progression to OSCC [17].

Aims

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the prevalence of consensus HPV, and HPV-16 and its integration status, in healthy oral mucosa, OED, and OSCC samples, and to determine whether HPV in the oral cavity may play a role in the onset of oral dysplasia and in the transition towards squamous cell carcinoma.

Materials and Methods

The study was carried out on 53 OED and 27 OSCC paraffinembedded cases and 26 fresh tissue samples from clinically healthy Swedish volunteers. The patients' age range for OED was from 23 to 93 years (34 male, 19 female, mean age=65 years, SD=15), for OSCC from 20 to 84 years (20 male, 7 female, mean age=63 years, SD=16), and for normal oral mucosa (14 male, 12 female, mean ages 62 years, SD=15).

Corresponding author: Lars Sand, Department of Surgical Sciences, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Medical Faculty Uppsala University, SE-751 85 Uppsala, Sweden; Tel: +46186116450; Fax:+4618559129; e-mail: Lars.Sand@surgsci.uu.se

Tissue specimens

Paraffin-embedded tissue

Local anaesthesia was used to obtain biopsy specimens, which were taken from the lesion. Specimens were placed in 99% alcohol and kept at room temperature for 24 hours before being stored at -20° C until analysed. For confirmation of the clinical diagnosis, histopathological examination was performed. Specimens were obtained from the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery at Gothenburg University.

Fresh tissue

Local anaesthesia (lidocaine 20 mg/mL+12.5 μ g adrenaline; Astrazeneca, Södertälje, Sweden) was used to obtain biopsy specimens, which were taken from normal oral mucosa during dentoalveolar surgery. The biopsy specimens were rinsed twice in buffered saline. The specimens were placed in 99% alcohol and kept at room temperature for 24 hours before being stored at –20°C until analysed. Specimens were obtained from the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery at Uppsala University. Informed consent was obtained from all volunteers. The volunteers had no ongoing history of HPV-associated diseases. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Uppsala University.

DNA extraction

Paraffin-embedded tissue

All of the tissue specimens were fixed with formalin and embedded in paraffin. Ten 5 μ m sections were cut from each paraffin block. As previously described by Jalouli et al. [18], paraffin was dissolved with xylene, and digestion of tissues was done with proteinase K. DNA was purified by sequential phenol/chloroform extraction and salt/ethanol precipitation. DNA was dissolved in TE buffer (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). DNA concentrations and DNA quality were measured using Nanodrop. All DNA samples were tested by PCR with a housekeeping gene and were positive for β -actin.

Fresh tissue

Total DNA was extracted from fresh oral biopsies using the QIAamp tissue DNA Mini Kit manufacturer's protocol

Table 1. The primer sequences used in the PCR reactions.

Virus	size(bp)	Primer sequence
MY 11	450	5' GCM CAG GGW CAT AAY AAT GG 3'
MY 9		5' CGT CC MARR GGA WAC TGA TC 3'
		M=A+C, R=A+G, W=A+T, Y=C+T
GP5+	150	5' TTTGTTACTGTGGTAGATACTAC3'
GP6+		5' GAAAAATAAACTGTAAATCATATTC3'
HPV16 F	152	5' ATTAGTGAGTATAGACATTA3'
HPV 16 R		5' GGCTTTTGACAGTTAATACA3'
E2 F	351	5' CTTGGGCACCGAAGAAACAC3'
E2 R		5' TTGGTCACGTTGCCATTCAC 3'
β-actin 1	254	5' GTG CTT TGA TGG AAG TTG AGG TAG 3
β-actin 2		5'GAG CGG GAA ATC GTG CGT GAC ATT 3

(QIAgen, Hilden, Germany). Briefly, tissue samples were weighed, cut into small pieces, and incubated at 56°C by addition of 180 μ L of ATL buffer supplied with 20 μ L of proteinase K per 25 mg of sample. When tissues were completely lysed, a volume of 200 μ L of lysate was transferred into a 2 mL microcentrifuge tube, and DNA extraction with QIAamp Mini spin columns was carried out using a QIAcube automate. Final elution of DNA extracted from tissue samples was performed with 200 μ L of doubledistilled water. DNA concentrations and DNA quality were measured using Nanodrop. All DNA samples were tested by PCR with a housekeeping gene and were positive for β -actin. **HPV single PCR**

A single PCR assay was used to detect HPV. The samples were screened for the presence of HPV using the standard single PCR approach consisting of the MY09/MY11 primer set described by Jalouli et al. [18], (Table 1). Each PCR mixture was diluted with 2.5 µL ten times PCR buffer (500 Mm KCI, 100 Mm TRIS-PH 8.5), 0.6 µL ten times mix dNTP (25µM), 3.5 µL MgCl₂ (25 mM), 0.3 µL oligonucleotide MY09 primer (100 mM), 0.3 µL oligonucleotide MY11 primer (100 mM), and 14.2 µL H₂O to a final volume of 21.4 µL. In addition, $3.5 \,\mu\text{L}$ of the sample and $0.15 \,\mu\text{L}$ of the Taq polymerase (0.75 U, AmpliTaq DNA polymerase, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) were added to the reaction mixture. Each cycle consisted of 1 min at 95°C, 1 min at 55°C, and 2 min at 72°C. A final extension step at 72°C was carried out for 5 min, and then at 4°C. Five microlitres of the amplified DNA was used as the template for the second PCR with the GP5+/GP6+ primer pair. The primer sequences used in the PCR reactions are shown in Table 1.

HPV nested PCR

For HPV DNA detection by nested PCR (NPCR), two pairs of primers, GP5+/GP6+, were used. The DNA amplifications were performed in 5×1 of PCR buffer, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTP, 2 pmol of primer GP5+/GP6+, and 1 U of AmpliTaq. The thermocycler temperature programme consisted of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 45°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 1 min for 35 cycles. Each PCR was initiated by a 5 min denaturation step at 95°C and finished by a 10 min extension step at 72°C. The PCR assays were performed using Gene Amp PCR System 9700 (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), and the PCR products were analysed on 2% agarose gels. Two controls were used for each PCR assay reaction, described as negative control (containing all reagents except the template DNA) and positive control (DNA HeLa cells).

Single PCR assay for HPV-16 DNA

A single PCR assay was used to detect HPV-16. The primer set used for detection of HPV-16 is described in *Table 1*. PCR reaction was carried out in 25 μ L reaction volume, and the conditions were as follows: step of denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, annealing at 52°C for 45 sec, and elongation at 72°C for 45 sec for 35 cycles, with an initial incubation at 95°C for 10 min and a step of final elongation at 72°C for 10 min. Two controls were used for each PCR assay reaction, described as negative control (containing all reagents except the template DNA) and DNA from SiHa cells as positive control.

Single PCR assay for integrated HPV-DNA

The integration of the HPV into the host DNA was detected

by PCR with E2-specific primers [19] (*Table 1*). PCR reaction was carried out in 25 μ L reaction volume, and the conditions were as follows: step of denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute, annealing at 57°C for 1 minute, and elongation at 72°C for 1 minute for 40 cycles, with an initial incubation at 94°C for 5 min and a step of final elongation at 72°C for 7 min [19]. Two controls were used for each PCR assay reaction, described as negative control (containing all reagents except the template DNA) and positive control (DNA from SiHa cells).

Gel electrophoresis

Aliquots of 15 μ L of the PCR product were analysed on 2% agarose gel (DNA Agar; Marine Bio Products Inc., Quincy, MA, USA) containing 0.5 gmol of ethidium bromide (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), and visualized under ultraviolet light. The size of the amplified product was determined by comparison with a base-pair (bp) ladder size marker (Gene Ruler, 100 bp, 50 bp DNA Ladder Plus, Fermentas, St Leon-Rot, Germany).

		Kontroll	OED	OSSC	Total	
		(N=26)	(N=53)	(N=27)	(N=106)	P-value
Age	Mean (SD)	61.54 (14.77)	64.85 (14.48)	63.07 (15.79)	63.58 (14.82)	0.638
	Median	63.5	69	64	66	
	Range	25 to 86	23 to 93	20 to 84	20 to 93	
	n	26	53	27	106	
Gender	n (%)					
female		12 (46.15)	19 (35.85)	7 (25.93)	38 (35.85)	0.346
male		14 (53.85)	34 (64.15)	20 (74.07)	68 (64.15)	

Table 2. Age and gender of the control, OED and OSSC patients.

Table 3. Prevalence of HPV-DNA, HPV-16, and integrated viral forms in different location in OED, OSCC and control and grade of dysplasia in OED and histological grades of OSCC and control samples.

Floor of mode Tongue bit Lip bit Buccal bit Gingiva bit Graded dysplasia OED (n=53) 0 2 0 2 3 Moderate dysplasia 3 11 1 9 3 Severe-displasya 5 2 3 6 3 HPV-DNA +; OED (n=38) 0 2 0 1 1 Mild dysplasia 0 2 0 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 3 8 1 6 2 Severe-displasya 3 2 1 1 1 Mild dysplasia 0 1 0 1 1 Mild dysplasia 0 1 0 1 1 Mild dysplasia 0 1 0 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 0 1 0 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 0 1 0 0 0 Severe-displasya 1 1 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Anatomic site</th><th></th><th></th></t<>				Anatomic site		
mouth mouth mouth mouth Mild dysplasia OED (n=53) 0 2 0 2 3 Molerate dysplasia 3 11 1 9 3 Severe-displasya 5 2 3 6 3 HPV-DNA +; OED (n=38)		Floor of	Tongue	Lip	Buccal	Gingiva
Graded dysplasia OED (n=53) Image: Constraint of the synthesis of the synthesynthesis of the synthesis		mouth				
Mild dysplasia 0 2 0 2 3 Moderate dysplasia 3 11 1 9 3 Severe-displasya 5 2 3 6 3 HPV-DNA +; OED (n=38) - - - - Mild dysplasia 0 2 0 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 3 8 1 6 2 Severe-displasya 3 2 2 5 2 HPV 16 +; OED (n=31) - - - - - Mild dysplasia 0 1 0 1 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 0 1 0 1 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 0 1 0 1 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 0 3 1 0 0 1 Mild dysplasia 0 3 1 0 0 0 Severe-disp	Graded dysplasia OED (n=53)					
Moderate dysplasia 3 11 1 9 3 Severe-displasya 5 2 3 6 3 Mild dysplasia 0 2 0 1 1 Mid dysplasia 0 2 0 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 3 8 1 6 2 Severe-displasya 3 2 2 5 2 HPV 16 + ; OED (n=31) 0 1 0 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 0 1 8 1 5 2 Severe-displasya 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 Integration : OED (n=26) 1 1 1 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1<	Mild dysplasia	0	2	0	2	3
Severe-displasya 5 2 3 6 3 HPV-DNA +; OED (n=38) -	Moderate dysplasia	3	11	1	9	3
HPV-DNA +; OED (n=38) Image: Constraint of the system of the	Severe-displasya	5	2	3	6	3
Mild dysplasia 0 2 0 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 3 8 1 6 2 Sever-displasya 3 2 2 5 2 HPV 16+; OED (n=31) - - - - - Mild dysplasia 0 1 0 1 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 0 1 8 1 5 2 Sever-displasya 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 Integration : OED (n=26) -	HPV-DNA +; OED (n=38)					
Moderate dysplasia 3 8 1 6 2 Severe-displasya 3 2 2 5 2 Mild dysplasia 0 1 0 1 1 Mild dysplasia 0 1 0 1 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 1 8 1 5 2 Severe-displasya 3 2 1 3 2 Integration : OED (n=26)	Mild dysplasia	0	2	0	1	1
Sever-displasya 3 2 2 5 2 HPV 16 + ; OED (n=31) -	Moderate dysplasia	3	8	1	6	2
HPV 16 +; OED (n=31)Image: Method of the second of the secon	Severe-displasya	3	2	2	5	2
Mild dysplasia 0 1 0 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 1 8 1 5 2 Severe-displasya 3 2 1 3 2 Integration : OED (n=26) 1 1 1 Mild dysplasia 0 1 0 1 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 0 7 0 5 2 2 Severe-displasya 3 1 1 0 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 0 7 0 5 2 2 Ferre-displasya 3 1 0 0 0 0 Severe-displasya 3 1 0 0 0 0 Mild dysplasia Gades 3 1 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 2 4 0 4 1 1 Poor-differentiated 2 0 0<	HPV 16 + ; OED (n=31)					
Moderate dysplasia 1 8 1 5 2 Severe-displasya 3 2 1 3 2 Integration : OED (n=26) 0 1 0 1 1 Mild dysplasia 0 1 0 1 1 2 2 Moderate dysplasia 0 7 0 5 2 2 Severe-displasya 3 1 1 2 2 2 Histological grades of OSCC (n=27)	Mild dysplasia	0	1	0	1	1
Severe-displasya 3 2 1 3 2 Integration : OED (n=26) <	Moderate dysplasia	1	8	1	5	2
Integration : OED (n=26) Image: Constraint of the second sec	Severe-displasya	3	2	1	3	2
Mild dysplasia 0 1 0 1 1 Moderate dysplasia 0 7 0 5 2 Severe-displasya 3 1 1 2 2 Histological grades of OSCC (n=27)	Integration : OED (n=26)					
Moderate dysplasia 0 7 0 5 2 Severe-displasya 3 1 1 2 2 Histological grades of OSCC (n=27)	Mild dysplasia	0	1	0	1	1
Severe-displasya 3 1 1 2 2 Histological grades of OSCC (n=27)	Moderate dysplasia	0	7	0	5	2
Histological grades of OSCC (n=27) Image: model of the symbol of the	Severe-displasya	3	1	1	2	2
Poor-differentiated 3 1 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 4 3 0 3 2 Well-differentiated 2 4 0 4 1 HPV-DNA +; OSCC (n=16)	Histological grades of OSCC (n=27)					
Moderately-differentiated 4 3 0 3 2 Well-differentiated 2 4 0 4 1 HPV-DNA +; OSCC (n=16)	Poor-differentiated	3	1	0	0	0
Well-differentiated 2 4 0 4 1 HPV-DNA +; OSCC (n=16) 2 1 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 2 1 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 1 2 0 2 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 2 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 2 1 HPV 16 +; OSCC (n=15)	Moderately-differentiated	4	3	0	3	2
HPV-DNA +; OSCC (n=16) I 0 0 Poor-differentiated 2 1 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 1 2 0 2 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 2 1 HPV 16 +; OSCC (n=15) I 2 0 0 0 Poor-differentiated 2 0 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 1 2 0 2 1 Poor-differentiated 2 0 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 1 2 0 2 1 Poor-differentiated 2 0 0 0 0 Mell-differentiated 2 0 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 2 0 0 0 0 Mell-differentiated 2 2 0 1 1 Heyr-Instead 2 2 0 1 1 Hower-Instead 2 2 0 1 1	Well-differentiated	2	4	0	4	1
Poor-differentiated 2 1 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 1 2 0 2 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 2 1 HPV 16 +; OSCC (n=15)	HPV-DNA +; OSCC (n=16)					
Moderately-differentiated 1 2 0 2 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 2 1 HPV 16 +; OSCC (n=15) - - - - - Poor-differentiated 2 0 0 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 1 2 0 2 1 Well-differentiated 1 2 0 2 1 Well-differentiated 2 0 0 2 1 Well-differentiated 2 0 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 2 0 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 2 0 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 2 0 0 1 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 1 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 1 1 Well-differentiated 0 0 0 1 1 HPV-IDNA +; Control	Poor-differentiated	2	1	0	0	0
Well-differentiated 2 2 0 2 1 HPV 16 +; OSCC (n=15) <	Moderately-differentiated	1	2	0	2	1
HPV 16 +; OSCC (n=15) Image: Constraint of the second	Well-differentiated	2	2	0	2	1
Poor-differentiated 2 0 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 1 2 0 2 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 2 1 Integration; (n=13) - - - - - Poor-differentiated 2 0 0 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 2 0 0 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 2 0 0 0 0 0 Mell-differentiated 2 2 0 1 1 1 0 2 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 1	HPV 16+; OSCC (n=15)					
Moderately-differentiated 1 2 0 2 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 2 1 Integration; (n=13) - - - - - Poor-differentiated 2 0 0 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 1 1 0 2 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 1 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 1 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 1 1 HPV-DNA +; Control (n=26) 0 0 0 0 0 0 HPV-16 +; Control (n=12) 0 0 0 0 0 0	Poor-differentiated	2	0	0	0	0
Well-differentiated 2 2 0 2 1 Integration; (n=13)	Moderately-differentiated	1	2	0	2	1
Integration; (n=13) Image: Constraint of the second se	Well-differentiated	2	2	0	2	1
Poor-differentiated 2 0 0 0 0 Moderately-differentiated 1 1 0 2 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 1 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 1 1 HPV-DNA +; Control (n=26) 0 0 0 0 12 HPV-16 +; Control (n=12) 0 0 0 0 0	Integration; (n=13)					
Moderately-differentiated 1 1 0 2 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 1 1 Well-differentiated 2 2 0 1 1 HPV-DNA +; Control (n=26) 0 0 0 0 12 HPV-16 +; Control (n=12) 0 0 0 0 0	Poor-differentiated	2	0	0	0	0
Well-differentiated 2 2 0 1 1 HPV-DNA +; Control (n=26) 0 0 0 0 1 1 HPV-16 +; Control (n=12) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Moderately-differentiated	1	1	0	2	1
HPV-DNA +; Control (n=26) 0 0 0 0 12 HPV-16 +; Control (n=12) 0 <td< td=""><td>Well-differentiated</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></td<>	Well-differentiated	2	2	0	1	1
HPV-DNA +; Control (n=26) 0 0 0 0 12 HPV-16 +; Control (n=12) 0 0 0 0 0						
HPV-16 +; Control (n=12) 0 0 0 0 0	HPV-DNA +; Control (n=26)	0	0	0	0	12
	HPV-16 +; Control (n=12)	0	0	0	0	0

OHDM - Vol. 14 - No. 5 - October, 2015

		Control	OED	OSSC	Total	
		(N=26)	(N=53)	(N=27)	(N=106)	P-value
HPV	n (%)					
neg		14 (53.85)	15 (28.30)	11 (40.74)	40 (37.74)	0.083
pos		12 (46.15)	38 (71.70)	16 (59.26)	66 (62.26)	
HPV-16	n (%)					
neg		26 (100.00)	7 (18.42)	1 (6.25)	34 (42.50)	< 0.001
pos		0 (0.00)	31 (81.58)	15 (93.75)	46 (57.50)	
Episomal	n (%)					
neg			26 (83.87)	13 (86.67)	39 (84.78)	1.000
pos			5 (16.13)	2 (13.33)	7 (15.22)	
Integration	n (%)					
neg			5 (16.13)	2 (13.33)	7 (15.22)	
pos			26 (83.87)	13 (86.67)	39 (84.78)	1.000





Figure 1. Representative results of PCR for HPV-DNA, HPV-16 and E2 integration. A. Amplicon obtained by PCR using primers specific for β -actin and HPV consensus primers (GP5+/6+). B. Amplification of the samples positive for consensus primers by HPV-16 and E2 gene in the samples positive for HPV-16. Lane p represents positive control and lane N represents the negative control. Examles of viral genomic integration (E2: lanes 1 and 3) and of viral episomal forms (E2: lanes 2,4 and 5).

Sequencing of the DNA PCR product

The products from the HPV-positive OSCC samples were sequenced. Direct DNA sequence analysis was performed using a capillary sequencer (ABI Prism 310, PE Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA), with MY and GP primer sets for HPV-DNA. Sequencing products were purified of unincorporated dye-labelled dideoxynucleotides by processing through Centri-Sep spin columns (PE Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Sequence analysis was automatically performed on the ABI Prism-310 Genetic Analyzer. We used the basic local alignment search tool (BLAST).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software package (SPSS for Windows, version 16.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P-values and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using Anova and Fisher's exact test. Results were considered significant if the p-value was less than 0.05 (5%).

Study groups

Results

A total of 26 healthy, fresh oral mucosa samples, and 53 and 27 paraffin-embedded OED and OSCC samples, respectively, were analysed for detection of HPV-DNA, and high-risk HPV-16 and its integration status, by PCR and NPCR methods. The cases and controls were statistically comparable with respect to gender and mean age. There was no significant difference between male and females with respect to staging and grading among the groups *(Table 2)*.

Prevalence of HPV

Twelve (46%) of the 26 healthy oral mucosa, 38 (72%) of the 53 paraffin-embedded OED samples, and 16 (59%) of the 27 OSCC samples were found to be HPV-DNA positive, using NPCR (*Table 3*) (*Table 4*, *Figure 1A*). No significantly difference of HPV-DNA prevalence was found between the grupps. Further, the samples positive for HPV infection were tested for HPV-16, which was detected in 0/26 (0%), 31/38 (82%), and 15/16 (94%) of the healthy oral mucosa and the OED and OSCC cases, respectively (P<0.005) (*Table 4, Figure 1B*). Prevalence of HPV-DNA and HPV-16 in different location and grade of dysplasia in OED and histological grades of OSCC and control samples are shown in the *Table 3*.

HPV integration

Integration of the HPV into the host DNA was detected by PCR with E2-specific primers. If the virus is integrated, the E2 oncogene will be disrupted; hence, the presence of HPV infection with loss of E2 was considered indicative of integration. Integration was observed in 27/31 (87%) and 13/15 (87%) of the HPV-16 positive OED and OSCC cases, respectively (*Table 4, Figure 1B*). A statistically significant difference was also found in comparing prevalence of integrated and episomal viral forms in both OED and OSCC samples (P<0.005) (*Table 4*). For the detection of integration the E2 open reading frame of HPV-16 was amplified (*Figure 1B*). Prevalence of integration in different location and grade of dysplasia in OED and histological grades of OSCC and control samples are shown in the *table 3*.

Sequencing

The products from the HPV-positive samples were sequenced with MY and GP primer sets for HPV-DNA. For DNA versus DNA comparison, the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST; http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) program is typically used to find identical sequence regions in a database. We used this database to find the homology of the HPV positive PCR products, and found 100% homology.

Discussion

In this study, the prevalence of human papillomavirus was examined in healthy, fresh oral mucosa and paraffinembedded biopsies obtained from patients with the clinical diagnoses OED and OSCC, by highly sensitive PCR method. No significant difference in HPV-DNA prevalence was found between the groups. Presence of HPV in the oral mucosa has previously been reported in healthy oral mucosa [13] as well as in OSCC [12]. The presence of HPV in normal mucosa of the oral cavity has also been reported previously in several studies and the prevalence varies considerably between different regions; in Japan 81% [11] in Iran 6,1% [20], and in Pakistan 24,5% [21]. According to a literature review, the prevalence of HPV in normal oral mucosa ranged from 0% to 60% [22].

We observed a statistically significant difference in comparing the prevalence of integrated and episomal viral forms between the OED and OSCC samples. A statistically significant difference was also found when comparing the prevalence of HPV-16 in controls compared with OED and OSCC samples. The prevalence of HPV in precancerous and cancerous oral lesions in previous reports by other investigators varies widely from 0% to 100% [23-26]. High-risk HPV-16 has been clearly shown to be the dominant type

References

1. Franceschi S, Bidoi E, Herrero R, Munoz N. Comparison of cancers of the oral cavity and pharynx worldwide: etiological clues. *European Journal of Cancer*. 2000; **36**: 106–115.

in head and neck cancers [27-29]. HPV has been found to be both in an episomal form and in an integral form. It has also been suggested that HPV may be latent for a long time in the episomal format in the oral mucosa, hence being responsible for initiation and development of tumoral growth. This tumoral growth may occur as a result of a multicarcinogenic interaction with some other carcinogens [30-32]. The E2 open reading frame (ORF) has been identified as the preferential site of viral integration because it has been found to be disrupted or deleted more frequently than other sites [33-37].

Integration is thought to induce a deregulation of the cell-cycle control and therefore uncontrolled cellular proliferation, dependent on constitutive expression of the viral oncogenes E6 [38].

In this study HPV-16 was detected in 82% and 94% of HPVpositive OED and OSCC samples, respectively. When these samples were analysed for integration, in 84% and 87% of HPV-16-positive OED and OSCC samples, respectively, the E2 gene PCR product could not be detected, suggesting that the virus was integrated into the cellular genome of these HPV-16-positive cases.

According to previous studies, integration of HPV-16 DNA correlates with a selective growth advantage and may allow cancer cells to grow out of its competitors; it can be an important step for oncogenesis [39]. Therefore, the measurement of HPV-16 integration would be a complementary tool for the assessment and identification of patients at risk of developing squamous cell carcinoma.

There are several methods with varying sensitivity and specificity that can be used to detect the prevalence of HPV, and HPV-16 and its integration status. In some studies high variation in integration frequency has been reported in head and neck squamous cell cancer HNSCC, ranging from virus being present only in an episomal form to its being 100% integrated, depending on the techniques applied to determine integration status [40,41].

In this study, qualitative PCR was used, a sensitive method that allows the detection of small amounts of DNA and can be confirmed with sequencing. In this study we have used nested PCR, the superior method for detecting HPV- DNA [42]. Many studies have shown that the nested PCR method is a sensitive and useful tool for HPV-DNA detection compared with single PCR [43,44].

In conclusion our data indicate a rise in the HPV and HPV-16 detection in OED and OSCC. This finding supports the involvement of HPV-16 and its integration to the host genome. The presence of HPV, in the cases of OED and OSCC, suggests that the virus may play an aetiological role in carcinogenesis in the oral cavity in the development of some oral carcinomas.

Acknowledgements

This study was financially supported by the Thuréus Foundation, the Department of Surgical Sciences, Medical Faculty, Uppsala University; and by Uppsala University Hospital, Uppsala, Sweden.

2. Sand L, Wallström M, Hirsch JM. Smokeless tobacco, viruses and oral cancer. Oral Health and Dental Management. 2014; **13**: 372-8.

3. Jalouli J, Jalouli MM, Sapkota D, Ibrahim SO, Larsson P-A,

Sand L. Human Papilloma Virus, Herpes Simplex Virus and Epstein Barr Virus in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma from Eight Different Countries. Anticancer Research. 2012; **32**: 571-80.

4. Sand L, Jalouli J. Viruses and oral cancer. Is there a link? *Microbes Infection*. 2014; **16**: 371-8.

5. Sand L, Jalouli J, Larsson PA, Hirsch JM. Human Papilloma Viruses in Oral Lesions. Anticancer Research. 2000; **20**: 1183-1188.

6. Furrer VE, Benitez MB, Furnes M, Lanfranchi HE, Modesti NM. Biopsy vs. superficial scraping: detection of human papillomavirus 6, 11, 16, and 18 in potentially malignant and malignant oral lesions. *Journal of Oral Pathology & Medicine*. 2006; **35**: 338–344.

7. Al-Bakkal G, Ficarra G, McNeill K, Eversole LR, Sterrantino G, Birak C. Human papillomavirus type 16 E6 gene expression in oral exophytic epithelial lesions as detected by in-situ rtPCR. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontics.* 1999; **87**: 197–208.

8. Yeudall WA. Human papillomaviruses and oral neoplasia. *European Journal of Cancer, Part B: Oral Oncology.* 1992; **28**: 61–66.

9. Chang F, Syrjänen SM, Shen Q, Ji H, Syrjänen KJ. Human papillomavirus DNA in esophageal precancerous lesions and squamous cell carcinomas from China. *International Journal of Cancer.* 1990; **45**: 21–25.

10. Brandsma JL, Steinberg BM, Abramson AL, Winkler B. Presence of human papillomavirus type 16 related sequences in verrucous carcinoma of the larynx. *Cancer Research*. 1986; **46**: 2185–2188.

11. Terai M, Hashimoto K, Yoda K, Sata T. High prevalence of human papillomaviruses in the normal oral cavity of adults. *Oral Microbiology and Immunology*. 1999; **14**: 201–205.

12. Kurose K, Terai M, Soedarsono N, Rabello D, Nakajima Y, Burk RD, Takagi M. Low prevalence of HPV infection and its natural history in normal oral mucosa among volunteers on Miyako Island, Japan. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontics.* 2004; **98**: 91–96.

13. Miller CS, Johnstone BM. Human papillomavirus as a risk factor for oral squamous cell carcinoma: a meta-analysis, 1982–1997. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontics.* 2001; **91**: 622–635.

14. Cavalcanti SMB, Carestiato FN. Infecções causadas pelos papilomavírus humanos: atualizações sobre aspectos virológicos, epidemiológicos e diagnosticados. *DST: Jornal Brasileiro de Doenças Sexualmente Transmissíveis*. 2006; **18**: 73–79.

15. Yoshinouchi M, Hongo A, Nakamura K, Kodoma J, Itoh S, et al. Analysis by multiplex PCR of the physical status of human papillomavirus type 16 DNA in cervical cancers. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 1999; **37**: 3514–3517.

16. zur Hausen H. Papillomavirus infections – a major cause of human cancers. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*. 1996; **1288**: F55–78.

17. zur Hausen H. Papillomaviruses causing cancer: evasion from host-cell control in early events in carcinogenesis. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2000; **92**: 690–698.

18. Jalouli J, Sand L, Gustavsson B, Hirsch J-M, Larsson P-A. High-throughput DNA extraction from old paraffin-embedded biopsies. *Biotechniques*. 1999; **2**: 334–338.

19. Elango KJ1, Suresh A, Erode EM, Subhadradevi L, Ravindran HK, Iyer SK, Iyer SK, Kuriakose MA. Role of human papilloma virus in oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*. 2011; **12**: 889-96.

20. Seifi S, Asvadi Kermani I, Dolatkhah R, Asvadi Kermani A, Sakhinia E, Asgarzadeh M, et al. Prevalence of oral human papilloma virus in healthy individuals in East azerbaijan province of iran. *Iranian journal of public health*. 2013, **42**: 79-85.

21. Gichki AS, Buajeeb W, Doungudomdacha S, Khovidhunkit SP. Detection of Human Papillomavirus in Normal Oral Cavity in a Group of Pakistani Subjects using Real-Time PCR. *Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev.* 2012; **13**: 2299-2304.

22. Zhang ZY, Sdek P, Cao J, Chen WT. Human papillomavirus type 16 and 18 DNA in oral squamous cell carcinoma and normal mucosa. *International journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery*. 2004; **33**: 71-4.

23. Al-Bakkal G, Ficarra G, McNeill K, Eversole LR, Sterrantino G, Birek C. Human papilloma virus type 16 E6 gene expression in oral exophytic epithelial lesions as detected by in situ rtPCR. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontics.* 1999; **87**: 197–208.

24. Boy S, Van Rensburg EJ, Engelbrecht S, Dreyer L, van Heerden M, van Heerden W. HPV detection in primary intraoral squamous cell carcinomas –commensal, aetiological agent or contamination? *Journal of Oral Pathology and Medicine*. 2006; **35**: 86–90.

25. Terai MTM. Human papillomavirus in oral cavity. *Oral Medicine and Pathology*. 2001; **6**:1–12.

26. Kreimer AR, Clifford GM, Boyle P, Franceschi S. Human papillomavirus types in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas worldwide: a systematic review. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention.* 2005; **14**: 467–475.

27. Gillison ML, Koch WM, Capone RB, Spafford M, Westra WH, et al. Evidence for a causal association between human papillomavirus and a subset of head and neck cancers. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2000; **92**: 709–720.

28. Fouret P, Monceaux G, Temam S, Lacourreye L, St. Guily JL. Human papillomavirus in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas in nonsmokers. *Archives of Otolaryngology: Head and Neck Surgery*. 1997; **123**: 513–516.

29. Paz IB, Cook N, Odom-Maryon T, Xie Y, Wilczynski SP. Human papillomavirus (HPV) in head and neck cancer. An association of HPV 16 with squamous cell carcinoma of Waldeyer's tonsillar ring. *Cancer* (Phila.). 1997; **79**: 595–604.

30. Adelstein DJ, Ridge JA, Gillison ML, Chaturvedi AK, D'Souza G, Gravitt PE, et al. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and the human papillomavirus: summary of a National Cancer Institute State of the Science Meeting, November 9–10, Washington, DC. *Head and Neck.* 2009; **31**: 1393–1422.

31. Koppikar P, De Villiers EM, Mulherkar R. Identification of human papillomaviruses in tumors of the oral cavity in an Indian community. *International Journal of Cancer*. 2005; **113**: 946–950.

32. Milde-Langosch K, Riethdorf S, Kraus-Pöppinghaus A, Riethdorf L, Löning T. Expression of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors p16MTS1, p21WAF1, and p27KIP1 in HPV-positive and HPV-negative cervical adenocarcinomas," *Virchows Archive*. 2001; **439**: 55–61.

33. Baker CC, Phelps WC, Lindgren V, Braun MJ, Gonda MA, Howley PM. Structural and transcriptional analysis of human papillomavirus type 16 sequences in cervical carcinoma cell lines. *Journal of Virology*. 1987; **61**: 962–971.

34. Choo KB, Pan CC, Han S. Integration of human papillomavirus type 16 into cellular DNA of cervical carcinoma: preferential deletion of the E2 gene and invariable retention of the long control region and the E6/E7 open reading frames. *Virology*. 1987; **161**: 259–261.

35. zur Hausen H. Human papillomaviruses in the pathogenesis of anogenital cancer. *Virology*. 1991; **184**: 9–13.

36. IARC Working Group. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans: human papillomaviruses. Scientific publication 64. Lyon: IARC; 1995.

37. Badaracco G, Venuti A, Sedati A, Marcante ML. HPV16

and HPV18 in genital tumors: significantly different levels of viral integration and correlation to tumor invasiveness. *Journal of Medical Virology*. 2002; **67**: 574–582.

38. Hopman AH, Smedts F, Dignef W, Ummelen M, Sonke G, et al. Transition of high-grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia to micro-invasive carcinoma is characterized by integration of HPV 16/18 and numerical chromosome abnormalities. *Journal of Pathology*. 2004; **202**: 23–33.

39. Jeon S, Allen-Hoffmann BL, Lambert PF. Integration of human papillomavirus type 16 into the human genome correlates with a selective growth advantage of cells. *Journal of Virology*. 1995; **69**: 2989–2997.

40. Hafkamp HC, Manni JJ, Haesevoets A, Voogd AC, Schepers M, et al. Marked differences in survival rate between smokers and nonsmokers with HPV 16-associated tonsillar carcinomas. *International Journal of Cancer*. 2008; **122**: 2656–2664.

41. Mellin H, Dahlgren L, Munck-Wikland E, Lindholm J,

Rabbani H, et al. Human papillomavirus type 16 is episomal and a high viral load may be correlated to better prognosis in tonsillar cancer. *International Journal of Cancer*. 2002; **102**: 152–158.

42. Jalouli M, Jalouli J, Ibrahim SO, Hirsch JM, Sand L. Comparison Between Single PCR and Nested PCR in Detection of Human Papilloma Viruses in Paraffin-embedded OSCC and Fresh Oral Mucosa. *in vivo*.2015; **29**: 65-70.

43. Husnjak K, Grce M, Magdic L, Pavelic K. Comparison of five different polymerase chain reaction methods for detection of human papillomavirus in cervical cell specimens. *Journal of Virological Methods*. 2000; **88**: 125–134.

44. Evander M, Edlund K, Boden E, Gustafsson A, Jonsson M, et al. Comparison of a one-step and a two-step polymerase chain reaction with degenerate general primers in a population-based study of human papillomavirus infection in young Swedish women. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 1992; **30**: 987–992.