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Abstract
Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans is one of the most aggressive pathobionts studied to the date. It encodes numerous putative toxins; the complex interplay of these toxins with the subgingival microbiota affects host defense mechanisms leading to rigorous destruction of the periodontium further causing loss of the tooth. The diversity in the field of oral microbiology has renewed interest among clinicians to study the bacterial species in particular. The aim of this review is to provide a comprehensive update on this commensal bacterium and co-relation of its virulence factors with the periodontal disease.
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Introduction
The expanding field of Oral microbiology with a focus on periodontal diseases, particularly the localized form of aggressive periodontitis caused a renewed interest in the bacterial flora. Bacteria were first observed by Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek in 1676, using a single-lens microscope. He called them “animalcules” and published his observations in a series of letters to the Royal society. The name bacterium was introduced much later, by Christian Gottfried Ehrenberg in 1838. Louis Pasteur demonstrated in 1859 that the fermentation process is caused by the growth of micro-organisms, and that this growth is not due to spontaneous generation. Later, Robert Koch, Pasteur advocated the germ theory of disease and was awarded a Nobel Prize for the same in 1905 [1].

Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans (A.a) is one of the most virulent periodontopathogen studied till date. It is a fastidious, facultative anaerobic, non-motile, nonhemolytic, non-sporing, small gram-negative rod [2]. It is also a prominent member of the HACEK group that comprises (Haemophilus species, A.a, Cardiobacterium hominis, Eikenella corrodens, and Kingella kingae) of pathogens [3].

Beginning in the late 1920s a series of Oral and Medical Microbiologists believed that periodontal disease was a result of mixed infections. This hypothesis has been considered from the late 1800s. 1880 to 1930 is widely known as “Golden Age of Microbiology”. Scientists identified 4 different groups of potential etiologic agents (amoeba, spirochetes, fusiforms and streptococci) for various periodontal diseases using different techniques. Researchers suggested specific plaque hypothesis based on these findings [4]. However, with advancements in bacterial identification techniques, many other bacterial species were identified in dental plaque derived from periodontitis patients. Studies conducted between 1930 to 1970 failed to identify any specific micro-organism as the etiologic agent of periodontal diseases which led to the proposal of non-specific plaque hypothesis, according to which increase in plaque mass is essential for causing periodontal tissue destruction [5].

Later on, as the research progressed in the field of microbiology, immunology and molecular biology numerous studies concluded a putative pathogenic role of numerous bacteria, including mainly Gram negative. These include A.a, Tannerella forsythia, Porphyromonas gingival is, Prevotella Intermedia, Campylobacter rectus, Treponema denticola, Fusobacterium nucleatum. Virulence factors produced by these micro-organisms have been identified and their role in periodontal tissue destruction is well established. These findings led to the Return of the theory of Specificity in the microbial etiology of periodontal diseases. Presently, the concept of “polymicrobial dysbiosis” is been investigated to explain the role of specific micro-organisms in causing periodontal destruction [1,6-18] (Tables 1 and 2).

Table 1: Overall history.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Authors name</th>
<th>Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1902</td>
<td>Lignieres and Spitz</td>
<td>Isolated a non-motile, non-branching, Gram –ve bacillus from lesions in cattle suffering from a disease that resembled actinomycosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Brumpt</td>
<td>First to use a binomial for this bacterium Actinobacillus Lignieresii, thereby establishing the genus name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Klinger</td>
<td>Isolated A.a from Actinomycotic lesions in association with actinomyces bacterium actinomycetemcomitans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1920</td>
<td>Colebrook</td>
<td>Found Aa to be a common conmilng microbe in 80% of mycotic lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1921</td>
<td>Lieske</td>
<td>Referred to microorganism as bacterium comitans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1929</td>
<td>Topley and Wilson</td>
<td>Designated as Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>Holm</td>
<td>Described A.a as an organism that can cause disease in human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>Thjotta and Sydnes</td>
<td>First to report that A.a could act as sole infecting agent in humans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>Mitchell and Gillespie</td>
<td>1st to be credited with identifying A.a as sole infecting agents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>Killian and Schiott</td>
<td>Identified A.a in dental plaque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>Socransky, Newmann and Slots</td>
<td>Showed the relationship of A.a to juvenile periodontitis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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bacillus: rod shaped.

The genus name [19-21]

The Journey from Actinobacillus to Actinomycetemcomitans

association with accommodate

Finally in 2006 new genus Aggregatibacter was created to accommodate Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans as Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans

Table 2: Scientific classification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kingdom</th>
<th>Bacteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phylum</td>
<td>Proteobacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td>Gammaproteobacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order</td>
<td>Pasteurellales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Pasteurellaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genus</td>
<td>Aggregatibacter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Actinomycetemcomitans</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The Journey from Actinobacillus to Actinomycetemcomitans [19-21]**

- The genus name Actinobacillus refers: actin: star shaped, bacillus: rod shaped.
- Actinomyces comitans-with actinomyces referring its close association with Actinomyces israelii in actinomyotic lesions.
- Bacterium actinomycetemcomitans was co-isolated with Actinomyces from Actinomyctic lesions about 100 years ago.
- In 1929 it was classified as Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans, despite limited similarity with Actinobacillus Lignieresii.
- In 1962 phenotypic resemblance of Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans was noted with Haemophilus aphrophilus and hence, a subsequent relocation to genus haemophilus.
- Finally in 2006 new genus Aggregatibacter was created to accommodate Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans (AA), Aggregatibacter aphrophilus, Aggregatibacter segnis and Aggregatibacter killiani.
- Norskov Lauritsen N and Kilian M in 2006 changed the name to Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans due the phylogenetic similarity between A.a, Hemophilus aphrophilus, Hemophilis paraphrophilus. Therefore, all these were grouped under new family Aggregatibacter.

**Morphological, Biochemical and Growth Characteristics**

**Morphology**
A.a is Gram –ve, coccobacillus approximately about 0.4 ± 0.1 × 0.1 ± 0.4 mm in size (Zambon 1985). Upon primary isolation, A.a forms small colonies approx. 0.5 mm–1.0 mm (Slots 1982) in diameter. A.a is capnophilic requiring an atmosphere containing 5%-10% CO₂ for good growth. It is microaerophilic, a facultative anaerobe and can grow under anaerobic conditions. A.a is non-sporulating, non-motile, non-hemolytic, oxidase and catalase +ve. The fermentative ability of A.a strains to utilize galactose, dextran, maltose, mannitol and xylose permits the bio-typing of this organism into several bio-types and serves to distinguish this organism from other members of the oral flora. Upon primary isolation A.a forms small colonies approx. 0.5-1.0 mm in diameter but does not grow on Mac Conkeys Agar. The colonies are translucent (or transparent) with irregular edges appear smooth, circular and convex. The colonial morphology of fresh isolates is distinctive with star shaped morphology form in the agar that gives A.a its name. In addition to having a star shaped internal structure, colonies of fresh isolates are rough surfaced. Repeated subculture yields, two types of colonial variants: one is smooth-surfaces and transparent, smooth-surfaces and opaque. The transparent smooth-surfaces variants appear to be an intermediate between the transparent rough surfaced and opaque smooth surfaces types. (Inornye et al 1990). The colonial variation is associated with fimbriae [2].

**Surface Ultrastructure of A.A**
Includes Fimbriae, vesicles and extracellular amorphous material.

A) Fimbriae: Fimbriae are small filamentous cell surface appendages associated with bacterial colonization of host tissues. Fimbriae in A.a and may be Peritrichous arrays of more than 2 µm in length and 5 nm in diameter and often occur in bundles. Fimbriated strains produce colonies with a star-shaped interior structure- designated star +ve; strains that lack a structured interior are designated star –ve. Fimbriated variants exhibited levels of attachment up to 4 fold greater than their non-fimbriated variant. Non-fimbriated A.a also exhibit adhesive properties indicating that non-fimbrial components also function in adhesion [22].

B) Vesicles (Blebs): Electron Microscope has demonstrated membrane vesicles (blebs) that appear to be released from the cells. Vesicles are prominent feature and present in large numbers on the surface of A.a. These vesicles are lipopolysaccharides in nature. Vesicles exhibit adhesive properties. Vesicles exhibit leukotoxic activity (Hammond et al, 1981). Vesicles also contain endotoxin, bone resorption factors and a bacteriocin called Actinobacillin. Vesicles function as delivery vehicles for A.a toxic material.

C) Extracellular amorphous materials: Surface of A.a cells is associated with an amorphous material that embeds adjacent cells in a matrix (Socransky 1980). Expression of amorphous material is determined by culture conditions. The material is a protein most likely a glycoprotein and has been shown to exhibit both bone-resorbing activity and adhesive properties. Bacteria from which the amorphous material has been removed exhibit reduced adhesion to epithelial cells (Holt and Socransky 1980). Conveyed adhesion increases levels of adhesion when suspended in extracellular amorphous material.

**Biochemical Properties of A.A**

Slots in 1982 studied 135 biochemical characters in 6 reference strains and 130 strains of A.a freshly isolated from the oral cavity. All isolates were small motile capnophilic G-ve rods that did not require factor X (Hemin) or factor V (NAD) grows in the absence of serum/blood. A.a is capnophilic, requiring an atmosphere containing 5%-10% CO₂ for good growth. It is microaerophilic and a facultative anaerobe and can grow under anaerobic conditions [23].
**Culture Medium**

Malachite Green Broth (MGB) with malachite green and bacitracin was the earliest media used to culture (A.a). Exclusive growth of A.a was found in a particular culture medium which contained TSBV (Trypsinase Soy agar and serum with Bacitracin and Vancomycin—it is an excellent primary selective medium for A.a that detects micro-organisms in levels as low as 20 viable cells per ml [23], spiramycin, fusidic acid and carbenicillin. Colonies identified on basis of adherent colonies and positive catalase reactions. Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI)–1640 and Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium are used now with a generation time of 246 and 346 min [24].

**Effect of Supplements** [23,24]

- **a)** Yeast extract–addition of increasing amounts of yeast to trypticase soy broth enhances the growth of most strains of A.a.
- **b)** Aminoacids (Cystine and Thiamine)–Promotes the growth of all strains of A.a and results in a generation time comparable with that observed following the addition of 1.2% yeast extract.
- **c)** Hormones–Steroid hormones including estrogen, progesterone, and testosterone are capable of enhancing the growth of A.a.
- **d)** Iron–A.a expresses iron-binding proteins and has hemin binding activity. Furthermore, A.a down-regulates the expression of a 70 KDa membrane protein in iron-limited conditions.
- **e)** PH–Optimum pH for the growth of Aa is 7.0-8.0, with optimum growth at 7.5. It is not commonly found in gingival pockets harboring acidogenic bacteria as it is inhibited at a pH of 6.5.
- **f)** Salt concentration –A.a demonstrates optimal growth between 85.1 mEq/l and 170 mEq/l concentration of sodium.

**Serotypes of A.a** [25-29]

Serotype-The type of organism determined by its constituent antigens.

- **• King and Tatum (1962)**-classified a Non-oral strain of A.a into 3 serotypes based on a heat-stable antigen.
- **• Purver and Ko (1972)** identified 24 different serogroups and 6 major agglutinating antigens of A.a using tube agglutination studies.
- **• Taichman (1982)**-used differences in surface antigens and leukotoxin production to classify A.a into four serogroups.
- **• Zambon (1983)**-detected 3 serotypes of A.a and designated them as a, b and c. Similar to those of King and Tatum.
- **• Saarela and Asaikainen (1992)** extended to 5 types—a, b, c, d and e.
- **• Serotype d, e, f, and g:** rarely found in oral samples.


Genetic similarity:

- **• Same individual and same serotype–Genetically identical.**
- **• Same individual and different serotype–Genetically non-identical.**
- **• Different individuals and same or different serotypes–Genetically non-identical.**

**Natural Habitat of A.a**


**Initial Colonization**

A.a is one of the first colonizers on supragingival tooth surfaces in early plaque development in monkeys and in vivo models in humans (Killian et al. 1976). Suggests species can colonize healthy and clean oral cavities. Cultivable A.a occurs in at least 10% of periodontally healthy children with primary dentition (Asaikainen et al. 1988).

**Distribution Pattern**

- **• A.a occurs only at isolated sites (Zambon et al. 1992, Haflajee et al. 1992).** May be limited due to an antibody response.
- **• IgG response to A.a is protective, able to limit infection (Lamster et al. 1998).**
- **• Antibody response limits A.a at the first erupting teeth in patients with LJP (Zambon et al. 1983).**
- **• Asaikainen S (1986)–older patients with LJP harbour a lower number of A.a +ve pockets than the young ones.**
- **• Baer P N 1971-LJP may burn out without treatment after the patients’ teenage years.**
- **• Rodenburg 1990–Occurrence of A.a +ve sites decreases with age.**
- **• The prevalence of A.a decreases from 90% in the younger group to 40% in the older group (Rodenburg 1990).**

**Genetic Diversity of A.A**

**Discovery of a.a plasmids:** The isolation of a plasmid from A.a was key in the constructing of intergeneric plasmids for the development of gene transfer systems. Plasmids in A.a were first documented from 10 clinical isolates derived from periodontal lesions of patients with rapidly destructive periodontitis. Other investigators have confirmed the presence of plasmids in A.a, although at a much lower frequency. Restriction endonuclease analysis indicated that strains within subjects were restricted to a single clonal type (Zambon et al. 1990). Restriction fragment-length polymorphism suggested a similarity of A.a strains within infected families (DiRenzo 1990).

Transmission of A.a

- **• Vertical transmission:** Similar strains of A.a are found in both parents and children (Asikainen et al 1996); Children harbour the same genotype of A.a (Prens et al. 1994).
- **• Horizontal transmission:** Siblings may harbour identical strains of A.a in their oral cavities (DiRenzo et al. 1994, Tinoco et al. 1998).

**Clinical Significance of Transmission**

Recent evidence suggests the possibility that people with periodontitis may cause periodontal breakdown in their spouses (Von trail linden et al 1995). Spouses of deceased probands had more frequently deep periodontal pockets, attachment loss and periodontal pathogens than spouses of healthy probands (Von trail linden 1995).
**Saliva and A.a**

Higher the load of A.a in saliva greater is the risk of colonization of the recipient. Suppression of the micro-organisms from saliva may prevent their spread amongst the individuals. Periodontal treatment help suppress salivary A.a for at least 6 months (Van Trail Linden et al. 1995).

**Occurrence of A.a**

Periodontally healthy children below 11 years of age showed an occurrence rate of 0%-26% (Chen et al, Conrad et al). Holt et al 1994 reported a prevalence of subgingival A.a to be as high as 78% in healthy Vietnamese children [30].

**Persistence of A.a**


**Misconceptions about A.a [31]**

1) **A.a is a late colonizer:** Early studies carried out on attachment of A.a on ATCC strains failed to demonstrate the natural aggregative capacity of A.a. Later on, Kolenbrander and his associates studied co-aggregation and suggested A.a was a poor colonizer since the ATCC strain Y4 showed co-aggregation with the universal co-aggregator i.e. *Fusobacterium nucleatum*. These microbial interactions with exception of A.a played a critical role in plaque formation. Thus, it was suggested that A.a was a late colonizer and incapable of participating in early plaque formation. Further, the discovery of Widespread Colonization Island (WCI) led to the understanding of the clinical adherence of bacterial phenotype in the laboratory. The WCI discovered WCI in 2001 that consists of 14 gene operons and mainly comprised of flp, tad and rcp genes that showed close relation of attachment to abiotic surfaces, aggregation and tight adherence. This discovery of WCI also influenced the change in the genus name from Actinobacillus to Aggregatibacter and demonstrated the importance of attachment for the survival of most primitive species. The fact that numerous pathobionts contain a functional portion of this island affirms the significance of adherence in their presence. It is known that A.a can adhere by both specific as well as non-specific mechanisms due to its inherent nature of binding to abiotic surfaces through the WCI along with binding via the outer membrane adhesins. Lastly, the discovery of an outer membrane adhesion, Aae showed higher specificity for oral epithelium. Unlike the WCI, binds in a highly specific dose-dependent manner to its receptor on buccal epithelial cells (BECs) [31,32].

2) **Nutritional fastidious nature of A.a:** A.a is known for its fastidious nature requiring 5% CO₂, serum and certain carbohydrates such as glucose constantly for its growth. The recovery of A.a from affected sites is arduous due its fastidious nature along with its slow and inconsistent growth after initial isolation. Recently, Brown and Whiteley in 2007 demonstrated A.a metabolize lactate over any other carbohydrate sources owing to the presence of phosphoenol pyruvate-dependent phosphotransferase systems. Cultures showed decreased levels of lactate when cultured with glucose-consuming competitors like Streptococci. Further, it was concluded that A.a’s survival in lactic acid-rich culture was reduced competition with strains utilizing glucose as their carbohydrate source. Secondly, the addition of lactate to chemically defined media increased the growth of A.a in biofilms [31].

3) **A highly aggregative non-motile microbe cannot escape from its biofilm habitat:** Aggregation is a two-way street, on one side it forms a shield and protects the biofilm from environmental challenges whereas on the other it limits the capacity of the pathobiont to migrate to distant sites in cases of danger. Dispersin B (dspB) is a hexosaminidase attacking matrix polysaccharides consisting of N-acetyl-D-glucosamine residues. Kaplan et al in 2003 discovered dspB. The discovery of dspB provided a mechanism for mobility leading to protection. A.a has now found out a way to balance its survival by achieving its nutrition through lactate-producing species and swiftly refraining from hazardous conditions by locomotion from products such as H₂O₂ formed by these lactate-producing species [31,33-35].

4) **A.a is the causative agent in LAP:** Overcoming host restrictions (suppressing host defenses): Leukotoxin (Ltx) is a known toxin that destroys leukocytes and lymphocytes. The toxin is known to neutralize local immune response and thus, unable other bacteria to overgrow. Api A was the first discovered Outer Membrane Proteins (OMPS’s) in 1999 and is associated with the pathogenesis of Aggressive Periodontitis. The phenotype associated characteristic of Api A include adhesion, invasion and complement resistance. Asakawa et al based on his work concluded that binding of factor H occurs between 100-200 amino acid sequences in the 295 Api A amino acid proteins. Similarly, the invasion and adhesion appears to occur in separate regions of the protein and this auto-transporter protein is known for its significant role in A.a’s survival and immune regulation. The serum exudate is the first to confront the microbial burden comprising of PMNs and complement that destroy bacteria by direct or indirect mechanisms. The PMNs engulf and thus degrade microbes at a rapid rate, similarly the complement acts directly on the cell wall of the bacteria causing holes in the outer membrane resulting in lysis of the cells. A.a is now known to regulate its host defense with 2 mechanisms: A.a possess ApiA which is a complement effecter molecule and a leukotoxin that is known to destroy PMNs [31,36-38].

**A.A in Non-Oral Infections**

A.a is occasionally isolated from severe systemic infections (Zambon 1985, van Winkelhoff and Slots 1999).

Various systemic infections are:

a) Prosthetic-valve endocarditis (Pierce et al. 1984).

b) Pericarditis (Horowitz et al. 1987).

c) Septicemia [78].

d) Pneumonia (Morris and Sewell 1994).

e) Infectious arthritis (Molina et al. 1994).

g) Abscesses in various body sites, such as brain, submandibular space, or hand (Salman et al.1986, Kaplan et al. 1989).

Recently, periodontitis has been associated with chronic coronary heart disease (Mattila et al. 1995, Beck et al. 1996), and A.a has been identified in athermanous plaques in coronary arteries (Zambon et al. 1997).

**Anti-Microbial Therapy for A.A Non-Oral Infections**

Penicillins were the first choice, but had drawback of developing resistance-(Kujiper et al. 1992). A.a usually susceptible to amoxicillin, cephalosporins and ciprofloxacin but not to clindamycin-(Pajukanta et al. 1992). Saliva sample may be collected for in vitro antimicrobial susceptibility testing of A.a [66].
Virulence Factors of A.A [39]

Virulence factors are attributes of a micro-organism that enable it to colonize a particular niche in its host, overcome the host defenses and initiates a disease process. These factors frequently involve the ability to be transmitted to susceptible hosts. Increase in virulence factors means increase in pathogenicity.

A) Factors that promote colonization and persistence in the oral cavity:
   i) Adhesins
   ii) Invasins
   iii) Bacteriocin
   iv) Antibiotic resistance

B) Factors that interfere with host defenses:
   i) Leukotoxin
   ii) Lipopolysaccharides (LPS)
   iii) Cytolethal Distending Toxin (CDT)
   iv) Chemotactic Inhibitors
   v) Immunosuppressive proteins
   vi) Fc-binding proteins

C) Factors that destroy host tissues:
   i) Cytotoxins
   ii) Collagenase
   iii) Bone resorption agents
   iv) Stimulators of inflammatory mediators
   v) Heat shock proteins

D) Factors that inhibit host’s repair:
   i) Inhibitors of fibroblast proliferation
   ii) Inhibitors of bone formation

Factors that Promote Colonization and Persistence in the Oral Cavity

Adhesins: [40]

1) The bacterial surface components involved in adhesion are known as adhesins. They are proteinaceous structures found on the surface of the bacterial cell which interact and bind to specific receptors found in saliva, on the surface of tooth, on ECM proteins and on epithelial cells.

2) Adhesion of A.a to gingival crevice epithelium is probably the most important step in the colonization of this organism and subsequent destruction associated with periodontal disease. Cell surface entities adhere to fimbriae, extracellular amorphous material and extracellular vesicles.

3) Mechanism of virulence: Fimbiae carry Curlin proteins and adhesins which attach them to the substratum so that the bacteria can withstand shear forces and obtain nutrients. Therefore, strains possessing fimbiae adhere 3-4 folds onto the tooth surface better than non-fimbriated variants.

4) Tooth: The adhesion of A.a to the gingival crevicular epithelium is the most important step in the colonization of this organism and the subsequent destruction associated with periodontal disease. Fimbriated strains show 3-4 fold better adherence (Rosan et al. 1988)

5) Epithelium: Most of the A.a strains adhere strongly to the epithelial cells. Lactoferrin iron levels affect attachment of A.a to buccal epithelial cells (Fine and Furgang et al 2002). Also, Iron binding protein may interfere with binding of A.a to host cells while degree of iron saturation of lactoferrin might play a role in these interactions.

6) Extracellular Matrix: In order to initiate disease in extraoral sites A.a must bind to the ECM. Major component of ECM is collagen. A.a binds to collagen type I, II, III, V but not to type IV. It does not bind to any of the collagens in soluble form but, instead binds to insoluble forms which aid in spread and colonization.

Invasins: [41]

1) Invasion is a dynamic process with bacteria appearing in the host cell cytoplasm within 30 minutes. Invasion mechanism is initiated when A.a makes contact with the microvilli of the cells and is translocated to the surface of the cell. It is rapid mechanism involving the formation of cell-surface ‘craters’ or apertures with lip-like rims. These invasins occur as indentations on the cell-surface, as well as in membrane ruffles where they appear to be entering into epithelial cells.

2) Studies by Meyer et al 1997 suggested that there are primary and secondary receptors that help A.a in invasion. The primary receptor is the transferrin receptor while secondary receptors are the integrins and transmembrane proteins. De novo protein synthesis by both bacteria and the cells is required for invasion to take place. Microbial uptake by the cells depends on the rearrangement of the host cytoskeleton suggesting a role for actin in the invasion process. Actin is transported from the periphery of the cell to a focus surrounding the bacterium.

Bacteriocin: [42]

1) Bacteriocins are the proteins, lethal in nature that is produced by bacteria.

2) Structure and composition: Bacteriocins are heterogenous group of particles with different morphological and biochemical entities. They range from a simple protein to a high molecular weight complex of proteins.

3) Mechanism of virulence: Is to increase the permeability of the cell membrane of target bacteria, which leads to leakage of DNA, RNA and macromolecules essential for growth.

4) Lima et al. isolated a bacteriocin named Actinomyctecemcomitans from A.a P (7-20) strain that is active against Peptostreptoccus anaerobius ATCC 27337.

5) Actinobacillin, a bacteriocin produced by A.a is active against Streptococcus sanguis, Streptococcus uberis and Actinomyces viscosus, has been identified and purified. It also results in alteration of cell permeability of certain target bacteria causing leakage of DNA, RNA and other intercellular molecules required for growth.

Antibiotic resistance: [43]

Antibiotics have been and continued till date, to be used effectively in the treatment of periodontal infections. However, certain organisms develop potential for antibiotic resistance.

a) Reason for resistance: Poor permeability of the outer membrane is responsible for the antimicrobial resistance in Gram negative organisms.

b) Mechanism of virulence: Approximately 30% of oral A.a is resistant to benzyl-penicillin. New or altered penicillin-binding proteins on the bacterial cell surface may account for the non-enzymatic penicillin resistance of A.a.

Factors that Interfere with Host's Defense

Leukotoxin [44-47]

1) One of the most studied virulence factor of A.a is Leukotoxin. This toxin is a 116 kDa immuno-modulating protein produced by 56% of strains isolated from LJP patients.
2) Location: It is proteinaceous toxin secreted from the cell membrane of A.a.

3) Structure and composition: A.a leukotoxin is a member of RTX (Repeats in ToXin) family of toxin that produces pore-forming hemolysins or leukotoxins [18].

4) Gene operon that produces A.a leukotoxin is named as ltx. The leukotoxin operon consists of four coding genes named as ltxC, ltxA, ltxB, ltxD and an upstream promoter gene.

ltxA: Encodes the structure of the toxin.
ltxC: Encodes for components required for post-translational acylation of the toxin.
txB and ltxD: Encodes for transport of the toxin to the bacterial outer membrane.

Leukotoxin consists of 1,055 amino acids encoded by the leukotoxin gene in the leukotoxin operon. 

5) Mechanism of virulence: Leukotoxin is not only species-specific but also cell-specific. The toxin binds to neutrophils, monocytes and a subset of lymphocytes; and forms pores in the membranes of these target cells overwhelming their ability to sustain osmotic homeostasis, resulting in cell death [48].

6) Interaction with PMNs: Leukotoxin has been shown to efficiently cause the death of human PMNs through the extracellular release of proteolytic enzymes from both primary and secondary granules, along with activation and release of MMP-8, which contributes to periodontal tissue destruction.

7) Interaction with lymphocytes: The leukotoxin’s ability to induce apoptosis within lymphocytes might result in impaired acquired immune response of periodontal infections. A shift in the balance between Th-1 and Th-2 subsets of T cells is seen in inflamed periodontal tissues, while the Th-2 cells commonly associated with chronic periodontitis. Its ability to affect the lymphocytes indicates a possible role of this molecule in Th-1/Th-2/Th-17 differentiation, important in inflammatory pathogenesis.

8) Interaction with monocytes/macrophages: Leukotoxin causes the activation of caspase-1, which is a cytosolic cysteine proteinase that specifically induces activation and secretion of the pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and IL-18, which result in monocyte/macrophage lysis by incorporation in a cytosolic multimeric complex named the inflammasome.

9) JP2 phenotype of the A.a strains produces high levels of leukotoxin. The leukotoxin is not only species-specific but also cell-specific. An A.a leukotoxin is specific for cells that express B2-integrin and LFA-1. The toxin binds to neutrophils, monocytes and forms pores in the membrane of these cells. The pores induced in the cells overwhelm the ability of the cell to sustain osmotic homeostasis, resulting in cell death [49].

Iron transport system: Iron plays an important role in regulation of virulence factors of A.A. Some strains of A.a are able to utilize human hemoglobin as an iron source [50,51].

Lipopolysaccharides: Are endotoxins having a high potential for causing destruction of an array of host cells and tissues. It causes bone resorption, platelet activation and activates macrophages to produce IL-1 and TNF-α [52,53].

Structure and composition: it comprises of 3 parts:
1) O antigen: is the basis of antigenic variation among many G-ve pathogens which confirms the existence of multiple serotypes.
2) Core oligosaccharide: It allows organisms to adhere to epithelial tissues and provide protection from damaging reactions with antibody and components.
3) Lipid A: It exerts its toxic effects when released from multiplying cells, or when the bacteria are lysed. In monocytes and macrophages it results in production of IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α and Platelet activating factor; activation of the complement and coagulation cascade. Low concentration of A.a lipopolysaccharide stimulates macrophages to produce IL-1α, IL-1β and TNF, cytokines involved in tissue inflammation and bone resorption. (Sagle, et al, 1990). LPS may also contribute to destruction of periodontal connective tissue by activating the pathways that lead to stimulation of MMPs and plasminogen activator.

Recently, A.a LPS has shown to induce foam cell formation and cholesteryl ester accumulation in murine macrophages which suggests that it also has pro-atherogenic activity.

Cytotolethal Distending Toxin (CDT): CDT of A.a is a newly described cytotoxin with immunosuppressive properties [54,55].

1) Location: CDT is a cell cycle-modulatory protein i.e secreted freely or associated with the membrane of the producing bacteria.

2) Structure and composition: CDT is a tripartite structure encoded by a locus of 3 genes, Cdt ABC. The toxin itself is encoded by CdtB while CdtA and CdtC appear to encode proteins that mediate interaction between the Cdt complex and the host cell surface.

3) Mechanism of virulence: The active subunit, CdtB, exhibits DNase I activity. While CdtA and CdtC possess putative mucin-like carbohydrate binding domains that predict interaction with the host cell surface. CdtB is transported to the nucleus where it causes DNA damage through its DNase activity resulting in apoptosis, through its caspase activation. Cdt disrupts macropage function by inhibiting phagocytic activity as well as affecting the production of IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8. It was found that Cdt is largely responsible for the inhibition of proliferation of human PDL cells and gingival fibroblasts. In human gingival fibroblasts, Cdt is able to stimulate the production of receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand which may be involved in pathological bone resorption, characteristic of LAP.

Chemotactic inhibitors (Van Dyke 1982): Host’s first line of defense against invading bacteria is the recruitment of phagocyes by chemotaxis. This process, known as chemotaxis, involves a number of steps, including the binding of chemotactic agents, upregulation of adhesin receptors, binding to the endothelium and movement of the phagocytic cells into the underlying tissues. The ability to disrupt chemotaxis permits the invading organism to survive this major challenge from the host.

Immunosuppressive Factors (Shenken et al. 1982, 1990)

1) A.a has been shown to elaborate many factors capable of suppressing host defense mechanisms.

2) A.a produces a protein that inhibits DNA, RNA and protein synthesis in mitogen activated human T cells. (Shenker et al 1982)

Fc Binding Proteins (Mintz et al. 1990)

1) Location: Fc binding proteins are found to be associated with the bacterial cell surface and are released in soluble form during bacterial growth.

2) Mechanism of virulence: Fc region of an antibody molecule is specific for cells that express Fc receptors. Binding to the antibody results in impaired function of the immune response, characteristic of the FcγR mediates the activation of macrophages and polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMN).
Factors that Destroy Host Tissues

Cytotoxic: One of the most important cell types within the gingival connective tissue is the fibroblasts. Fibroblasts are a major source of collagen and confer a structural integrity to the tissue. A.a produces heat labile cytotoxin i.e cytotoxic to fibroblasts and which is known to inhibit fibroblasts proliferation. The toxin is considered a virulence factor due to its impact on fibroblasts viability. Mechanism of virulence– One toxin i.e secreted into supernatant has been isolated and identified as a 50-kDa protein that inhibits DNA synthesis in the fibroblasts. Another surface-associated material cytotoxin, designated Gapstein, is an 8-kDa protein. The inhibition of fibroblasts growth may be expressed as a decrease in collagen synthesis which is manifested as a loss of collagen synthesis in certain forms of juvenile periodontitis [57].

Collagenases: Collagen is the most abundant constituent of the extracellular matrix. A major feature of periodontal disease is a marked reduction in gingival collagen fibre density. Collagenase activity is associated with two important periodontal pathogens, A.a and P.g.

Mechanism of virulence: Collagenases are endopeptidases/extracellular proteolytic enzymes secreted by bacteria that digest nearly all collagen fibres in their insoluble triple helical form. Proteolytic enzymes in A.a have been reported to degrade IgG, serum IgA and IgM but not IgD or IgE. This results in dysregulation in host’s immune response.

Heat Shock Proteins (HSP)

HSPs are produced as a protection against stress (Ellis 1996), but they also play a role under normal conditions during the cell cycle, development, and differentiation (Bukau and Horwich 1998). HSPs may additionally function as molecular chaperones ensuring that protein assembly into higher order structures occurs correctly (Ellis 1996) [58].

Bone Resorption Agents

A.a has been shown to stimulate bone resorption by several different mechanisms: lipopolysaccharide, proteolysis-sensitive factor in micro vesicles and surface associated material—all of which in turn inhibit osteoblast proliferation.

Stimulators of Inflammatory Mediators

Leukotoxin from A.a has been shown to induce MMP release and activation from neutrophils in a dose dependent manner.

Factors that Inhibit Host’s Repair

Constitute factors that inhibit fibroblasts proliferation and factors that inhibit bone formation. A.a produces 8 kDa antigens which suppress proliferation of fibroblasts, monocytes and osteoblasts.

Impact of A.a on Immune System [59-66]

Inhibition of PMN function: A.a secretes a low molecular weight compound that inhibits PMN chemotaxis. The ability to disrupt chemotaxis permits the invading organism to survive this major host challenge.

Oxidative killing mechanism of PMN: In the PMNs it’s observed that the myeloperoxidase system is the one that actually kills the organism more than the H₂O₂. The bactericidal activity of myeloperoxidase is dependent upon two functions. First, the phagocyte must be able to form sufficient substrate H₂O₂ via the respiratory burst pathway. Thus, the phagocyte must be in the presence of dissolved dioxygen. Second, the phagocyte must be able to secrete the myeloperoxidase into the vicinity of the microorganism (therefore, the phagocyte must be capable of phagosome-lysosome fusion).

Non-oxidative mechanism of PMN: α-Defensins although are found to be active against a lot of periodontopathogenic bacteria the A.a are found to be relatively resistant to these. Cathepsin G is found to be very effective in handling A.a i.e microbial both by enzyme dependent and independent pathway. Other enzymes may be Apolactoferrin is also microbial it may function by binding to metals and causing a defect in the membrane. Membrane disruptive proteins like chaotropic ions.

Inhibitors of PMN function: A heat-stable protein in A.a inhibits the production of H₂O₂ by PMNs. Many strains are naturally resistant to high concentrations of hydrogen peroxide, anti-bactericidal compounds, cationic peptides, such as defensins, found in neutrophils.

Monocyte/Macrophage response to A.a: Monocytes exhibit susceptibility to A.a leukotoxin similar to that described for PMN’s. Exposure of monocytes to A.a organisms stimulates the release of TNF (L.T. deman/Economien 1988). Evidence demonstrates that A.a promotes monocyte apoptosis (programmed cell death).

Humoral immune response: Functional properties of antibodies against A.a are: Inhibition of adhesion and invasion, Complement activation, Neutralization of leukotoxin, Opsonization and phagocytosis. Proteases produced by A.a cleave IgG, IgA and IgM.

Clinical implication of humoral immune response: Diagnostic potential of antibody against periodontopathogenic bacteria: numerous clinical and immunological studies have demonstrated the diagnostic potential of patient sera. ELISA is probably most widely used assay. Co-relation between serum antibody and periodontitis therapy: Ebersole et al showed that the antibody titre for A.a after scaling. This finding suggests that a humoral immune response may be a major factor in the clinical improvement observed after treatment.

Diagnostic modalities for a.a: Socransky SS 1992, Haftjeee AD 1992 introduced a 2 step procedure involving Culture+Nucleic acid based detection that improves detection limit. Immunodiagnostic Methods employ Antibodies that recognizes specific bacterial antigens to detect target micro-organism; do not require viable bacteria, less susceptible to variations in sample processing, less time consuming, easier to perform than culture.

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR Assay): Potential for being ideal detection method of periodontal micro-organisms, easy to perform, excellent detection limits, very little cross-reactivity under optimal conditions, detects levels of pathogens too low to be of clinical significance.

Possible Reasons for Limitation of Destruction to Certain Teeth

Zambon (1985) reviewed the relationship of A.a to periodontal disease. The possible reasons for the limitation of periodontal destruction to certain teeth are:

1. After initial colonization of the first permanent teeth to erupt (the first molars and incisors), A.a evades the host defenses by different mechanisms, including production of polymorphonuclear leukocyte (PMN) chemotaxis inhibiting factors, endotoxin, collagenases, leukotoxin, and other factors that allow the bacteria to colonize the pocket and initiate the destruction of the periodontal tissues. After this initial attack, adequate immune defenses are stimulated to produce opsonic antibodies to enhance the clearance and phagocytosis of invading bacteria and neutralize leukotoxic activity. In this
manner, colonization of other sites may be prevented strong antibody response to infecting agents is one characteristic of LAP [67,68].

2. Bacteria antagonistic to A.a may colonize the periodontal tissues and inhibit A.a from further colonization of periodontal sites in the mouth. This would localize A.a infection and tissue destruction.

3. A.A may lose its leukotoxin producing ability for unknown reasons. If this happens, the progression of the disease may become arrested or impaired, and colonization of new periodontal sites may be averted [12].

4. A defect in cementum formation may be responsible for the localization of the lesions. Root surfaces teeth extracted from patients with LAP have been found to have hypoplastic or aplastic cementum. This was true not only of root surfaces exposed to periodontal pockets, but also of roots still surrounded by their periodontium.

**Prevention and Control of Periodontitis Caused By A.A** [69-72]

1. Alter subgingival environment
   - Reduction in probing depth.
   - Mechanical removal or disruption of subgingival plaque biofilm.
   - Application of oxygenating and redox agents.

2. Replacement therapy
   - Pre-eruptive colonization.
   - Competitive replacement.

**Reduction in probing depth:** Surgical or non-surgical therapy has been successful in the treatment of periodontal disease, achieving an immediate ecological change that favors a facultative anaerobic gingival microflora and depriving the subgingival microflora of its anaerobic environment at the base of the deep pockets which is mandatory for the reducing growth of A.a. Mechanical removal or disruption of subgingival biofilm changes the ecology and the remaining micro-organisms become accessible to both host factors and antimicrobial agents. Use of antimicrobials by local application of oxygenating and redox agents. Although the use of redox agents does not release oxygen, the dyes can raise the redox potential of an ecosystem. The dye most commonly used is methylene blue.

**Replacement therapy:** Phenomenon by which one member of the ecosystem can inhibit the growth of another is termed as bacterial interference. Use of antagonistic organism to control pathogens and prevent disease is termed replacement therapy. The Main approaches to the use of replacement therapy to prevent periodontal disease are:

1. **Pre-eruptive colonization:** Ecological niches within the plaque are filled by a harmless or potentially beneficial organism before the undesirable strain has had the opportunity to colonize.

2. **Competitive displacement:** here, a more competitive strain would displace a pre-existing organism from plaque. In health, it has been shown that H₂O₂ producing strains of Streptococcus sanguis inhibit the growth of A.a, whereas the converse is true for plaque from sites with LAP.

**Effect of Periodontal Therapy on Subgingival A.A**

**A.a and dental caries:** Intra-oral equilibrium between Cariogenic species and Periodontopathogens-Both Streptococci and Actinomycetes group of organisms are facultative anaerobes, and doubling time for microbial populations during the first four hours of development is less than one hour [73-77].

**A.a and viruses:** A proposed viral-bacterial paradigm (Slots 2010)–LAP lesions may be associated with high genome copy counts of herpes viruses, suggesting their involvement in course of disease. Herpes virus, CMV and EBV-induce periodontal destruction (Slots 2015). CMV also known to increase cellular susceptibility for bacterial adherence (Teughels, Slipein, Quirynen 2007).

**A.a and implant failure:** Presence of microbial plaque is a major factor associated with peri-implant health and as a result, stringent plaque control measures should be carried out to refrain peri-implant diseases. Implant failure is also associated with numerous factors like interaction of physiochemical implant surfaces with subgingival microflora and underlying periodontal tissues. Certain pathogens like P gingivalis, T denticola, T forsythia, A.a. Prevotella intermedia and Campylobacter species have been associated with peri-implant health as well as peri-implant disease [78,79]. As a result, routine evaluation of microbiological parameters is equally essential for maintaining peri-implant health.

A recent systematic review by Sahrmann et al 2020 assessed 28 studies using PCR based methods and 19 studies for meta-analysis reviewed a higher prevalence of A.a and P.g in peri-implantitis biofilms compared with healthy implants [80].

**Recent Studies Showing Co-Relation between A.A and Severity of Periodontitis**

Puletic et al. 2020 conducted a study to detect rates of P.g, T. forsythia, P intermedia and A.a) and Herpes viruses (HSV-1), CMV, EBV in different forms and severity of periodontal disease and to compare them with those of periodontally healthy subjects. 129 patients got divided into four groups–Periodontal abcess group (39 pts), NUP group (33 patients), chronic periodontitis group (27 patients) and Healthy patients group (30 pts). Further samples collected from only active periodontal sites and detected with PCR. Results revealed ↑ in P.g, T forsythia, P intermedia, in all except healthy groups, A.a was seen highest in chronic periodontitis group than other two groups. Occurrence of EBV ↑ in NUP than in CP pts and healthy pts. CMV was significantly more in PA, NUP than in CP and Healthy pts. Moderate and severe periodontitis pts showed ↑ rates of EBV and CMV in all forms of periodontitis pts. [81].

**Conclusion**

The treatment and prevention of periodontal infections is an ecological problem. No matter which preventive or treatment regime is employed, a microbiota will re-establish after that modality. The microbiota might be host-compatible or it may have the potential to cause damage to the host. The therapy goals should be to eliminate pathogenic species and retain or foster species compatible with, or beneficial to the host. Thus, it is essential to carefully evaluate what current therapies do to the microbiota and to develop new tools to modulate the host-bacterial ecological relationship and to predictably control the supragingival and subgingival ecosystem.
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