

Brief Interview with Dr. Yuh-Shan Ho

Journal of Membrane Science and Technology

1. How many years have you been practicing and/or performing research?

23 years.

2. What is the research topic you are working on now?

Adsorption and Bibliometric

3. What makes an article top quality?

New idea which can be applied in research.

4. What are the qualities you look for in an article?

New idea which can be applied in research

5. Do you have any research funding (NIH or other national funding) now?

I do have any funding in my life so far.

6. When did you become an editor of OMICS Journal?

2008, Special Issue on: “Application of biosorbents on wastewater treatment” International Journal of Environment and Pollution (IJEP)

7. What is your greatest career accomplishment?

“Three top one” including total citations, recent year citations, and citations per year in a research field. That means contribute something really important in a research field. In addition, I would like to pass my research idea and experience to next generation.

8. Do you have any patents?

Find appropriate reviewers to review manuscripts before its publication. Accept challenges, comments, and discussion after it publication.

9. What is the purpose of serving as an editor?

Distribute concept of research to a research field.

10. Do you have any patents?

No.

11. Have you contributed any editorials or papers (any types) to OMICS Journals in the past two years?

Yes.

12. Do you plan to contribute any editorials or papers to OMICS Journals in the next year?

I have been publishing papers since 1992. I will publish papers next year as usual.

13. Do you have any trouble with OMICS Journals in the past?

Editors rejected some of my manuscripts with reviewers' comments which are ridicules.

14. Would you recommend OMICS to your friends or colleagues?

I have been using Web of Science since 2000 so that I do not really know OMICS.

15. How do you differentiate Journal of Membrane Science and Technology with other journals in the field?

I do not read papers published in Journal of Membrane Science and Technology. I think this journal has potential to be a more visible journal. At least the journal had this "Common Questions to Editor".

Piel noticed that without publication, science is dead in Piel, G. (1986). The social process of science. Science, 231(4735), 201. The reasons of publication could be that letting people in research community know what do people find and also accept people challenge the method, results, discussion, and conclusion of the paper. In addition, People can comment especially when mistakes are included in the paper. I think that a journal not only publishes papers but also takes

care of all problems after their publications. Readers gain research benefits from reading papers from journals. Authors gain from their submission even they got rejections.