

2nd International Conference on Predictive, Preventive and Personalized Medicine & Molecular Diagnostics

November 03-05, 2014 Embassy Suites Las Vegas, USA

The first discriminatory step illustrating the *PPPM*-oriented survey is estimating of the correlation strength between *genetic polymorphism* and *risks of the disease*, and subsequent construction of the groups at risks. Those goals can be solved by using of *BioChip* methodology (each disease has individual *fingerprints*). As a result, a patient becomes a *data carrier*, i.e., he/she knows about possible risks of a disease, and the physician can reasonably select of *preventive* protocol, proceeding from the assays made. Individuals, selected at the first stage, undergo *the second phase* of the survey, which uses a panel of phenotypic *biopredictors*.

Two examples to illustrate the topic: **T1D** and **MS** models.

T1D is an chronic autoimmune disease resulting in a destruction of pancreatic *beta*-cells capable alone of producing insulin, by two autoreactive tools, i.e., cytotoxic T lymphocytes and anti-islet autoAbs. For T1D, about half of the total risk is genetic and about half of that genetic risk is in the HLA region on the chromosome 6 to be used for gene-based *predictive* testing! Other genes are associated with T1D as well, but their contribution to risks is small.

Subclinical stages are also determined by identification of highly-specific proteomic-related *biopredictors*, i.e., different anti-islet autoAbs whose presence would determine risks for initiating *subclinical* abnormalities and then result in clinical manifestations of T1D.

MS is an autoimmune disorder of the central nervous system resulting in a destruction of neuro-myelin compartment including demyelination, axon loss and development of disability. Most of the studies confirmed the supreme role of the variations within HLA genes, particularly HLA-II DR region as MS gene-related risk factors!

The crucial step in the MS evolution is a primary myelin damage which is mediated by cytotoxic anti-myelin autoAbs! A portion of those are autoAbs against myelin-basic protein/MBP endowing with MBP-targeted proteolytic activity (so-called, *Ab-proteases*). Screening for those could become the next step to secure *subclinical* diagnosis of MS and *to predict* the clinical course.

The activity of Ab-proteases established markedly differs: (i) between MS patients and healthy controls; (ii) among different disease courses; and would correlate with EDSS scales of demyelination and thus the disability of the patients.

The *sequence-specificity* of Ab-proteases would illustrate a common but specific pattern revealing *six* sites of preferential proteolysis. Some of those sites are located within the *immunodominant* and *encephalitogenic* regions of MBP that are responsible for the induction of severe and aggressive forms of MS or for getting the disease run in a similar way. The other sites are less immunogenic to be responsible for either the induction moderate courses of MS or for maintaining clinically moderate courses.

The Ab-proteases were initially registered at the *subclinical* stages of MS, presumably, presumably demonstrating their defined sequence specificity with low immunogenicity.

Meanwhile, apart from MS patients, about 24% of the direct relatives were also seropositive for Ab-proteases but to reveal much lower activity as compared with the patients. Half of those seropositive relatives being monitored for 2 years have been demonstrating stable growth of the activity of Ab-proteases which reached their mid-level indices at a time point when had coincided with the initial occurrence of the primary clinical and MRI manifestations.

A unique value of Ab-proteases for monitoring MS at different stages of the disease whilst providing a fantastic tool for *predicting* demyelination is becoming evident and thus a reality. Moreover, Ab-proteases can be programmed and re-programmed to directly affect the remodeling of tissues (for instance, myelin). Such functionally valuable tools may thus be designed for the newer drug catalysts to act as *preventive* therapeutic tools!

Just comments and reference to malignant neoplasms: the initiation and progression of tumors depend on the stepwise acquisition of specific functions by cancer cells at both the *primary* and *metastatic* sites.

Tumor initiation (at *subclinical* stages) is provided by *oncogenic* mutations and *inactivation of tumor-suppressor genes* to be identified by genomic tailoring approach!

The initiation of metastasis would have to be *predicted* by a combination of genomic and proteomic approaches! And newer *algorithms* to suit the need of making the *subclinical* diagnosis of tumor are already in progress!

It would be extremely useful to integrate data harvesting from different databanks for applications such as *prediction* and *personalization* of further treatment. Medical practitioners will be able to thus provide more tailored *prevention* and *treatment* programs for their patients resulting in improved patient outcomes, reduced adverse events, and more cost effective

Contd...